Mutual Exclusion, Synchronization and Classical InterProcess Communication (IPC) Problems

B.Ramamurthy

CSE421



B.Ramamurthy

Introduction

- An important and fundamental feature in modern operating systems is concurrent execution of processes/threads. This feature is essential for the realization of multiprogramming, multiprocessing, distributed systems, and client-server model of computation.
- Concurrency encompasses many design issues including communication and synchronization among processes, sharing of and contention for resources.
- In this discussion we will look at the various design issues/problems and the wide variety of solutions available.

Topics for discussion

The principles of concurrency

Interactions among processes

Mutual exclusion problem

Mutual exclusion- solutions

- Software approaches (Dekker's and Peterson's)
- Hardware support (test and set atomic operation)
- OS solution (semaphores)
- PL solution (monitors)
- Distributed OS solution (message passing)
- Reader/writer problem
 Dining Philosophers Problem

Principles of Concurrency

- Interleaving and overlapping the execution of processes.
- Consider two processes P1 and P2 executing the function echo:

```
input (in, keyboard);
out = in;
output (out, display);
```

...Concurrency (contd.)

- P1 invokes *echo*, after it inputs into *in*, gets interrupted (switched).
 P2 invokes *echo*, inputs into *in* and completes the execution and exits. When P1 returns in is overwritten and gone. Result: first ch is lost and second ch is written twice.
- This type of situation is even more probable in multiprocessing systems where real concurrency is realizable thru' multiple processes executing on multiple processors.
- Solution: Controlled access to shared resource
 - Protect the shared resource : *in* buffer; "critical resource"
 - one process/shared code. "critical region"

Interactions among processes

- In a multi-process application these are the various degrees of interaction:
 - Competing processes: Processes themselves do not share anything. But OS has to share the system resources among these processes "competing" for system resources such as disk, file or printer.
 - **Co-operating processes** : Results of one or more processes may be needed for another process.
 - **2. Co-operation by sharing** : Example: Sharing of an IO buffer. Concept of critical section. (indirect)
 - **3.** Co-operation by communication : Example: typically no data sharing, but co-ordination thru' synchronization becomes essential in certain applications. (direct)

Interactions ...(contd.)

- Among the three kinds of interactions indicated by 1, 2 and 3 above:
- 1 is at the system level: potential problems : deadlock and starvation.
- 2 is at the process level : significant problem is in realizing mutual exclusion.
- ♦ 3 is more a synchronization problem.
- We will study mutual exclusion and symchronization here, and defer deadlock, and starvation for a later time.

Race Condition

Race condition: The situation where several processes access – and manipulate shared data concurrently. The final value of the shared data depends upon which process finishes last.

To prevent race conditions, concurrent processes must be synchronized.

Mutual exclusion problem

- Successful use of concurrency among processes requires the ability to define critical sections and enforce mutual exclusion.
- Critical section : is that part of the process code that affects the shared resource.
- Mutual exclusion: in the use of a shared resource is provided by making its access mutually exclusive among the processes that share the resource.
- This is also known as the Critical Section (CS) problem.

Mutual exclusion

- Any facility that provides mutual exclusion should meet these requirements:
- 1. No assumption regarding the relative speeds of the processes.
- 2. A process is in its CS for a finite time only.
- 3. Only one process allowed in the CS.
- 4. Process requesting access to CS should not wait indefinitely.
- 5. A process waiting to enter CS cannot be blocking a process in CS or any other processes.

Software Solutions: Algorithm 1







۰... 🔶

- ♦ while turn != 1 do
 - nothing;
- // busy waiting
- Critical Section>



Algorithm 2



PROBLEM : Potential for deadlock, if one of the processes fail within CS. PROCESS 1
...
flag[1] = TRUE;
while flag[0] do nothing;
<CRITICAL SECTION>
flag[1] = FALSE;

Algorithm 3 Combined shared variables of algorithms 1 and 2. Process P_i **do** { flag [i]:= true; turn = j;while (flag [j] and turn = j); critical section flag [i] = false; remainder section } while (1); Meets all three requirements; solves the criticalsection problem for two processes.

Synchronization Hardware

Test and modify the content of a word atomically

boolean TestAndSet(boolean &target) {
 boolean rv = target;
 tqrget = true;

return rv;

Mutual Exclusion with Testand-Set

Shared data: boolean lock = false;



}

do { while (TestAndSet(lock)) ; critical section lock = false; remainder section

Synchronization Hardware

Atomically swap two variables.



9/17/02

B.Ramamurthy

Mutual Exclusion with Swap



Semaphores

- Think about a semaphore ADT (class)
- Counting semaphore, binary semaphore
- Attributes: semaphore value, Functions: init, wait, signal
- Support provided by OS
- Considered an OS resource, a limited number available: a limited number of instances (objects) of semaphore class is allowed.
- Can easily implement mutual exclusion among any number of processes.

Semaphores

- Synchronization tool that does not require busy waiting.
- \diamond Semaphore *S* integer variable
- can only be accessed via two indivisible (atomic) operations
 - *wait (S*):
 - while *S*≤ 0 do *no-op*;

signal (S):

S--;

Critical Section of *n* Processes

Shared data:

semaphore mutex; //initially *mutex* = 1

Process Pi:

do {
 wait(mutex);
 critical section
 signal(mutex);
 remainder section
} while (1);

Semaphore Implementation

Define a semaphore as a record typedef struct { int value; struct process *L; } semaphore;



Assume two simple operations:

- **block** suspends the process that invokes it.
- wakeup(P) resumes the execution of a blocked process P.

Implementation



Semaphore as a General Synchronization Tool



Semaphores for CS

- Semaphore is initialized to 1. The first process that executes a *wait()* will be able to immediately enter the critical section (CS). (S.*wait()* makes S value zero.)
- Now other processes wanting to enter the CS will each execute the wait() thus decrementing the value of S, and will get blocked on S. (If at any time value of S is negative, its absolute value gives the number of processes waiting blocked.)
- When a process in CS departs, it executes S.signal() which increments the value of S, and will wake up any one of the processes blocked. The queue could be FIFO or priority queue.

Deadlock and Starvation

Deadlock – two or more processes are waiting indefinitely for an event that can be caused by only one of the waiting processes.

• Let S and Q be two semaphores initialized to 1

 P0
 P1

 wait(S);
 wait(Q);

 wait(Q);
 wait(S);

 M
 M

 signal(S);
 signal(Q);

 signal(Q)
 signal(S);

Starvation – indefinite blocking. A process may never be removed from the semaphore queue in which it is suspended.

Two Types of Semaphores

Counting semaphore – integer value can range over an unrestricted domain.

Sinary semaphore – integer value can range only between 0 and 1; can be simpler to implement.

Can implement a counting semaphore S as a binary semaphore.

Implementing *S* as a Binary Semaphore

 Data structures:
 binary-semaphore S1, S2; int C:
 Initialization:
 S1 = 1 S2 = 0 C = initial value of semaphore S

Implementing **S**

wait operation
 wait(S1);
 C---;
 if (C < 0) {
 signal(S1);
 wait(S2);
 }
 signal(S1);
</pre>

♦ signal operation wait(S1); C ++; if (C <= 0) signal(S2); else signal(S1);

Classical Problems of Synchronization

Bounded-Buffer Problem

Readers and Writers Problem

Dining-Philosophers Problem

Producer/Consumer problem

Producer
repeat
produce item v;
b[in] = v;
in = in + 1;
forever;

Consumer repeat while (in \leq out) nop; w = b[out];out = out + 1; consume w; forever;

Solution for P/C using **Semaphores** Producer

- ♦ repeat
- produce item v; MUTEX.wait();
- b[in] = v;
- () in = in + 1;
- MUTEX.signal();
- forever;



- Consumer
- repeat
- \bullet while (in <= out) nop;
- MUTEX.wait();
- w = b[out];
- \bullet out = out + 1;
- MUTEX.signal();
- consume w;
- forever;
- Ans: Consumer will busy-wait at the while statement.

P/C: improved solution

Producer

repeat
produce item v;
MUTEX.wait();
b[in] = v;
in = in + 1;
MUTEX.signal();
AVAIL.signal();
forever;

What will be the initial values of MUTEX and AVAIL?

Consumer repeat AVAIL.wait(); MUTEX.wait(); w = b[out]; out = out + 1; MUTEX.signal(); consume w; forever;

ANS: Initially MUTEX = 1, AVAIL = 0.

P/C problem: Bounded buffer

Producer repeat produce item v; while((in+1)%n == out) NOP; b[in] = v;in = (in + 1)% n;forever; How to enforce bufsize?

Consumer repeat while (in == out) NOP; w = b[out];out = (out + 1)%n;consume w; forever; ANS: Using another counting semaphore.

P/C: Bounded Buffer solution

Producer

repeat
produce item v;
BUFSIZE.wait();
MUTEX.wait();
b[in] = v;
in = (in + 1)%n;
MUTEX.signal();
AVAIL.signal();
forever;

What is the initial value of BUFSIZE?

- Consumer repeat AVAIL.wait(); MUTEX.wait(); w = b[out];out = (out + 1)%n;MUTEX.signal(); BUFSIZE.signal(); consume w; forever; ANS: size of the bounded
 - buffer.

Semaphores - comments

- Intuitively easy to use.
- wait() and signal() are to be implemented as atomic operations.
- Difficulties:
 - signal() and wait() may be exchanged inadvertently by the programmer. This may result in deadlock or violation of mutual exclusion.
 - signal() and wait() may be left out.
- Related wait() and signal() may be scattered all over the code among the processes.

Monitors

 This concept was formally defined by HOARE in 1974.
 Initially it was implemented as a programming language construct and more recently as library. The latter made the monitor facility available for general use with any PL.

Monitor consists of procedures, initialization sequences, and local data. Local data is accessible only thru' monitor's procedures. Only one process can be executing in a monitor at a time. Other process that need the monitor wait suspended.

Monitors

{

monitor monitor-name



procedure body Pn (...) {

initialization code

9/17/02

B.Ramamurthy

...}

Ł

Monitors

To allow a process to wait within the monitor, a condition variable must be declared, as

condition x, y;

- Condition variable can only be used with the operations wait and signal.
 - The operation

x.wait();

means that the process invoking this operation is suspended until another process invokes

x.signal();

The x.signal operation resumes exactly one suspended process. If no process is suspended, then the signal operation has no effect.

Schematic View of a Monitor



Monitor With Condition Variables



Message passing

- Both synchronization and communication requirements are taken care of by this mechanism.
- More over, this mechanism yields to synchronization methods among distributed processes.
- Basic primitives are:
- send (destination, message);
- receive (source, message);

Issues in message passing

Send and receive: could be blocking or non-blocking:

- Blocking send: when a process sends a message it blocks until the message is received at the destination.
- Non-blocking send: After sending a message the sender proceeds with its processing without waiting for it to reach the destination.
- Blocking receive: When a process executes a receive it waits blocked until the receive is completed and the required message is received.
- Non-blocking receive: The process executing the receive proceeds without waiting for the message(!).
- Blocking Receive/non-blocking send is a common combination.

9/17/02

B.Ramamurthy

Reader/Writer problem

- Data is shared among a number of processes.
- Any number of reader processes could be accessing the shared data concurrently.
- But when a writer process wants to access, only that process must be accessing the shared data. No reader should be present.
- Solution 1 : Readers have priority; If a reader is in CS any number of readers could enter irrespective of any writer waiting to enter CS.
- Solution 2: If a writer wants CS as soon as the CS is available writer enters it.

Reader/writer: Priority Readers

Writer:
 ForCS.wait();
 CS;
 ForCS.signal();

Reader: ES.wait(); NumRdr = NumRdr + 1;if NumRdr = 1 ForCS.wait(); ES.signal(); CS; ES.wait(); NumRdr = NumRdr -1; If NumRdr = 0 ForCS.signal(); ES.signal();

9/17/02

B.Ramamurthy

Dining Philosophers Example

monitor dp

enum {thinking, hungry, eating} state[5]; condition self[5]; void pickup(int i) // following slides void putdown(int i) // following slides void test(int i) // following slides void init() { for (int i = 0; i < 5; i++) state[i] = thinking;} **B.Ramamurthy**



Dining Philosophers

void pickup(int i) {
 state[i] = hungry;
 test[i];
 if (state[i] != eating)
 self[i].wait();

void putdown(int i) {
 state[i] = thinking;
 // test left and right neighbors
 test((i+4) % 5);
 test((i+1) % 5);

9/17/02

B.Ramamurthy

Dining Philosophers

void test(int i) {
 if ((state[(I + 4) % 5] !=
 eating) &&
 (state[i] == hungry) &&
 (state[i] + 1) % 5] != eating))

state[i] = eating; self[i].signal();

B.Ramamurthy

Summary

 We looked at various ways/levels of realizing synchronization among concurrent processes.
 Synchronization at the kernel level is usually solved using hardware mechanisms such as interrupt priority levels, basic hardware lock, using non-preemptive kernel (older BSDs), using special signals.