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Announcements

- Syllabus: posted on website
- Academic Integrity
Fix bad code → Write good code
FIX BAD CODE

valgrind  git  debugger
make  profiler
compiler

WRITE GOOD CODE

teammates
shell script
task board
automated testing
and more!
sound
process
Activities
Assessment
Grading
Learning activities

- (LEX) about twenty lab-based exercises, two per week, due in the lab session, done throughout the semester.

- (PRE)/(PST) A “process” team project, done twice, once as a pre-assessment in weeks 1 and 2 of the semester, and a second time as a post-assessment in weeks 12 and 13. Students are required to document their development/debugging process.

- (EXP) Two three-week exploratory projects. These projects ask student teams to apply the tools and techniques they have been taught up to that point in the course to existing projects provided to them. Students are required to document their use of the tools and the results they obtained.

- (LPR) A two-part in-lab practical exam, in weeks 13/14.
# Learning outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning outcome</th>
<th>Instructional methods</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employ static and dynamic analysis tools to detect faults in a given piece of software.</td>
<td>Lecture-based instruction</td>
<td>LEX, LPR, PRE/PST, EXP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employ profiling tools to identify performance issues (both time and memory) in a given piece of software.</td>
<td>Lab-based hands-on exercises.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employ testing frameworks to write tests that fail in the presence of software faults, and pass otherwise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employ a structured, methodical approach to detecting, testing, identifying and correcting software faults.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work productively as a member of a software development team.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Grading**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INDIVIDUAL (68%)</th>
<th>TEAM (32%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LEX</strong></td>
<td><strong>PRE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LPR</strong></td>
<td><strong>PST</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>EXP</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assessment: Performance Indicators (PIs)

Each piece of student work will be assessed using performance indicators, each of which has a rubric with four performance levels:

- “insufficient evidence”
- “developing”
- “secure”
- “exemplary”

Each performance indicator is assessed independently of the others.
**Assessment ➞ Grades**

- The overall grade for a piece of work is determined by comparing actual performance relative to performance expectations, which increase throughout the course.

- Early in a topic the expectation is that performance is close to the "insufficient evidence" level.

- Towards the middle of the topic we expect students to be at or above "developing".

- Towards the end of the course we expect students to be at or above the "secure" level.

- Specific rubrics and performance expectations will be published for each assignment.
ACADEMIC INTEGRITY

- INDIVIDUAL WORK: You must write the code as an individual, and may not seek direct assistance from classmates.

- TEAM WORK: You and your teammates must write the code by together - everyone must contribute.

- You may look up (but must cite!) resources for the necessary algorithms and data structures.
This week...
Teams & Labs

- Form teams of size 3 or 4 this week, by tomorrow's first lab if possible.
- I recommend all team members attend same lab, but not required.
- Labs start this week.
- One member of each team must make a private post in Piazza with the UBIT of each person on their team.
(PRE) PROCESS PROJECT

Will be posted on website once teams are formed.

Activity log:
Keep track of how/when you work

Warm-up on C programming
Document your programming process at this point in the course
(PRE) PROCESS PROJECT

Compiler

- use gcc compiler with C11 standard

- You can work on any machine, but test on timberlake.cse.buffalo.edu (that’s our reference system) before submitting: that’s where we’ll grade!

- If you have trouble connecting to timberlake, try styx.cse.buffalo.edu (same filesystem is mounted).
Setting the stage...
Is this code buggy?

```c
#include <stdio.h>

int main() {
    printf("Hello, world.");
    return 0;
}
```
It depends - what was the specification for the program?
Should the program check the return value of printf?

What is the return value of printf?
## Table 1. Single Taxable Income Brackets and Rates, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Taxable Income Bracket</th>
<th>Tax Owed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>$0 to $9,325</td>
<td>10% of Taxable Income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>$9,325 to $37,950</td>
<td>$932.50 plus 15% of the excess over $9325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>$37,950 to $91,900</td>
<td>$5,226.25 plus 25% of the excess over $37,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28%</td>
<td>$91,900 to $191,650</td>
<td>$18,713.75 plus 28% of the excess over $91,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33%</td>
<td>$191,650 to $416,700</td>
<td>$46,643.75 plus 33% of the excess over $191,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35%</td>
<td>$416,700 to $418,400</td>
<td>$120,910.25 plus 35% of the excess over $416,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.60%</td>
<td>$418,400+</td>
<td>$121,505.25 plus 39.6% of the excess over $418,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
double f(double x) {
    if (x < 9325) { return 0.1 * x; }  
    if (x < 37950) { return 932.50 + 0.15 * (x - 9325); } 
    if (x < 91900) { return 5225.25 + 0.25 * (x - 37950); }  
    if (x < 191650) { return 18713.75 + 0.28 * (x - 91900); } 
    if (x < 416700) { return 46643.75 + 0.33 * (x - 196150); } 
    if (x < 418400) { return 120910.25 + 0.35 * (x - 416700); } 
    return 131505.25 + 36.9 * (x - 418400);  
}
Is this code buggy?

double f(double x) {
    if (x < 9325) { return 0.1 * x; }
    if (x < 37950) { return 932.50 + 0.15 * (x - 9325); }
    if (x < 91900) { return 5225.25 + 0.25 * (x - 37950); }
    if (x < 191650) { return 18713.75 + 0.28 * (x - 91900); }
    if (x < 416700) { return 46643.75 + 0.33 * (x - 191650); }
    if (x < 418400) { return 120910.25 + 0.35 * (x - 416700); }
    return 131505.25 + 36.9 * (x - 418400);
}

The code also doesn't protest if x < 0.
What we’ll be doing in this course

- Learn tools to explore program structure and behavior.
- Consider correctness relative to a specification and performance relative to a requirement.
- Employ a methodical approach to tracking down, identifying, documenting and fixing problems with code.
- Employ a methodical approach to developing code.
static vs dynamic program analysis

static analysis - done on program without executing it

dynamic analysis - done on program by executing it
Compiler

a static analysis tool

In recitation this week we will explore what a compiler can and can’t tell us about our code.
Fig. 2.1 Simplified build and test flow
Fig. 2.1  Simplified build and test flow
The 13 Golden Rules of Debugging

1. Understand the requirements
2. Make it fail
3. Simplify the test case
4. Read the right error message
5. Check the plug
6. Separate facts from interpretation
7. Divide and conquer
8. Match the tool to the bug
9. One change at a time
10. Keep an audit trail
11. Get a fresh view
12. If you didn’t fix it, it ain’t fixed
13. Cover your bugfix with a regression test
Next time we'll consider each of these in turn.