

Sep 13 THEOREM! For every input, $(n, M, w, 2n \text{ pref lists})$
the Gale-Shapley (GS) outputs a stable mat

COROLLARY! Every input to the stable matching problem has
a stable matching

(PF): Follows from Theorem.

PF of Theorem Fix an arbitrary input.

→ Say S is the output of the GS algo.

Want to prove: S is a stable matching.

PF idea: Lemma 0! For every input, GS algo terminates ($\leq n^2$ iterations)

Lemma 1! S is a perfect matching

Lemma 2! S has NO instability

Recall:
stable matching
= perfect matching
+
NO instability

Lem 0+1+2 \Rightarrow Theorem.

PF idea Lemma 0: (see care package for proof details)

By algo design, in each iteration of GS algo, there is a new proposal

$$\Rightarrow \# \text{iterations} = \# \text{proposals} \leq \# \text{pairs } (w, m) = |W \times M|$$

$$\Rightarrow \# \text{iterations} \leq n^2 \quad \begin{matrix} w \in W \\ m \in M \end{matrix} = |W| \cdot |M| = n \cdot n = n^2$$

Obs 0: S is a matching (Ex: pf by induction)

Obs 1: Once m gets engaged, he remains engaged to better woman.

Obs 2: If w proposes to m after m' $\Rightarrow m' > m$ in L_w

Lemma 3: If at the end of any iteration, w is free, then w has NOT proposed to ALL men.

Pf (idea) of Lemma 1: Goal: S is a perfect matching

Pf by contradiction (Use Obs 0, Lemma 0+3, Algo def.)

Pf details: Assume S is NOT a perfect matching

$\Rightarrow \exists$ a free woman w

by Obs 0,
algo definition

w has not proposed to all men

by Lem 3

$\Rightarrow \exists$ a free woman who has not proposed to all men.

By condition in while loop \Rightarrow Algo cannot have terminated
 \Rightarrow contradicts Lemma 0. \square