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## Hash Table Variants

## Announcements

- PA3 testing Autolab now open (testing due Wednesday)


## Recap of HashTables (so far...)

Current Design: HashTable with Chaining

- Array of buckets
- Each bucket is the head of a linked list (a "chain" of elements)
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## Expected Runtime:

1. Find the bucket (call our hash function): $O\left(c_{\text {hash }}\right)=O(1)$
2. Remove $\boldsymbol{x}$ from bucket if present: $\mathbf{O}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}_{\text {equality }}+\mathbf{1}\right)$
3. Prepend to bucket: $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{1}) \quad$ One additional constant-time
4. Rehash if need $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{N})$ (amortized $\mathrm{O}(1))$ step to prepend, and then
5. Total: $O\left(c_{\text {hash }}+\alpha \cdot c_{\text {equality }}+3\right)=O$ (1)
potentially the need to
rehash, but that is amortized o(1)
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## HashTable Drawbacks?

...So the expected runtime of all operations is $\mathbf{O ( 1 )}$
Why would you ever use any other data structure?

- HashTables do not preserve ordering
- HashTables may waste a lot of memory

These can be partially addressed by some HashTable variations

- Rehashing can be expensive
- Only guarantee on lookup time is that it is $\mathbf{O ( n )}$


## Collision Resolution

- When two records are assigned to the same bucket, this is called a collision
- With chaining, collisions are resolved by treating each bucket as a list
- May result in even more empty buckets (more wasted space)
- Two more collision resolution techniques try to help with this issue
- Open Addressing
- Cuckoo Hashing


## HashTables with Chaining

hash(A) $=4$<br>hash $(B)=5$<br>hash(C) $=5$<br>$\operatorname{hash}(D)=2$<br>hash(E) $=6$<br>hash(F) = 2

## HashTables with Chaining

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hash }(A)=4 \\
& \text { hash }(B)=5 \\
& \text { hash }(C)=5 \\
& \text { hash }(D)=2 \\
& \text { hash }(E)=6 \\
& \text { hash }(F)=2
\end{aligned}
$$



Collisions are resolved by adding the element to the buckets linked list

## HashTables with Open Addressing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hash }(\mathrm{A})=\mathbf{4} \leftarrow \text { no collision } \\
& \text { hash }(B)=5 \\
& \text { hash(C) }=5 \\
& \operatorname{hash}(D)=2 \\
& \text { hash(E) }=6 \\
& \text { hash(F) = } 4 \\
& \text { With Open Addressing collisions are } \\
& \text { resolved by "cascading" to the next } \\
& \text { available bucket }
\end{aligned}
$$

## HashTables with Open Addressing
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| hash(D) $=2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## HashTables with Open Addressing

```
hash(A) \(=4\)
hash(B) \(=5\)
hash(C) \(=5\)
hash(D) \(=2\)
hash(E) = 6
hash(F) \(\mathbf{~} 4 \leftarrow\) collision! Cascade all the way to 1
```


## HashTables with Open Addressing

```
hash \((A)=4\)
hash(B) \(=5\)
hash(C) \(=5\)
hash(D) \(=2\)
hash(E) \(=6\)
hash(F) \(=\mathbf{4} \leftarrow\) collision! Cascade all the way to 1
```



With Open Addressing collisions are resolved by "cascading" to the next available bucket

## HashTables with Open Addressing

$\operatorname{hash}(A)=4$
$\operatorname{hash}(B)=5$
$\operatorname{hash}(C)=5$
$\operatorname{hash}(D)=2$
$\operatorname{hash}(E)=6$
$\operatorname{hash}(F)=4$
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## HashTables with Open Addressing

```
\(\operatorname{hash}(A)=4\)
hash(B) \(=5\)
hash(C) \(=5\)
apply(F)
\(\operatorname{hash}(F)=4\)
```



Bucket 5 does not contain F. Are we sure F does not exist? No...it could have cascaded!

## HashTables with Open Addressing

```
\(\operatorname{hash}(A)=4\)
hash(B) \(=5\)
hash(C) \(=5\)
apply(F)
\(\operatorname{hash}(F)=4\)
```



Bucket 6 does not contain F. Are we sure F does not exist? No...it could have cascaded!

## HashTables with Open Addressing

```
\(\operatorname{hash}(A)=4\)
hash(B) \(=5\)
hash(C) \(=5\)
apply(F)
\(\operatorname{hash}(F)=4\)
```



Bucket 0 does not contain F. Are we sure F does not exist? No...it could have cascaded!

## HashTables with Open Addressing

```
\(\operatorname{hash}(A)=4\)
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apply(F)
\(\operatorname{hash}(F)=4\)
```
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## HashTables with Open Addressing

$\operatorname{hash}(A)=4$
$\operatorname{hash}(B)=5$
$\operatorname{hash}(C)=5$
$\operatorname{hash}(D)=2$
$\operatorname{hash}(E)=6$
$\operatorname{hash}(F)=4$$\quad \operatorname{apply}(F)$


Bucket 1 does not contain F. Are we sure $F$ does not exist? Yes! If $F$ existed it would be here, so apply(F) returns False.

What if we insert $F$ then remove $E$ ?

## HashTables with Open Addressing

hash $(A)=4$
hash $(B)=5$
hash $(C)=5$
hash $(D)=2$
hash $(E)=6$
hash $(F)=4$

| 0 | $F$ | D | $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | B | $\boldsymbol{C}^{C}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

apply ( $F$ ) would fail in this case because it would check bucket 0 and conclude $F$ doesn't exist!

Remove must also deal with potential cascading!

## Removals with Open Addressing

To remove elements with Open Addressing:

1. First find the element (if it exists)
2. Remove the element
a. Check all following elements in a contiguous block and move them up
b. Don't move any element Y to a position that comes before hash $(\mathrm{Y})$

## Open Addressing Runtime

Cascading to the next bucket(s) is called probing

- Linear Probing: If collision, cascade to hash(X) + ci
- Quadratic Probing: If collision, cascade to hash(X) + ci ${ }^{2}$


## Runtime Costs:

- Chaining is dominated by searching the chain
- Open Addressing is dominated by probing
- In both cases, with low $\alpha$ we expect operations to be $\mathbf{O}(1)$
- Open addressing will occupy more buckets (waste less space)


## Cuckoo Hashing

## Open Addressing can have arbitrarily long chains

Can we reduce the chance of cascading for some operations?

## Cuckoo Hashing

Idea: Use two hash functions, hash ${ }_{1}$ and hash ${ }_{2}$
To insert a record $\boldsymbol{X}$ :

1. If hash ${ }_{1}(\boldsymbol{X})$ and hash $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \boldsymbol{X}\right)$ are both available, pick one at random
2. If only one of those buckets is available, pick the available bucket
3. If neither is available, pick one at random and evict the record there
a. Insert $\boldsymbol{X}$ in this bucket
b. Insert the evicted record following the same procedure

## HashTables with Cuckoo Hashing



## HashTables with Cuckoo Hashing

$\operatorname{hash}_{1}(\mathrm{~A})=1 \quad \operatorname{hash}_{2}(\mathrm{~A})=3$
hash $_{1}(B)=2 \quad$ hash $_{2}(B)=4$
$\operatorname{hash}_{1}(\mathrm{C})=2 \quad$ hash $_{2}(\mathrm{C})=1$
$\operatorname{hash}_{1}(\mathrm{D})=4 \quad$ hash $_{2}(\mathrm{D})=6$
$\operatorname{hash}_{1}(\mathrm{E})=3 \quad$ hash $_{2}(\mathrm{E})=4$


| 0 | $\sqrt{A}$ | $B$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## HashTables with Cuckoo Hashing

| $\operatorname{hash}_{1}(A)=1$ | hash $_{2}(A)=3$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hash $_{1}(B)=2$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| hash $_{1}(C)=2$ | hash $_{2}(C)=1$ | C |  |  |  |  |  |
| hash $_{1}(D)=4$ | hash $_{2}(D)=6$ | C can't go in either bucket, so evict one at <br> random (let's say $B)$ and reinsert the evicted <br> element |  |  |  |  |  |

## HashTables with Cuckoo Hashing

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{hash}_{1}(A)=1 & \text { hash }_{2}(A)=3 \\
\text { hash }_{1}(B)=2 & \text { hash }_{2}(B)=4 \\
\text { hash }_{1}(C)=2 & \text { hash }_{2}(C)=1 \\
\text { hash }_{1}(D)=4 & \text { hash }_{2}(D)=6 \\
\text { hash }_{1}(E)=3 & \text { hash }_{2}(E)=4
\end{array}
$$



B

B can only go in 4 now, but 4 is free

## HashTables with Cuckoo Hashing

| $\operatorname{hash}_{1}(A)=1$ | hash $_{2}(A)=3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| hash $_{1}(B)=2$ | hash $_{2}(B)=4$ |
| hash $_{1}(C)=2$ | hash $_{2}(C)=1$ |
| hash $_{1}(D)=4$ | hash $_{2}(D)=6$ |
| hash $_{1}(E)=3$ | hash $_{2}(E)=4$ |


| 0 | $\cdot A$ | $C$ | 3 | $4^{B}$ | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

B can only go in 4 now, but 4 is free

## HashTables with Cuckoo Hashing

| $\operatorname{hash}_{1}(A)=1$ | hash $_{2}(A)=3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| hash $_{1}(B)=2$ | hash $_{2}(B)=4$ |
| hash $_{1}(C)=2$ | hash $_{2}(C)=1$ |
| hash $_{1}(D)=4$ | hash $_{2}(D)=6$ |
| hash $_{1}(E)=3$ | hash $_{2}(E)=4$ |


| 0 | $A$ | $C$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | 3 | $4^{B}$ | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## HashTables with Cuckoo Hashing

| hash $_{1}(A)=1$ | hash $_{2}(A)=3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| hash $_{1}(B)=2$ | hash $_{2}(B)=4$ |
| hash $_{1}(C)=2$ | hash $_{2}(C)=1$ |
| hash $_{1}(D)=4$ | hash $_{2}(D)=6$ |
| hash $_{1}(E)=3$ | hash $_{2}(E)=4$ |



## HashTables with Cuckoo Hashing

| $\operatorname{hash}_{1}(A)=1$ | hash $_{2}(A)=3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{hash}_{1}(B)=2$ | hash $_{2}(B)=4$ |
| hash $_{1}(C)=2$ | hash $_{2}(C)=1$ |
| hash $_{1}(D)=4$ | hash $_{2}(D)=6$ |
| hash $_{1}(E)=3$ | hash $_{2}(E)=4$ |


| 0 | $A$ | C | E | $4^{B}$ | 5 | $0^{D}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

What if we try to insert $F$ which hashes to either 1 or 3?

## HashTables with Cuckoo Hashing

| $\operatorname{hash}_{1}(A)=1$ | hash $_{2}(A)=3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{hash}_{1}(B)=2$ | hash $_{2}(B)=4$ |
| hash $_{1}(C)=2$ | hash $_{2}(C)=1$ |
| hash $_{1}(D)=4$ | hash $_{2}(D)=6$ |
| hash $_{1}(E)=3$ | hash $_{2}(E)=4$ |

0 ( 0 (5) 5

What if we try to insert $\boldsymbol{F}$ which hashes to either 1 or 3 ? We will loop infinitely trying to evict...so limit the number of eviction attempts then do a full rehash

## Cuckoo Hashing

So with Cuckoo Hashing, we may have to rehash early, and may follow long chains of evictions inserting, but...

What is the runtime of contains/remove?

## Cuckoo Hashing

So with Cuckoo Hashing, we may have to rehash early, and may follow long chains of evictions inserting, but...

What is the runtime of apply/remove?

1. Check 2 different buckets: $\mathbf{O}(1)$
2. That's it...no chaining, cascading etc...

Apply and remove are GUARANTEED O(1) with Cuckoo Hashing

