

Closed book, no electronics, one notes sheet allowed but otherwise closed notes, closed neighbors, 75 minutes after 5-minute read-in period. *Show your work*, and explain your reasoning where it is naturally called for—doing so may help for partial credit.

(1) (30 pts.)

The following “EBNF fragment” could be part of a grammar for Java, although it omits access modifiers (like “public”), throws clauses, arrays, and qualified (i.e., dotted) class-or-interface names (CINAMEs). Literal commas and parens and `< >` are quoted to distinguish them from grammar notation, while `;` `&` `?` are literal characters. The grammar defines a syntax for prototypes of possibly-generic methods appearing in interfaces.

```

IMETHOD ::= ["<" TP{,TP} ">"] TYPE ID "(" [PARAM{," PARAM}] ")" ;
TP      ::= ID [extends CINAME{& CINAME}]
TA      ::= CINAME | ? extends CINAME | ? super CINAME
CINAME  ::= ID ["<" TA{,TA} ">"]           //real Java BNF allows dotted names
PARAM   ::= [final] TYPE ID                  //real Java BNF allows arrays too
TYPE    ::= PRIMTYPE | CINAME | void        //and doesn't say "void" is a "type"
PRIMTYPE ::= int | long | short | float | double | char | byte | boolean
ID      ::= ---any valid identifier---

```

(a) Taking `IMETHOD` as the start symbol, call the above grammar “ G ”. For each of the following eight strings, say “yes” if it is derivable in G , and “no” if not. You need not show derivations or parse trees here—just the yes/no answer is enough—but scratchwork may help for partial credit if you’re wrong. ($8 \times 3 = 24$ pts.)

- (i) `void foo(int x, ? extends Bar y);`
- (ii) `void foo(int x, Bar<? extends Star> y);`
- (iii) `Bar foo(Bar x, Bar<? extends Bar> y);`
- (iv) `void foo(Bar<int x, ? extends Star> y);`
- (v) `void foo(int x, Bar<T, ? extends Star> y);`
- (vi) `void foo(int x, Bar<T extends Star> y);`
- (vii) `<T extends Star> Bar foo(int x, Bar y);`
- (viii) `Bar<T extends Star> void foo(int x, Bar y);`

(b) It is not really proper to call `void` a “type” in Java, and method parameters cannot be `void`. Fix the “bug” by removing the option `TYPE ::= void`, and adding option(s) for different variable(s) to produce a “correct” grammar. (6 pts.)

(2) (6+9+3 = 21 pts.)

Consider the following expression in C/C++/Java. Note that these languages consider assignment to be an operator of lowest precedence and allow nested assignments.

`x = y + (z = x + y) - z;`

- (a) Write an expression tree for this expression. You must follow the rules of precedence and associativity in C/C++/Java, including those for `=` as a binary operator.
- (b) Now write a *parse tree* in the tiered grammar below, It resembles the the answer for HW2 problem (3) with assignment in place of rightshift, except that assignment is *right*-associative.
- (c) If one removes the `(...)` around `(z = x + y)`, the code fails to compile. Why?

```
A ::= E | E = A
E ::= T | E+T | E-T
T ::= F | T*F | T/F | T%F
F ::= -F | (A) | any-constant-or-variable.
```

(3) (12+6 = 18 pts.)

Suppose we have the following code with nested declarations inside different referencing environments:

```
class Bar {
    String x = "Bar.x";
    String y = "Bar.y";
    void foo1() {
        String x = "Foo1.x";
        y = x;
        foo2();
    }
    void foo2() {
        y = x;
    }
    ...
}
```

- (a) For each occurrence of `x` and `y` in the two assignment statements `y = x;`, say which of the three declarations it refers to. You should have 4 separate answers.
- (b) If `foo1()` is called, what is the final value of `y`?

(4) (31 pts. total)

Consider the following two OCaml functions. Note `mod` is the modulo function:

```

let rem a = a mod 2
let rec useTheForce1 han =
  match han with
  | [] -> []
  | h1::h2 -> if (rem h1) = 0
    then h1*h1::(useTheForce1 h2)
    else useTheForce1 h2

let useTheForce2 han =
  List.fold_left (fun acc h1 -> if (rem h1) != 0
    then acc
    else h1*h1::acc)
  [] han

```

(i) Are useTheForce1 and useTheForce2 equivalent (by equivalent we mean for the same input they produce the same output)?

- A: Yes, both functions return the same lists with the same elements in the same order
- B: No, the list produced by useTheForce1 is the reverse of the list produced by useTheForce2
- C: Yes, both functions will return the same integer
- D: No, the two functions do not return the same type
- E: No, the list produced by useTheForce1 will contain more elements than the list produced by useTheForce2

(ii) What is the type of useTheForce1?

- A: int list -> int list
- B: 'a list -> 'a list
- C: int list -> int * int list -> 'a list
- D: int list -> int
- E: int list -> int -> int list

(iii) What is the type of useTheForce2?

- A: int list -> int list
- B: int list -> ('a * 'b) -> 'b -> 'a list -> 'b -> int list
- C: ('a * 'b) -> 'b -> 'a list -> 'b
- D: 'a list -> 'a list
- E: 'a list -> ('a * 'b) -> 'b -> 'a list -> 'b -> 'a list

END OF EXAM