

# Conceptual Database Design

Jan Chomicki  
University at Buffalo

## Outline

- 1 Entity-Relationship Data Model
- 2 Mapping E-R schemas to relations

# Entity-Relationship (E-R) Data Model

Proposed by Peter Chen in 1976.

## Features

- used for the description of the **conceptual schema** of the database
- not used for database implementation
- formal notation
- close to natural language

## Can be *mapped* to various data models

- relational
- object-oriented, object-relational
- XML

## Basic ER model concepts

<i>Schema level</i>	<i>Instance level</i>
Domain	Domain element (value)
Entity type	Entity
Relationship type	Relationship (instance)
Cardinality constraints	Valid relationships
Attribute	Attribute value
Key	Unique key value

## Entities

### Entity

Something that **exists** and can be **distinguished** from other entities.

### Examples

A person, an account, a course.

### Entity type

A set of entities with similar **properties**. Entity types can overlap.

### Examples

Persons, employees, Citibank accounts, UB courses.

### Entity type extension

The set of entities of a given type in a given database instance.

### Notation

- entities:  $e_1, e_2, \dots$
- "entity  $e$  is of type  $T$ ":  $T(e)$ .

## Attributes

### Domain

A predefined set of primitive, atomic values (entity types *are not* domains!).

### Examples

Integers, character strings, decimals.

### Attribute

A (partial) function from an entity type to a domain, representing a property of the entities of that type.

### Examples

Name : Person  $\rightarrow$  String  
Balance : Account  $\rightarrow$  Decimal

### Notation

- $A(e)$ : "the value of the attribute  $A$  for the entity  $e$ ".

### Example

Name( $e_1$ ) = 'Brown'

## Keys

### Key

A (minimal) set of attributes that uniquely identifies every entity in an entity type.

### Examples

Entity type	Key
Americans	SSN
ATT accounts	Phone number
NY vehicles	License plate number
US vehicles	(License plate number, State)

- an entity type can have **multiple** keys
- one key is selected as the **primary** key.

## Relationships

### Relationship type of arity $k$

A subset of the Cartesian product of some entity types  $E_1, \dots, E_k$ , representing an **association** between the entity types. Relationship types can have attributes.

### Examples

Teaches(Employee, Class)  
Sells(Vendor, Customer, Product)  
Parent(Person, Person)

### Relationship instance of arity $k$

A  $k$ -tuple of entities of the appropriate types.

### Example

Teaches( $e_1$ ,  $c_1$ ) where  
Employee( $e_1$ ) and Class( $c_1$ ) and  
Name( $e_1$ ) = 'Brown'.

## Cardinality constraints

Binary relationship type  $R(A, B)$  is:

- $1 : 1$  if for every entity  $e_1$  in  $A$  there is at most one entity  $e_2$  in  $B$  such that  $R(e_1, e_2)$  and *vice versa*.
- $N : 1$  if for every entity  $e_1$  in  $A$  there is at most one entity  $e_2$  in  $B$  such that  $R(e_1, e_2)$ .
- $N : M$  otherwise.

## Advanced schema-level concepts

- **isa** relationships
- weak entity types
- complex attributes
- roles.

### Definition

A *isa* B if every entity in the entity type A is also in the entity type B.

### Example

Faculty *isa* Employee.

If A *isa* B, then:

- $Attrs(B) \subseteq Attrs(A)$  (*inheritance* of attributes),
- $Key(A) = Key(B)$  (*inheritance* of key).

### Example

$Rank : Faculty \rightarrow \{'Assistant', 'Associate', \dots\}$

Rank is not defined for non-faculty employees (or defined differently).

## Weak entity types

### Definition

A is a *weak* entity type if:

- A does not have a key.
- the entities in A can be identified through an identifying relationship type  $R(A, B)$  with another entity type B.

The entities in A can be identified by the combination of:

- the *borrowed* key of B.
- some *partial* key of A.

### Example

Entity types: Account, Check.

Identifying relationship type: Issued.

Borrowed key (of Account): AccNo.

Partial key (of Check): CheckNo.

## Complex attributes

### Attribute values

- sets (**multivalued** attributes).
- tuples (**composite** attributes).

### Multivalued attribute

Degrees : Faculty  $\rightarrow 2^{\{\text{'B.A.'}, \text{'B.S.'}, \dots, \text{'Ph.D.'}, \dots\}}$

### Composite attribute

Address : Employee  $\rightarrow$  Street  $\times$  City  $\times$  Zipcode

Multivalued and composite attributes can be expressed using other constructs of the E-R model.

## Roles

**Roles** are necessary in a relationship type that relates an entity type to itself. Different occurrences of the same entity type are distinguished by different *role names*.

### Example

In the relationship type ParentOf(Person, Person) the introduction of role names gives ParentOf(Parent:Person, Child:Person)

### General guidelines

- schema: stable information, instance: changing information.
- avoid redundancy (each fact should be represented once).
- no need to store information that can be computed.
- keys should be as small as possible.
- introduce artificial keys only if no simple, natural keys available.

### How to choose entity types

- things that have properties of their own, or
- things that are used in navigating through the database.
- avoid null attribute values if possible by introducing extra entity types.

## isa relationship design

### Generalization (bottom-up)

- generalize a number of different entity types (with the same key) to a single type.
- factor out common attributes.

### Example

Student **isa** Person  
Teacher **isa** Person  
Name : Person  $\rightarrow$  String

### Specialization (top-down)

- specialize an entity type to one or more specific types.
- add attributes in more specific entity types.

### Example

Salary : Teacher  $\rightarrow$  Decimal



## Mapping E-R schemas to relations

### Assumption

No complex attributes.

### Multiple stages

- 1 creating relation schemas from **entity types**.
- 2 creating relation schemas from **relationship types**.
- 3 identifying **keys**.
- 4 identifying **foreign keys**.
- 5 schema **optimization**.

## Mapping entity types to relations

<i>Entity type</i>	<i>Relation schema</i>
$E_1$ such that $E_1$ <b>isa</b> $E_2$	$Key(E_2)$ $\cup (Attrs(E_1) - Attrs(E_2))$
$E_1$ is a weak entity type identified by $R(E_1, E_2)$	$Key(E_2)$ $\cup (Attrs(E_1) - Attrs(E_2))$
$E_1$ is none of the above	$Attrs(E_1)$

## Mapping relationship types to relations

<i>Relationship type</i>	<i>Relation schema</i>
$R(E_1, \dots, E_n)$	$Key(E_1) \cup \dots \cup Key(E_n)$ $\cup Attrs(R)$

No relations are created from **isa** or identifying relationships.

Different occurrences of the same attribute name should be named differently.

## Identifying keys

Relation schema  $W$  is the result of mapping an entity type  $E_1$  or a relationship type  $R(E_1, E_2)$ .

<i>Source of <math>W</math></i>	<i>Key of <math>W</math></i>
Entity type $E_1$	$Key(E_1)$
Weak entity type $E_1$	Union of borrowed and partial keys of $E_1$
$R(E_1, E_2)$ is 1 : 1	$Key(E_1)$ or $Key(E_2)$
$R(E_1, E_2)$ is $N : 1$	$Key(E_1)$
$R(E_1, E_2)$ is $N : M$	$Key(E_1) \cup Key(E_2)$

These rules can be generalized to arbitrary relationship types  $R(E_1, \dots, E_n)$ .

## Identifying foreign keys

Relation schema  $W$  is the result of mapping an entity type  $E_1$  or a relationship type  $R(E_1, E_2)$ .

Source of $W$	Foreign keys of $W$
Entity type $E_1$	No foreign keys
Weak entity type $E_1$	Borrowed key of $E_1$
Entity type $E_1$ such that $E_1$ <b>isa</b> $E_2$	$Key(E_1)$
$R(E_1, E_2)$	$Key(E_1), Key(E_2)$

## Schema optimization

Combine relation schemas with *identical* keys coming from *the same* entity type.

Student(SName, Address) can be combined with Advising(SName, Faculty) to yield Student(SName, Address, Faculty).

### Different keys

Student(SName, Address) **should not** be combined with Grades(SName, Course, Grade).

### Different entity types

Student(SName, Address) **should not** be combined with Graduate(SName).