

University at Buffalo

Spring 2017, CSE 633LEC Parallel Algorithms Section 0

Instructor: Miller, Russ (Primary)

There were: 11 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	RR	Top Two	Avg	Median	Interpol. Median	SD	Dev. from Mean	Z-Score	95% CI Low	95% CI High	Miller Avg	633LEC Avg	CS E Avg	CS E SD	Di v Avg	Di v SD	Di v Lvl	Di v Lvl SD	Sch Avg	Sch SD	Sch Lvl	Sch Lvl SD	Nat'l Avg	S Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	S Agree	NA/DK
1	High achievement standards for class	6	55%	83%	4.2	4.5	4.5	1.2	-0.1	-0.1	3	5	3.9	4.2	4.3	0.9	4.3	0.9	4.4	0.9	4.3	0.9	4.4	0.9		0%	17%	0%	33%	50%	0%
2	Clearly showed relevance of course	6	55%	83%	4.3	4.5	4.5	0.8	0.1	0.1	3.5	5	3.8	4.3	4.2	1.0	4.2	1.0	4.4	0.9	4.2	1.0	4.4	0.9		0%	0%	17%	33%	50%	0%
3	Provided useful and timely feedback on graded work	6	55%	100%	4.5	4.5	4.5	0.6	0.4	0.7	3.9	5	3.7	4.5	4.2	1.0	4.1	1.1	4.3	1.0	4.1	1.1	4.3	1.0		0%	0%	0%	33%	33%	33%
4	Creates environment of inclusion	6	55%	83%	4.5	5	4.8	0.8	0.3	0.4	3.7	5	3.7	4.5	4.3	1.0	4.2	1.0	4.5	0.9	4.2	1.0	4.5	0.9		0%	0%	17%	17%	67%	0%
5	Violations of Academic Integrity did not occur	6	55%	83%	4.5	5	4.8	0.8	0.3	0.4	3.7	5	3.8	4.5	4.2	1.0	4.2	1.0	4.5	0.8	4.2	1.0	4.5	0.8		0%	0%	17%	17%	67%	0%
																										V Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	
6	Overall course	6	55%	83%	4.2	4	4.2	0.8	0.2	0.3	3.4	5	3.4	4.2	4.0	1.0	3.9	1.1	4.2	0.9	4.0	1.0	4.2	0.9		0%	0%	17%	50%	33%	
																										Str Disagr	Disagr	Neutral	Aggr	Str Agr	
7	Course organized	6	55%	83%	4.2	4	4.2	0.8	0.1	0.1	3.4	5	3.3	4.2	4.0	1.1	4.0	1.1	4.2	1.0	4.1	1.0	4.1	1.0		0%	0%	17%	50%	33%	
8	Intellectual challenge	6	55%	83%	4	4	4	0.6	-0.1	-0.2	3.4	4.6	3.8	4	4.2	0.9	4.2	0.9	4.3	0.9	4.1	0.9	4.2	0.9		0%	0%	17%	67%	17%	
9	Work load reasonable	6	55%	83%	4	4	4	0.6	-0.1	-0.2	3.4	4.6	3.6	4	3.9	1.1	3.9	1.1	4.2	0.9	4.1	1.0	4.2	1.0		0%	0%	17%	67%	17%	
																										S Disagr	Disagr	Neutral	Aggr	S Agr	NA/DK
10	Method of eval fair	6	55%	100%	4.7	5	4.8	0.5	0.5	1	4.2	5	3.6	4.7	4.2	1.0	4.1	1.1	4.4	0.9	4.2	1.0	4.3	1.0		0%	0%	0%	33%	67%	0%
																										Str Disagr	Disagr	Neutral	Aggr	Str Agr	
11	Course content helped learning	6	55%	83%	4	4	4	0.6	0	0	3.4	4.6	3.3	4	3.9	1.0	3.9	1.0	4.2	0.9	4.0	1.0	4.1	0.9		0%	0%	17%	67%	17%	
																										V Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	NA
14	Overall instructor (Miller, Russ)	6	55%	83%	4.5	5	4.8	0.8	0.2	0.2	3.7	5	3.7	4.5	4.3	1.0	4.2	1.0	4.5	0.8	4.3	1.0	4.4	0.9		0%	0%	17%	17%	67%	0%
																										S Disagr	Disagr	Neutral	Aggr	S Agr	NA/DK
15	Present learning outcomes clearly (Miller, Russ)	6	55%	100%	4.7	5	4.8	0.5	0.4	0.8	4.2	5	3.7	4.7	4.3	0.9	4.3	1.0	4.5	0.8	4.3	0.9	4.4	0.9		0%	0%	0%	33%	67%	0%
16	Enthusiastic about teaching (Miller, Russ)	6	55%	100%	4.6	5	4.7	0.5	0.2	0.4	4.1	5	3.7	4.6	4.4	0.9	4.4	0.9	4.6	0.7	4.4	0.9	4.5	0.8		0%	0%	0%	33%	50%	17%
17	Welcome seeking help (Miller, Russ)	6	55%	100%	4.7	5	4.8	0.5	0.4	0.8	4.2	5	3.7	4.7	4.3	0.9	4.3	1.0	4.5	0.8	4.3	0.9	4.4	0.9		0%	0%	0%	33%	67%	0%
18	Presented material clearly (Miller, Russ)	6	55%	100%	4.6	5	4.7	0.5	0.4	0.7	4.1	5	3.6	4.6	4.2	1.1	4.1	1.1	4.4	0.9	4.2	1.0	4.3	0.9		0%	0%	0%	33%	50%	17%

Instructor	Text Responses
------------	----------------

	Question: Effective teaching
Miller, Russ	He was good

Distribution of Scores

