

Auditable Security Controls Of Best In Class Security and IT Operations Organizations:

What Do They Do And How Do They Do It?

Gene Kim, CTO, Tripwire, Inc. September 2004

Surprising Executive Allegations

- We have heard some very surprising things in the 30 Practitioner Roundtables we've hosted in the last four years
 - Control and security are not possible
 - Change management is bureaucratic, slows work down, decreases productivity, and is overly burdensome
 - My business demands are so high in my environment is so high, that the management of change is not possible
 - I can sustainably achieve my security objectives without repeatable, verifiable IT operational processes
- Really? Why do they believe these things?
 - Is there anything we can do to change their belief systems?



Best In Class Ops and Security

Operations Metrics Benchmarks: Best in Class: Server/Sysadmin Ratios



Best in class Ops and Security organizations have:

•Highest server/sysadmin ratios

•Lowest Mean Time To Repair (MTTR)

•Highest Mean Time Between Failures (MTBF)

•Earliest integration of Security into Ops lifecycle



Talking Points



- What is common among the high-performing IT organizations?
 - What are their beliefs?
 - Why do they hold these beliefs?
 - What behaviors and characteristics do they exhibit?
- What are the key differences between the high- and low-performing IT organizations?
 - Patch management, management scorecards, IT outsourcing
- How do organizations transform from a low- to high-performing IT organization?
 - Visible Ops methodology
 - Process area metrics
- The VEESC Benchmarking Study (Valuing the Effective, Efficiency and Security of IT Controls)
 - The hypothesis and methodology
 - Our call to action!



History of IT Operations and Security Research

- Began studying high-performing IT operations and security organizations in 2000, to understand their processes and implementations. These organizations exhibited:
 - Highest availability
 - Shortest MTTR
 - Lowest IT cost profiles
 - Least amount of time spent on unplanned work
 - Best integration of security into operational processes
- Now working with IT Process Institute, CMU's Software Engineering Institute and SANS to understand how high performing organizations work manage IT to achieve business objectives
 - Functional roles span: IT Operations, Audit, Security, Management, Governance, etc...
 - 04/2003: Co-chaired SANS Auditable Security Controls That Work
 - 10/2003: Co-Chaired SEI Best in Class Security and Operations Roundtable
 - 10/2004 (in planning): Co-Chairing SANS Defining IT Operational Training Curriculum



Common Traits Of The Highest Performers

Culture of change management

- Integration of IT operations and security processes via problem management and change management processes
- Processes that serve both organizational needs, as well as business objectives
- Highest rate of effective change (approved changes, change success rate)
- Culture of causality
 - Highest service levels (MTTR, MTBF)
 - Highest first fix rate (unneeded rework)
- Culture of compliance and continual reduction of operational variance
 - Production configurations
 - Highest level of pre-production staffing
 - Effective pre-production controls
 - Effective pairing of preventive and detective controls



Causal Factors of IT Downtime



Source: IDC, 2004



Common Process Areas Of High Performers

- All the high-performers had self-derived the same way of working
 - Culture of change management
 - Culture of causality
 - Culture of compliance and desire to continually reduce variance





Areas of Pain Identified by High Performing Organizations

- Volume of patches and patch management
 - Low performing: Adhoc, chaotic, urgent, disruptive; increase in unplanned work
 - High performing: Planned, predictable, just another change --> higher change success rate
- Proliferation of "scorecards" and other measurement, assessment instruments
 - Low Performing: Look to external sources, authorities; adopt scorecard du jour
 - High Performing: Have defined their own performance characteristics; can demonstrate traceability to other instruments
- Managing outsourced IT services
 - Transfer risk; out of sight; then unable to control
 - Manage like any other business unit or project; understand unique challenges; develop more bullet proof service level agreement









Common Root Causes - 1

- The absence of explicit articulation of current state and desired state obscures amount of pain
 - "It doesn't hurt enough yet; don't know that there is an alternative."
- A culturally embedded belief that control is not possible
 - Abdication of responsibility "throw up my hands"
- A system that rewards and reinforces personal heroics, instead of repeatable, predictable discipline
 - "What is overlooked is that if one person can save the entire boat, one person can probably sink it, too."



Common Root Causes - 2



- The argument that IT ops and security are different (than other business investments or projects)
 - A common belief is that ongoing security can exist outside the scope of IT operations.
- The continual desire for a technical solution
 - Technology is easier to justify and implement than people and process improvements



Visible Ops: Four Steps To Build An Effective Change Management Process

- Each of the four Visible Ops steps is:
 - A finite project: not a ISO 9001 initiative or a vague 5-year vision
 - Catalytic: returns more resources to the organization than it consumes, fueling the next steps
 - Sustaining: process stays in place, even when the initial force behind it disappears
 - Auditable: supports factual reporting and attestation to process adherence and consistency
 - Ordered: must be done in the specified order to achieve the above
- Model based on five years studying highperforming IT Ops and Security organizations
- Visible Ops has been donated to the ITPI





Visible Ops: Four Steps To Build An Effective Change Management Process





Which Metric Do You Want To Improve?

Release

- Time to provision known good build
- # turns to a known good build
- Shelf life of build
- % of systems that match known good build
- % of builds that have security sign-off
- # of fast-tracked builds
- Ratio of release engineers to sysadmins

Controls

- # of changes authorized per week
- # of actual changes made per week
- Change success rate
- # of emergency changes
- # of service-affecting outages
- # of "special" changes
- # of "business as usual" changes
- Change management overhead
- Configuration variance
- Resolution
 - MTTR, MTBF
 - % of time spent on unplanned work



Phase 4

Thought Experiment

What is more desirable?

- 1000 servers, configured identically, but configured insecurely
- 1000 servers, configured randomly, but 20% configured in a secure manner

Most high performing organizations would choose the first. Why?

Ability to systematically change all configurations, ability to defeat entropy, ability to maintain any desired state...



Phase 2

ITPI Background



- The Information Technology Process Initiative (ITPI), a not for profit organization, is engaged in three principle areas of activity, Research, Benchmarking and the Development of prescriptive guidance for practitioners and business executives.
- The ITPI has collaboration agreements in place with research organizations such as The University of Oregon Decision Sciences program and The Software Engineering Institute at Carnegie Mellon University.
- We are currently working to create prescriptive guidance that solves the common objectives of IT Security, Corporate Governance, Audit and Operations.
- Through Research, Development and Benchmarking the ITPI creates powerful measurement tools, prescriptive adoption methods and control metrics to facilitate management by fact.

http://www.itpi.org



Hypotheses



- That there is a low cost of quality for attaining highperforming and best-in-class characteristics
 - For instance, to achieve high availability, you can achieve by repeatable processes or controls, or by throwing enough resources at the problem (ad hoc)
 - In the two cases, how much does it cost to increase availability by 1%?
 - Show that processes allow linear improvement, while ad hoc creates exponential cost
- That the thinking processes of high-performance IT operations management can be quantitatively and qualitatively correlated



Hypotheses ***



- That within the five BS 15000 process areas, the release, controls and resolution are dominant
 - The three process areas create leading indicators (exogenous), while the remaining process areas create trailing indicators (endogenous)
- Candidate controls for correlation (and causation)
 - Change management
 - Release and configuration management (inventory)
 - Incident and problem management
 - Service level and availability management
 - Service catalog
 - Intrusion detection and access controls



Summary

- Visible Ops is the result of years of studying high-performing IT operations and security organizations in conjunction with the ITPI
- Transformations are possible and repeatable
- Visible Ops shows how to make these transformations in just four, achievable steps
- Gene Kim: genek@tripwire.com





