

30
Aug

HALTING PROBLEM (ver. 2)

Input: A program P, an input I

Output: Yes if P halts on I
No otherwise

THEOREM: There is no algo A that solves the Halting problem (assuming A always terminates)

PROOF (DETAILS) Assume for the sake of contradiction that there exists an algo **magic-box** s.t. on every pair (P, I):
(i) **magic-box** terminates and
(ii) Outputs Yes \iff P halts on I

Now define

contradiction (P):

1. if **magic-box** (P, P): // Run an UTM to execute **magic-box** (P, P)
2. while True:
3. pass
4. return

Since we assumed **magic-box** exists, **contradiction** is a well-defined program

\implies **contradiction (contradiction)** is a well defined function call.

We now do a case analysis:

Case 1: **contradiction (contradiction)** terminates

By case assumption and assumptions (i) & (ii) the call to **magic-box** in line 1 evaluates to True
 \implies lines 2+3 get executed \implies **contradiction (contradiction)** does NOT terminate

Case 2: **contradiction (contradiction)** does NOT terminate

By case assumption & assumptions (i) & (ii) the call to **magic-box** in line 1 evaluates to False
 \implies line 4 gets executed \implies **contradiction (contradiction)** DOES terminate.

In both cases, we get a contradiction. ■

Proof idea: We'll use proof by contradiction

Assume \exists an algo **magic_box** that solves the Halting problem.

We'll define another algo/program **contradiction^(P)** that uses **magic_box** as a black box.

We'll consider the function call **contradiction(contradiction)** and derive a contradiction (using a case analysis) \square