Relational Database Design

Jan Chomicki University at Buffalo



"Good" and "bad" database schemas

"Bad" schema

- Repetition of information. Leads to redundancies, potential inconsistencies, and update anomalies.
- Inability to represent information. Leads to anomalies in insertion and deletion.

"Good" schema

• relation schemas in normal form (redundancy- and anomaly-free): BCNF, 3NF.

Relational database design

Schema decomposition

- improving a bad schema
- desirable properties:

Jan Chomicki ()

- lossless join
- dependency preservation

Integrity constraints

Functional dependencies

- key constraints cannot express uniqueness properties holding in a proper subset of all attributes
- key constraints need to be generalized to functional dependencies

Other constraints

- not relevant for decomposition
- need to be accounted for

Functional dependencies (FDs)

Notation

- Relation schema $R(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$
- r is an instance of R
- Sets of attributes of $R: X, Y, Z, \ldots \subseteq \{A_1, \ldots, A_n\}$
- $A_1 \cdots A_n$ instead of $\{A_1, \ldots, A_n\}$.
- XY instead of $X \cup Y$.

Functional dependency

- syntax: $X \to Y$
- semantics: r satisfies $X \to Y$ if for all tuples $t_1, t_2 \in r$: if $t_1[X] = t_2[X]$, then also $t_1[Y] = t_2[Y]$.

Jan Chomicki ()

Dependency implication

Implication

A set of FDs *F* implies an FD $X \rightarrow Y$, if every relation instance that satisfies all the dependencies in *F*, also satisfies $X \rightarrow Y$.

Notation

 $F \models X \rightarrow Y \ (F \text{ implies } X \rightarrow Y).$

Closure of a dependency set F

The set of dependencies implied by F.

Notation

 $F^+ = \{X \to Y : F \models X \to Y\}.$

Keys

Key

- $X \subseteq \{A_1, \ldots, A_n\}$ is a key of R if:
 - the dependency $X \to A_1 \cdots A_n$ is in F^+ .
 - **2** for all proper subsets Y of X, the dependency $Y \rightarrow A_1 \cdots A_n$ is not in F^+ .

Related notions

- *superkey:* superset of a key.
- primary key: one designated key.
- candidate key: one of the keys.

Jan Chomicki ()

Relational database design

Inference of functional dependencies

Dependency inference

How to tell whether $X \to Y \in F^+$?

Inference rules (Armstrong axioms)

- reflexivity: infer $X \to Y$ if $Y \subseteq X \subseteq attrs(R)$ (*trivial* dependency)
- **2** augmentation: From $X \to Y$ infer $XZ \to YZ$ if $Z \subseteq attrs(R)$
- **③** transitivity: From $X \to Y$ and $Y \to Z$, infer $X \to Z$.

Armstrong axioms are:

- sound: if $X \to Y$ is derived from F, then $X \to Y \in F^+$.
- complete: if $X \to Y \in F^+$, then $X \to Y$ is derived from F.

Additional (implied) inference rules

Jan Chomicki ()

- 4. union: from $X \to Y$ and $X \to Z$, infer $X \to YZ$
- 5. decomposition: from $X \to Y$ infer $X \to Z$, if $Z \subseteq Y$

Boyce-Codd Normal Form (BCNF) and 3NF

BCNF

A schema R is in BCNF if for every nontrivial FD $X \rightarrow A \in F$, X contains a key of R.

Each instance of a relation schema which is in BCNF does not contain a redundancy (that can be detected using FDs alone).

3NF

R is in 3NF if for every nontrivial FD $X \rightarrow A \in F$:

- X contains a key of R, or
- A is part of some key of R.

BCNF vs. 3NF

- if R is in BCNF, it is also in 3NF
- there are relations that are in 3NF but not in BCNF.

Decompositions

We will identify a relation schema with its set of attributes.

Decomposition

Replacement of a relation schema R by two relation schema R_1 and R_2 such that $R = R_1 \cup R_2$.

Lossless-join decomposition

 (R_1, R_2) is a lossless-join decomposition of R with respect to a set of FDs F if for every instance r of R that satisfies F:

 $\pi_{R_1}(r) \bowtie \pi_{R_2}(r) = r.$

A simple criterion for checking whether a decomposition (R_1, R_2) is lossless-join:

- $R_1 \cap R_2 \rightarrow R_1 \in F^+$, or
- $R_1 \cap R_2 \rightarrow R_2 \in F^+$.

A sequence of decompositions of R into R_1 and R_2 , R_1 into R'_1 and R''_1 etc. may be viewed as a decomposition of R into more than two relation schemas.

Relational database design

Dependency preservation

Jan Chomicki ()

Dependencies associated with relation schema R_1 and R_2 in a decomposition (R_1, R_2) :

$$F_{R_1} = \{X \to Y | X \to Y \in F^+ \land XY \subseteq R_1\}$$

$$F_{R_2} = \{ X \to Y | X \to Y \in F^+ \land XY \subseteq R_2 \}.$$

 (R_1, R_2) preserves a dependency f iff $f \in (F_{R_1} \cup F_{R_2})^+$.

Decomposition into BCNF

Algorithm: decomposition of schema R

- **()** For some nontrivial nonkey dependency $X \to A$ in F^+ :
 - reate a relation schema R_1 with the set of attributes XA and FDs F_{R_1} .
 - create a relation schema R_2 with the set of attributes $R \{A\}$ and FDs F_{R_2} .
- ② Decompose further the resulting schemas which are not in BCNF.

This algorithm produces a lossless-join decomposition into BCNF which does not have to preserve dependencies.

Decomposition (synthesis) into 3NF

Minimal basis F' for F

Jan Chomicki ()

- set of FDs equivalent to $F(F^+ = (F')^+)$,
- all FDs in F' are of the form $X \rightarrow A$ where A is a single attribute,
- further simplification by removing dependencies or attributes from dependencies in F' yields a set of FDs inequivalent to F.

Algorithm: 3NF synthesis

- Create a minimal basis F'.
- **2** Create a relation with attributes XA for every dependency $X \to A \in F'$.
- Oreate a relation X for some key X of R.
- Remove redundancies.

This algorithm produces a lossless-join decomposition into 3NF which preserves dependencies.

Multivalued dependencies (MVDs)

Notation

- Relation schema $R(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$.
- r is an instance of R
- Sets of attributes: $X, Y, Z, \ldots \subseteq \{A_1, \ldots, A_n\}$.

Multivalued dependency

- syntax: a pair $X \to Y$.
- semantics: *r* satisfies $X \rightarrow \rightarrow Y$ if for all tuples $t_1, t_2 \in r$:
 - if $t_1[X] = t_2[X]$, then there is a tuple $t_3 \in r$ such that $t_3[XY] = t_1[XY]$ and $t_3[Z] = t_2[Z]$,

where $Z = \{A_1, ..., A_n\} - XY$.

Implication

Defined in the same way as for FDs.

Fourth Normal Form (4NF)

Jan Chomicki ()

F is the set of FDs and MVDs associated with a relation schema $R = \{A_1, \ldots, A_n\}$.

4NF

R is in 4NF if for every multivalued dependency $X \rightarrow \rightarrow Y$ entailed by *F*:

- $Y \subseteq X$ or $XY = \{A_1, \ldots, A_n\}$ (trivial MVD), or
- X contains a key of R.