Races and Synchronization

CSE 220: Systems Programming

Ethan Blanton & Carl Alphonce

Department of Computer Science and Engineering University at Buffalo

Introduction

Races

Races, or race conditions, are situations where:

- Two or more events are dependent upon each other
- Some of the events may happen in more than one order, or even simultaneously
- There exists some ordering of the events that is incorrect

For example:

- Some state will be updated multiple times
- Output will be produced based on the state

If some order of updates results in invalid output, this is a race.



Introduction

Synchronization

Synchronization, in the context of a computer program, is the deliberate ordering of events via some mechanism.

There are many synchronization mechanisms, working in different ways.

Synchronization mechanisms may:

- Directly order events
- Simply ensure that events do not happen simultaneously
- Ensure that two events begin at the same time

Synchronization is how we avoid races.



Lecture Question

Ask a review question!



Races

Race Conditions

CS:APP [2] defines a race as:

[...] when the correctness of a program depends on one thread reaching point x in its control flow before another thread reaches point u.

Note that there may be many points x and y in a program!

The relationship between x and y may change over time, as well.

For example, "once thread T_1 has reached point p, it must reach point x before any other thread reaches point v."



Races

Data Races

While data races, or races involving modification of data, are not the only kind of race, they are very common.

A data race occurs when:

- Two or more concurrent flows access shared state
- One or more of these flows modifies the state
- The order of the accesses/modifications is important
- The synchronization in use is insufficient to preserve the necessary order

Races among any number of concurrent flows for the same data may be reduced to a set of pairwise races.

At least one access in each pair must be a modifying operation.



troduction Races Synchronization Deadlock Summary Reference:

Example Race

```
char *strings[4];
int nstrings;

void setstring(char *str) {
  int index = nstrings;
  strings[index] = str;
  nstrings++;
}

T1 index:
T2 index:

nstrings: 0

strings: NULL
NULL
NULL
NULL
NULL
```

```
char *strings[4]:
                                                 T_1 index: 0
                                                 To index:
     int nstrings;
                                                 nstrings: 0
     void setstring(char *str) {
T_1 \rightarrow \underline{\text{int index}} = \text{nstrings};
                                                  strings:
                                                                NULL
       strings[index] = str;
                                                                NULL
                                                                NULL
       nstrings++;
                                                                NULL
```

```
\begin{array}{c} \text{char *strings[4];} \\ \text{int nstrings;} \\ \\ \text{void setstring(char *str) } \\ \text{T}_1 \rightarrow \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{void setstring(char *str) } \\ \text{int index} = \text{nstrings;} \\ \text{strings[index] = str;} \\ \text{nstrings++;} \\ \end{array}}_{\text{NULL}} \leftarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{NULL} \\ \text{NULL} \\ \text{NULL} \\ \text{NULL} \\ \end{array}}
```

```
char *strings[4]:
                                                T_1 index: 0
                                                To index: 0
     int nstrings;
                                                nstrings: 0
     void setstring(char *str) {
T_1 \rightarrow \underline{\text{int index}} = \text{nstrings}:
                                                 strings:
       strings[index] = str; _______
                                                               NULL
                                                               NULL
       nstrings++;
                                                               NULL
```

```
\begin{array}{c} \text{char *strings[4];} \\ \text{int nstrings;} \\ \\ \text{void setstring(char *str) } \\ \text{int index = nstrings;} \\ \\ \text{T}_1 \to \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{strings[index]} = \text{str;} \\ \text{nstrings++;} \\ \end{array}} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{T}_1 \text{ index: } \\ \text{T}_2 \text{ index: } \\ \text{o} \\ \\ \text{nstrings: } \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Strings: } \\ \text{NULL} \\ \\ \text{NULL} \\ \end{array}
```

```
char *strings[4]:
                                              T_1 index: 0
                                              To index: 0
     int nstrings;
                                              nstrings: 1
    void setstring(char *str) {
       int index = nstrings:
                                               strings:
       strings[index] = str; _____
                                                            NULL
T_1 \rightarrow \frac{nstrings ++;}{\iota}
                                                            NULL
                                                            NULL
```

```
char *strings[4]:
                                              T_1 index: 0
     int nstrings;
    void setstring(char *str) {
       int index = nstrings:
                                               strings:
       strings[index] = str;
T_1 \rightarrow \frac{\text{nstrings}}{1}
```

```
To index: 0
nstrings: 2
              NULL
              NULL
              NULL
```

Consider two threads running the following code:

```
char *strings[4]:
                                    T₁ index:
                                    To index:
int nstrings;
                                    nstrings: 2
void setstring(char *str) {
  int index = nstrings:
                                     strings:
  strings[index] = str;
                                                 NULL
                                                 NULL
  nstrings++;
                                                 NULL
```

This is probably not what was intended!



Races

Critical Sections

```
void setstring(char *str) {
 int index = nstrings;
  strings[index] = str:
  nstrings++:
```

Lines 2-4 of setstring() form a critical section.

A critical section is a region of code that must be accessed by at most one control flow at a time



Races

Critical Sections

Critical sections often contain code that accesses shared state.

In most cases, any write to shared state is a critical section.¹

Reads from shared state may not be critical sections, particularly if the state is immutable or changes infrequently.

It is important to define critical sections carefully and completely.

¹There exist protocols that allow concurrent writes, however.



stroduction Races **Synchronization** Deadlock Summary References

Atomic Operations

Atomic operations are the simplest synchronization mechanism.

An atomic operation:

- Cannot be interrupted
- Appears as if no other operations run concurrently
- Always either fully succeeds or fails with no effects

Every atomic operation requires hardware support.

Not all machine instructions are atomic! (In fact, often very few are.)



Atomic Operations in C

C provides no guaranteed atomic operations.²

Atomic operations for synchronization from C require one of:

- Inline assembly code
- Library functions
- Knowledge of the compiler implementation
- Kernel assistance

²There is sig_atomic_t, which is atomic with respect to signals.

Mutual Exclusion

Mutual exclusion is a tool for ensuring that only one logical control flow accesses some resource

It is one of the most basic synchronization methods.

Mutual exclusion maps almost directly to critical sections:

The code of the critical section is the resource.



The Mutex

A software tool for providing mutual exclusion is the mutex.

It provides two operations:

- Lock
- Unlock

Operation	Mutex State	Action
Lock	Unlocked	Lock mutex immediately ³
Lock	Locked	Block until unlocked, then lock
Unlock	Locked	Unlock mutex immediately
Unlock	Unlocked	Implementation dependent

³If a flow locks a mutex that the same flow has already locked, behavior is implementation-dependent.



Synchronization with Mutexes

Mutexes can be used to provided synchronization.

They can:

- Ensure that two actions do not happen simultaneously
- Ensure that one action follows another

They can be used to create mechanisms to:

- Directly order events
- Ensure that two events begin at the same time

Many other synchronization "primitives" use mutexes.



Using Mutexes around Critical Sections

The typical use of a mutex is to protect a critical section.

Every concurrent flow will:

- Lock a mutex
- 2. Execute the critical section
- 3. Unlock the mutex

Since only one flow can lock the mutex at a time, this ensures mutual exclusion in the critical section.



Synchronization

Semaphores

Semaphores are a generalization of the mutex.

A semaphore is associated with a number.

There are two operations on a semaphore, variously named:

- P and V
- down and up
- wait and post

We will use P and V (after the original Dijkstra paper).



Semaphore Operations

P (for *proberen* in Dutch, or "to test") V (for *verhogen*, "to increment")

A semaphore s is initialized with a nonnegative integer.

P(s) attempts to decrement the integer:

- If it can be decremented and remain nonnegative (*i.e.*, it is > 1). P returns immediately
- If decrementing it would make it negative (i.e., it is 0). P blocks until it is > 0

V(s) increments the integer:

If the incremented value is 1, it releases one flow blocked on P. if such a flow exists



Semaphores as Mutexes

A semaphore initialized with the value 1 behaves like a mutex.

- P(s) succeeds immediately for the first logical control flow to attempt it
- Any further flows block on P(s) because s is now zero
- V(s) releases one flow blocked on s because s is now one

Semaphore value	Equivalent mutex state
1	Unlocked
0	Locked



Lecture Question

Ask a lecture question!



Condition Variables

Condition variables allow a logical control flow to block until some condition is met

They work with mutexes to provide efficient blocking.

The holder of a mutex that is locked can block for a certain condition by:

- Waiting on a condition variable in a loop
- Testing the condition when awakened
- Breaking the loop if the condition is met

A concurrent flow that may have satisfied the condition can:

- Broadcast to all waiting flows
- Signal one waiting flow



Synchronization

Mutex Interactions

The waiting flow must hold a mutex to wait.

The mutex must protect data used in the condition check.

Upon waiting, the mutex will be unlocked.

Upon awaking, the waiting flow will re-lock the mutex.

This means that the waiting flow cannot assume the protected data remained unchanged while it waited.

This complicated mutex interaction allows the signaling flow to modify the protected data.



Wake and Check

The wake and check procedure allows:

- A thread to safely signal the condition even if it is not sure it has been met
- A condition to be signaled in the presence of newcomers that may falsify it before the waiting thread is scheduled
- Threads to be spuriously woken for other reasons (e.g., asynchronous notifications)



Example Condition Control Flow

```
Mutex m
ConditionVariable cv
Data d
                                signaler() {
waiter() {
                                    lock m
    lock m
                                    modify d
    while condition on d {
                                    signal cv
        wait on cv
                                    unlock m
    take action
    unlock m
```



Deadlock

Deadlock is a condition in concurrent programming where two or more concurrent flows are waiting for each other and thus can never make progress.

```
Consider:
```

```
flow A:
                              flow B:
    lock mutex m0
                                  lock mutex m1
    lock mutex m1
                                  lock mutex m0
    do something
                                  do somethina
```

If flow A is interrupted by flow B after locking m0 and before locking m1, deadlock occurs.

Neither flow can proceed, and neither can release the other.



Necessary Conditions

For deadlock to occur, all of the following must be true [3]:

- At least one resource is mutually exclusive.
- Flows hold locks while waiting for other locks to become available
- Locks cannot be preempted: once a flow holds a lock, it holds it until it voluntarily releases it.
- A circular chain of flows exists, such that each flow holds some lock required by the next flow



Avoiding Deadlock

Deadlock is caused by synchronization.

There are various techniques to avoid deadlock.

For deadlock caused by mutual exclusion on multiple locks, there is a simple solution:

- All mutexes in a system are ordered (perhaps artificially)
- All flows lock mutexes in order
- All flows unlock mutexes in reverse order



Summary

Summary

- A race is a situation where program correctness depends on the order of operations in concurrent flows.
- Data races are races involving modification of data.
- Synchronization is the deliberate ordering of events.
- A critical section is a region of code that must be accessed by at most one concurrent flow at a time.
- Synchronization primitives:
 - Atomic operations
 - Mutexes
 - Semaphores
 - Condition variables
- Deadlock is a program error caused by synchronization.



Summary

Next Time ...

- POSIX threads
- POSIX mutexes
- POSIX semaphores
- POSIX condition variables
- **Basically POSIX**

References

References I

Optional Readings

- [1] Remzi H. Arpaci-Dusseau and Andrea C. Arpaci-Dusseau. Operating Systems: Three Easy Pieces, Chapter 26: 26.2–26.6, Arpaci-Dusseau Books, URL: https://pages.cs.wisc.edu/~remzi/OSTEP/
- [2] Randal E. Bryant and David R. O'Hallaron. Computer Science: A Programmer's Perspective. Third Edition. Chapter 12: 12.4-12.7. Pearson, 2016.
- [3] E. G. Coffman Jr., M. J. Elphick, and A. Shoshani. "System Deadlocks". In: Computing Surveys 3.2 (June 1971).



License

Copyright 2018–2025 Ethan Blanton, All Rights Reserved. Copyright 2024 Eric Mikida, All Rights Reserved. Copyright 2022–2025 Carl Alphonce, All Rights Reserved. Copyright 2019 Karthik Dantu, All Rights Reserved.

Reproduction of this material without written consent of the author is prohibited.

To retrieve a copy of this material, or related materials, see https://www.cse.buffalo.edu/~eblanton/.

