

Seq 11

THEOREM: For any input  $(M, W, 2n \text{ pref list})$  the GS algo outputs a stable matching.

$\Rightarrow$  Every input has a stable matching.

LEMMA 1: For every input, the GS algo terminates in  $\leq n^2$  iterations.

LEMMA 2: The output of GS algo ( $S$ ) is a perfect matching

LEMMA 3:  $S$  has no instability

Lemmas 1+2+3  $\Rightarrow$  Theorem

Pf idea Lemma 1: In each iteration, a new proposal (from  $w$  to  $m$ ) is made.

$\Rightarrow$  # iterations = # proposals  $\leq$  # pairs  $(w, m) = |W \times M| = |W| \cdot |M| = n \cdot n = n^2$

Obs 0:  $S$  is a matching.

Obs 1: Once a man gets engaged, he keeps getting engaged to better women.

Obs 2: If  $w$  proposes to  $m$  after  $m'$   $\Rightarrow m' > m$  in  $L_w$

Lemma 4: If at the end of an iteration,  $w$  is free  $\Rightarrow w$  has NOT proposed to all men.

Pf of Lemma 2: (Pf idea) Pf. by contradiction (use Obs 0, Lemmas 1+4, algo def.)

Pf details: Assume  $S$  is NOT a perfect matching  $\Rightarrow$   $\exists$  a free woman  $w$

(Obs 0 + Algo def.)  $\Rightarrow$  (Lemma 4)  $\Rightarrow$   $\exists$  a man  $m$  that  $w$  has not proposed to  $(*)$

By Lemma 1, algo has terminated  $\Rightarrow$  all free women  
Algo defn have proposed to  
ALL men

$\Rightarrow$  contradicts (\*)