

Top Hat
#6570

Defⁿ A CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ is in Chomsky normal form (CNF) if every rule is in $V \rightarrow \Sigma$ or $V \rightarrow VV$.

That is, every rule has the form $A \rightarrow c$, $c \in \Sigma$, or $A \rightarrow BB$ or $A \rightarrow AA$ or $A \rightarrow BC$, $A, B, C \in V$.

(Rest of page discusses last two steps to CNF, why meaningless) ADDED:

Theorem: For every CFG G_0 we can build a CFG G_4 in CNF such that $L(G_4) = L(G_0) \setminus \{\epsilon\}$. (If $\epsilon \in L(G_0)$, the text allows adding a new S_4 : $S_4 \rightarrow \epsilon$ | Right-hand sides of rules for S_4 in G_4)

The last two steps are "silly" imho: Then the grammar G_4 is in "Relaxed CNF" and gives $L(G_4) = L(G_0)$ exactly. OK by me

We will get G_2 in which each rule is $A \rightarrow c$ or $A \rightarrow \vec{X}$ with $|\vec{X}| \geq 2$.

3. If a terminal c occurs in \vec{X} , add an "alias variable" P_c and the rule $P_c \rightarrow c$. Then replace every occurrence of c in \vec{X} by P_c . Doing this for all rules makes $L(G_3) = L(G_2)$, all rules in $V \rightarrow \Sigma$ or $V \rightarrow V$.

4. Given a rule $A \rightarrow \vec{X}$ where \vec{X} consists of 3 or more variables, break \vec{X} down in steps of 2 like so: $A \rightarrow BCDE$ becomes $A \rightarrow BQ_1$, $Q_1 \rightarrow CQ_2$, $Q_2 \rightarrow DE$. where there are the only rules for the new variables Q_1, Q_2 . i.e. G_4

Defⁿ: A variable A is nullable if $A \Rightarrow^* \epsilon$. ⁽²⁾

The first steps on the way to CNF are:

- ① Identify the subset $NULLABLE \subseteq V$ of nullable vars
- ② Alter the rules so that ϵ -rules $B \rightarrow \epsilon$ are no longer needed. * Text blends these steps.

Algm for ①. Initialize $N = \{A \in V : A \rightarrow \epsilon \text{ is a rule}\}$

bool changed = true

while (changed) {

 changed = false;

 for each B in $V - N$:

 if (B has a rule $B \rightarrow X$ with $X \in N^*$):

$N := N \cup \{B\}$

 changed = true;

}

output N // = final NULLABLE. There are 2 occurrences of S

Step 2 explained in other notes.

Example: $S \rightarrow (S)S \mid \epsilon$

Theorem: G_1 derives all the nonempty balanced parentheses strings

$G_1 =$ {combs: ① The original rule $S \rightarrow (S)S$
② $S \rightarrow () S$ deleting first occurrence
③ $S \rightarrow (S)$ deleting last occurrence
④ $S \rightarrow ()$ deleting both.

Second Step: "Bypass" unit rules $A \rightarrow B$. ⁽³⁾

(i) Build the ^{directed} graph H of edges (A, B) for all unit rules in G .

We want to identify cases where $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$.

(ii) Take H^* to be the transitive closure of H .

(iii) For each $(A, C) \in H^*$, and all rules $C \rightarrow \vec{X}$, add $A \rightarrow \vec{X}$ as a rule. Sound.

(iv) delete all unit rules (incl any new ones)

Comprehensive because added $A \rightarrow \vec{X}$ rules cover all situations that had unit steps.

The resulting CFG G_2 has $L(G_2) = L(G_1) = L(G) \setminus \{\epsilon\}$ and all rules have the form $A \rightarrow C$ or $A \rightarrow X$ with $X \in VV^*$ i.e. $|X| \geq 2$.

Final "silly" steps making RHS have length = 2 were already discussed orally. \square

Unit Rules Example:

$S \rightarrow AB | cA | \epsilon$ $A \rightarrow SS | Sa$ $B \rightarrow AS | c$

$N \cup \{A, B, S\}$ Grammar $G, \{A, B, S\}$

G_1
 $S \rightarrow AB | A | B | cA | c$ $A \rightarrow SS | S | Sa | a$
 $B \rightarrow AS | A | S | c$

Graph H



Transitive closure adds (A, B).

G_2 : $S \rightarrow AB | cA | c | SS | Sa | a | AS$ already have c
A and B get exactly the same here. "Yuck!"

We still need aliases for CNF. $X_c \rightarrow c$ $X_a \rightarrow a$ here
Yuck²!