

Presenters:

- 1. Gautam Suryawanshi
- 2. Prajit Krisshna Kumar

Reinforcement Learning – CSE 510

Model free RL algorithms

- Gives solutions for controlling dynamical systems without need of actual physical models
- This systems successfully learn to play video games or games like GO and chess
- Not deployed in real world physical systems

Problems

- We need to find a best method
- Studies indicated that several RL methods are not robust to changes in parameters.
- Small change affects them a lot
- Is not trustable to deploy in real world that needs to control 100s of motors

New directions

- Evolution Strategies derivative free optimization method, parallelized training
- Natural policy gradients for training linear policies
- ARS is combination of both

History



- Published in March, 2018 by a team from University at California, Berkeley
- ARS is enhanced version of Basic random search

BRS:

- Policy = $\pi\theta$
- We add +vδ and -vδ to existing policy(v<1 and it is noise) δ is random number from normal distribution
- Apply the actions and get the rewards
- Update the policy using $\theta^{j+1} = \theta^j + \alpha \Delta$.
- Where $\Delta = 1/N * \Sigma[r(\theta + v\delta) r(\theta v\delta)]\delta$

Motivation



One of the most mind-blowing algorithms in reinforcement learning,



Up to **15 TIMES FASTER** than other algorithms with higher rewards in specific applications.



Does not require Deep Learning.

Algorithm

Algorithm 2 Augmented Random Search (ARS): four versions V1, V1-t, V2 and V2-t

- 1: **Hyperparameters:** step-size α , number of directions sampled per iteration N, standard deviation of the exploration noise ν , number of top-performing directions to use b (b < N is allowed only for **V1-t** and **V2-t**)
- 2: Initialize: $M_0 = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$, $\mu_0 = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\Sigma_0 = \mathbf{I}_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, j = 0.
- 3: while ending condition not satisfied do
- 4: Sample $\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_N$ in $\mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ with i.i.d. standard normal entries.
- 5: Collect 2N rollouts of horizon H and their corresponding rewards using the 2N policies

$$\mathbf{V1:} \begin{cases} \pi_{j,k,+}(x) = (M_j + \nu \delta_k) x \\ \pi_{j,k,-}(x) = (M_j - \nu \delta_k) x \end{cases}$$
$$\mathbf{V2:} \begin{cases} \pi_{j,k,+}(x) = (M_j + \nu \delta_k) \operatorname{diag}(\Sigma_j)^{-1/2} (x - \mu_j) \\ \pi_{j,k,-}(x) = (M_j - \nu \delta_k) \operatorname{diag}(\Sigma_j)^{-1/2} (x - \mu_j) \end{cases}$$

for $k \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$.

- 6: Sort the directions δ_k by max{ $r(\pi_{j,k,+}), r(\pi_{j,k,-})$ }, denote by $\delta_{(k)}$ the k-th largest direction, and by $\pi_{j,(k),+}$ and $\pi_{j,(k),-}$ the corresponding policies.
- 7: Make the update step:

$$M_{j+1} = M_j + \frac{\alpha}{b\sigma_R} \sum_{k=1}^{b} \left[r(\pi_{j,(k),+}) - r(\pi_{j,(k),-}) \right] \delta_{(k)},$$

where σ_R is the standard deviation of the 2b rewards used in the update step.

8: **V2**: Set μ_{j+1} , Σ_{j+1} to be the mean and covariance of the 2NH(j+1) states encountered from the start of training.²

9: $j \leftarrow j + 1$ 10: **end while**

Simplified Explanation

- Add Random Noise(δ) to the weights Θ .
- Run a test.
- If reward improves keep the weights.
- Otherwise discard.

Method of Finite Differences

- Generate a random noise(δ) of the same shape of the weights (Θ)
- Clone two versions of our weights.
- Add the noise to **\U0096**[+], subtract from **\U0069**[-]
- Test both versions for one episode each, collect r[+], r[-]
- Update the weights $\Theta += \alpha(r[+] r[-]). \delta$
- Test and repeat for maximum performance.

Training Loop

- Generate num_deltas deltas and evaluate positive and negative.
- Run num_deltas episodes with positive and negative variations.
- Collect rollouts as (r[+],r[-],delta) tuples.
- Calculate the standard deviation of all rewards.
- Sort the rollouts by maximum reward and select the best num_best_deltas rollouts.
- Step = sum((r[+] r[-])*delta), for each best rollout.
- Theta += learning_Rate/(num_best_deltas*sigma_rewards)*step
- Evaluate: play an episode with the new weights to measure improvement.

Results

• Episode 1



Results

• Episode 1000



Comparison with DDPG

• At 1000 episode



Comparison with PPO

• At 1000 episode







Comparing rewards

- Time for ARS to run : 5630 seconds
- Time for PPO to run : 2142 seconds
- Time for DDPG to run : 9270 seconds

Humanoid



Bipedal Walker









Results of Swimmer



References

- 1. <u>https://towardsdatascience.com/introduction-to-augmented-random-search-d8d7b55309bd</u>
- 2. <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1803.07055.pdf</u>)
- 3. Codes for DDPG and PPO from https://github.com/Anjum48/rlexamples/