

# Lecture 8

CSE 331

Sep 13, 2024

If you need it, ask for help



# Register your project groups

**Deadline: Friday, Sep 20, 11:59pm**

CSE 331

Syllabus

Piazza

Schedule

Homeworks ▾

Autolab

Project ▾

Support Pages ▾

▶ channel

Sample Exams ▾

Project Overview

Group signup form

## Forming groups

You form groups of size **exactly three (3)** for the project. Below are the various logistics:

- You have two choices in forming your group:
  - You can form your group on your own: i.e. you can submit the list of EXACTLY three (3) group members in your group.

### </> Note

Note that if you pick the option of forming a group of size two. If

Also, if you form a group

If you miss this deadline then you will get a ZERO on the ENTIRE project

cannot submit as

- You can submit *just your name*, and you will be assigned a random group *among all students who take this second option*. However, **note that if you pick this option, you could end up in a group of size 2**. There will be at most two groups of size 2.

### </> Potential risk

Note that if you pick the option of being assigned a random group, you take on the risk that a assigned group might not "pull their weight." We unfortunately cannot help with such aspects of group dynamics. (Of course if a group member is being abusive, please do let Atri know.) Please note that a group member who does not do much work will get penalized on the [individual component](#) of the project grade.

### Submitting your group composition

Use [this Google form](#) to submit your group composition (the form will allow you to pick one of the two options above).

- You need to fill in the form for group composition by **11:59pm on Friday, September 20**.

# Your UBIT ID is

xyz if your email ID is xyz@buffalo.edu

**NOT**

xyz@buffalo.edu

Your UB person number

# Submission requirements

## Submission

### ! Submit part (a) and (b) separately

You need to submit **two (2) PDF** files to Autolab: one for part (a) and one for part (b). While you can assume part (a) as a given for part (b), to get credit for part (a) you have to submit your solution for part (a) separately from part (b).

**Make sure you submit the correct PDF to the correct submission link on Autolab. If you do not (e.g. if you submit Q1(a) PDF to Q1(b) or even Q2(a) or Q2(b)), then you will lose ALL points.**

We recommend that you typeset your solution but we will accept scans of handwritten solution-- you have to make sure that the scan is legible.

### ! PDF only please

**If Autolab cannot display your file, (irrespective of the reason) then you will get a zero (0) on the entire question.**

Autolab might not be able to display files in formats other than PDF (e.g. Word cannot be displayed). **Note that Autolab will "accept" your submission even if you submit non-PDF file, so it is YOUR responsibility to make sure you submit in the correct format.**

Also the file size has to be **at most 3MB**.

## Grading Guidelines

We will follow the [usual grading guidelines for non-programming questions](#). Here is a high level grading rubric specific to part (a) of this problem:

1. **Proof idea**: 10 points.

and here is the high level grading rubric for part (b):

1. **Proof/Algorithm idea**: 20 points for

*If your answer is yes*: the idea behind the algorithm that for any input, computes a pair of stable schedules.

- *If your answer is no*: a counterexample idea explaining the insight behind why you think the property does not hold.

2. **Proof/Algorithm details**: 20 points for

*If your answer is yes*: details of the algorithm that for any input, computes a pair of stable schedules *and* an argument as to why your algorithm will always output a pair of stable schedules for every input.

- *If your answer is no*: a complete description of a counterexample *and* a complete proof for why the given counter example does not have any stable schedule.

### ! Note

**If you do not have separated out and labeled proof/algorithm idea and proof/algorithm details for part (b), you will get a zero (0) irrespective of the technical correctness of your solution.**

# You need to confirm that you followed the requirements Homework 1, Question 1(a)

## Collaboration

You can collaborate on this question with up to two (2) more CSE 331 students. However, you cannot work with someone else outside of your group for the other questions on HW 1.

## Submit part (a) and (b) separately for Q1

You need to submit **two (2)** PDF files for Q1 to Autolab: one for part **(a)** and one for part **(b)**. While you can assume part **(a)** as a given for part **(b)**, to get credit for part **(a)** you have to submit your solution for part **(a)** separately from part **(b)**.

Make sure you submit the correct PDF to the correct submission link on Autolab. If you do not (e.g. if you submit your Q1(a) PDF to Q1(b) or any of Q2(a) or Q2(b)), then you will lose ALL points.

We recommend that you typeset your solution but we will accept scans of handwritten solution-- you have to make sure that the scan is legible.

## PDF only please

By clicking the check mark below, you confirm that you have read and understood the instructions in HW 1 (as well as the CSE 331 homework policies). **Note that not following the instructions could result you getting a ZERO (0) on the entire problem even if your actual solution is technically correct (After clicking this check-box you cannot later claim that you missed reading an instruction.)**

You confirm that you have read and understood the instructions in HW 1 (as well as the CSE 331 homework policies)

## Sources and Collaborators

By clicking the check mark below, you confirm that you have listed your source(s) in your submitted PDF. (If you did not use any sources say *none*. Not stating your source(s) explicitly will result in loss of all points.)

You confirm that you have explicitly stated your source(s) in your submission

By clicking the check mark below, you confirm that you have listed your collaborator(s) in your submitted PDF. (If you did not collaborate with anyone say *none* for collaborators. Not stating your collaborator(s) explicitly will result in loss of all points.)

You confirm that you have explicitly stated your collaborator(s) in your submission

# Review the HW policy doc!

CSE 331

Syllabus

Piazza

Schedule

Homeworks ▾

Autolab

Project ▾

Support Pages ▾

▶ channel

Sample Exams ▾

## CSE 331

Fall 2024

HW 0

Soln 0

HW 1

Allowed Sources

Homework Policies

## Homework Policies

This page contains policies, suggestions and explanations of things related to CSE 331 homeworks. Please note that not following some of these policies can lead to a **letter grade reduction or an F** in the course and not following some could lead to you getting a zero on your homework submission.

### Please Note

It is **your responsibility** to make sure you read and understand the contents of this document. If you have any questions, please contact the instructor. Or better yet, make a post on [Piazza](#) [↗](#).

## Overview

On this page, you can find more details on:

1. [Source and Collaboration policy](#) (or how not to get an F in this course);
2. [Preparing your homework submissions](#) (or how not to get a zero on a question);
3. [Grading details](#) (or what to expect on how your homework submissions will be graded);
4. [Other helpful tips](#) (or how to do better on the homeworks and in the course).

## Source and Collaboration policy

# HW 1 (pre)post-mortem

note @71

stop following 1 view

Actions

## (Advance view of) post-mortem on Homework 1

The post below is from Fall 2019 that I posted *after* HW1 was due but I figured I should post it a bit earlier this time in case it is helpful to some of you as y'all work on your HW 1 submissions.

Of course this would depend pretty much on you as an individual but here are some questions, **in no particular order**, for y'all to ponder on (with some of our comments in *italics*):

- **Did you start early enough?**
  - *We recommend that you start working on the homework on Wednesday immediately after the homework is handed out itself. And distribute your hours over the week rather than wait to start till Monday (or gasp! Tuesday).*
- **Did you go to the recitations AND read the recitation notes?**
  - *Both of them help you a lot towards answering Q1(a) and Q2(a) so they are highly recommended.*
- **Did you work on the questions in correct order?**
  - *We have the current order based on what we think is most beneficial to you. In particular, we want y'all to focus more on the proof based questions, which is why they come before the programming question. But perhaps a different order would work better for you?*
- **Did you get help when you got stuck?**
  - *If you were stuck at a problem for a long time did you ask for help on piazza? Did you go to one of the office hours?*
- **Did you work on all the problems alone?**
  - *While working on all the problem by yourself will be good for you in the long run (since you are developing your proofs/algorithms skills), in the interest of time we recommend that you at least collaborate on Q2 (b).*
- **(If you submitted HW 0), did you get enough feedback?**
  - *(This is going to be true for all homeworks so extrapolate this advice for future homeworks.) If you lost points, did you understand why you lost points? if not, did you go talk with the TA who graded your submission to ask why?*
    - *If you did understand why you lost points, did you figure out how you could have changed your thought process (and hence your solution) to get a level 0? If not, did you talk with a TA to get their thoughts on how they would change your solution to make it correct?*



# Questions / Comments?



# Gale-Shapley Algorithm

Initially all men and women are **free**

While there exists a free woman who can propose

Let  $w$  be such a woman and  $m$  be the best man she has not proposed to

$w$  proposes to  $m$

If  $m$  is free

$(w,m)$  get **engaged**

Else  $(w',m)$  are engaged

If  $m$  prefers  $w'$  to  $w$

$w$  remains **free**

Else

$(w,m)$  get **engaged** and  $w'$  is **free**

Output the engaged pairs as the final output


# The Lemmas

Lemma 0: The GS algorithm has at most  $n^2$  iterations

Lemma 1:  $S$  is a perfect matching

Lemma 2:  $S$  has no instability

Lemma 3: If at the end of an iteration,  $w$  is free then  $w$  has not proposed to ALL men



# Proof Details of Lemma 0

## Gale Shapley algorithm terminates


This page collects material from Fall 17 incarnation of CSE 331, where we proof details for the claim that the Gale-Shapley algorithm terminates in  $O(n^2)$  iterations.

### Where does the textbook talk about this?

[Section 1.1](#) in the textbook has the argument (though not in as much detail as below).

### Fall 2017 material

Here is the lecture video (it starts from the part where we d the proof details):



# Proof technique de jour

## Proof by contradiction

Assume the negation of what you want to prove

After some  
reasoning



# Two observations

**Obs 1:** Once  $m$  is engaged he keeps getting engaged to “better” women

**Obs 2:** If  $w$  proposes to  $m'$  first and then to  $m$  (or never proposes to  $m$ ) then she prefers  $m'$  to  $m$

# Questions / Comments?



Pf. of Lemmas 1+3 on the board...






# Proof of Lemma 2

By contradiction

Assume there is an instability  $(w', m)$



$m$  prefers  $w'$  to  $w$   
 $w'$  prefers  $m$  to  $m'$



# Contradiction by Case Analysis



Depending on whether  $w'$  had proposed to  $m$  or not

Case 1:  $w'$  never proposed to  $m$

$w'$  prefers  $m'$  to  $m$

By Obs 2

Assumed  $w'$  prefers  $m$  to  $m'$



# Case 2: $w'$ had proposed to $m$

Case 2.1:  $m$  had accepted  $w'$  proposal


$m$  is finally engaged to  $w$

Thus,  $m$  prefers  $w$  to  $w'$

By Obs 1



4simpsons.wordpress.com



Case 2.2:  $m$  had rejected  $w'$  proposal

$m$  was engaged to  $w''$  (prefers  $w''$  to  $w'$ )

By Algo def

$m$  is finally engaged to  $w$  (prefers  $w$  to  $w''$ )


By Obs 1

$m$  prefers  $w$  to  $w'$



4simpsons.wordpress.com

# Overall structure of case analysis



# Questions?

