

More MNT Examples

If I change this to " $n \geq 0$ ", allowing "1" & L,
does the proof become wrong?

$L = \{0^n \mid 0^n : n \geq 1\}$. Same basic "script":

Take $S = 0^*$. Clearly S is infinite. Let any $x, y \in S$ ($x \neq y$) be given. Then there are numbers $m, n \geq 1$ with $m \neq n$ s.t. $x = 0^m$ and $y = 0^n$. Take $z = 10^m$. Then:

$xz = 0^m 1 0^m \in L$ but $yz = 0^n 1 0^m \notin L$ since $n \neq m$.

Hence S is an infinite PD set for L , so L is not regular. 18

[Study Q: With L , ~~as~~ above with $n \geq 1$), is $S = 0^*$ still PD?
The proof would be wrong, but can the proof be fixed?]

Footnote: $\{0^m 0100^m \mid m \geq 0\}$ is an equivalent def^h of L .

$L' = \{0^n 0^n \mid n \geq 1\}$? Take $S = 0^*$ let any $x, y \in S$, $x \neq y$ be given. $x = 0^m$ $y = 0^n$. Take $z = 0^n$. Then $xz = 0^m 0^n \notin L'$... no: it can still be $\in L'$.
 $L' = (001)^*$ which is regular.

$L'' = \{ww \mid w \in \{0, 1\}^*\}$. "Critical Cases": $w = \underline{\underline{0000 \cdots 01}}$
Take $S = 0^* 1$. Clearly S is infinite! eq. $ww = 00000010000001$.

Let any $x, y \in S$, $x \neq y$ be given. Then there are $m, n \geq 1$, $m \neq n$, such that $x = 0^m 1$ and $y = 0^n 1$. Take $z = 0^m 1$. Then, $xz = 0^m 1 \cdot 0^m 1 \in L''$ by the division shown, but $yz = 0^n 1 0^m 1 \notin L''$ because the only possible div is after the first 1, but $n \neq m$ so it $\therefore L''$ is not regular. ⑩ doesn't work.

II. The Class REG (or just REG) of Regular Languages⁽²⁾

string = list<char>

"First-order Objects" = x, y, z, w, v, u...

language = set<string>

"Second-order" = L, A, B, C, D, ...

3rd order class = set<language> = set<set<list<char>>>

The last few weeks have proved the following Theorem 1:

For any language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$, the following are equivalent:

(a) There is a regular expression R such that $L = L(R)$

(b) There is a DFA M such that $L = L(M)$

(c) There is an NFA N such that $L = L(N)$

(d) ... DFA, ... etc!

$L \in \text{REG}$

Proof: (a) \rightarrow (c), (c) \rightarrow (b), (b, c, d) \rightarrow a. \square

Theorem 2: For any regular expression R, we can build a regular expression R' such that $L(R') = \sim L(R)$.

Abstractly: The class REG is closed under complements.

Abstract proof: Use (b) to take a DFA M st. $L(M) = L$, then simply and quickly build $M' = (Q, \Sigma, S, S, Q \setminus F)$.

Concrete proof of Theorem 2: (a) \rightarrow (c): Convert R into equivalent NFA N_R .
 □ (c) \rightarrow (b): Convert N_R into equivalent DFA M_R .

Theorem 3: REG is closed under \cap . Stay in (b): Complement M_R to M'_R .
 (b) \rightarrow (a): Convert M'_R into final reg exp R' .

I-e for any regular languages $A, B \in \text{REG}$,
 the language $A \cap B$ is also in REG.

Let any $A, B \in \text{REG}$ be given.
 Thus $L(M_A) = A$ and M_A is a DFA, so $A \cap B$ is regular. \square

Proof: We may take DFAs M_A, M_B st. $L(M_A) = A$ and $L(M_B) = B$. Then
 build a DFA M_C st. $L(M_C) = L(M_A) \cap L(M_B)$ using "Cartesian Product" for DFAs.

Suppose instead we are given regular expressions α and β ,^③ and we desire to build a regexp γ st. $L(\gamma) = L(\alpha) \cap L(\beta)$?

Proof: ① Convert α, β to equivalent NFAs (with ϵ arcs)

Algorithm: N_α and N_β $L(N_\alpha) = L(\alpha)$, $L(N_\beta) = L(\beta)$.

② \rightarrow ② Convert N_α, N_β to equivalent DFAs M_α, M_β .
 ! ③ "Cart. Prod." M_γ st. $L(M_\gamma) = L(M_\alpha) \cap L(M_\beta)$.

Piazza Q: ④ Convert M_γ back to γ st. $L(\gamma) = L(M_\gamma)$.

Can we avoid
explosion of NFA \rightarrow DFA? not to mention V

∴ Since REG is closed under \sim and \cap , it is closed under all Boolean operations.

Theorem: All finite languages are regular. Proof: If

$L = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m\}$, then L has the regexp $w_1 \cup w_2 \cup \dots \cup w_m$. \square

∴ If L is regular, then any language L' obtained by adding and/or taking away finitely many strings is also regular.

Because: if F is the finite language of symbols whose status was changed, then $L' = \underset{\text{regular}}{L} \Delta \underset{\text{regular}}{F} = (L \setminus F) \cup (F \setminus L)$.

Example of all three objects: $\xrightarrow{\text{Bool op.}}$ $L \oplus F$ in text
(p151 or so)

For any $K \geq 1$, define $L_K = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^*: \text{bit } K \text{ from the end is a '1'}\}$.

Regular Expr: $R_K = (0 + 1)^* 1 (0 + 1)^{K-1}$: $12 + \lceil \log_2 K \rceil$ chars.

NFA: $N_K = \xrightarrow{\text{ }} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{1} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{0} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{0} \dots \xrightarrow{0} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{0} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array}$; $2K+1$ arcs

DFA? Study Fact: $\{0, 1\}^K$ is PD for L_K , so any DFA M_K st. $L_K = L(M_K)$ needs 2^K states!