

CSE 220: Systems Programming

Compiler Optimization

Ethan Blanton

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
University at Buffalo

Big Wins vs. Many Wins

The biggest wins in optimization are **algorithmic**.

If you can:

- Reduce the size of your data
- Reduce the iterations of your loops
- Reduce the number of traversals
- ...

...then you may make things **asymptotically faster**.¹

However, **constant factors matter too**.

¹See CSE 250.

Constant Factors

Small constant overheads can add up in a program.

E.g., the [order in which you perform operations](#) can matter.

In a previous lecture, we saw [the order of array access](#) make a [factor of twenty](#) difference.

You must [understand the system](#) to avoid these traps.

Optimizing Compilers

Modern compilers are **optimizing compilers**.

They understand the machine **very deeply**, and:

- Very effectively **allocate resources** such as registers
- **Reorder** and **eliminate** code
- Factor out **common operations**
- ...

They **cannot improve your algorithms**, however. ¶

They can **also be fooled** by certain constructions.

Principles of Optimization

Optimizing compilers have their own Hippocratic Oath:
First, change not semantics.²

An optimizing compiler **must not change correct program behavior.**

They can also only work with **static** information.
That is, information that is **known at compile time.**

This can prevent compilers from making many optimizations.

²They don't actually take oaths.

Illegal Optimizations

For example, a compiler cannot optimize when:

- Code processes **some data**
- The data has **certain properties known to the programmer**
- Those properties **ensure** that a certain code path cannot run
- The data **is not known to the compiler**

Optimization Practice

Historically, C compilers have only optimized **within functions**.

Our gcc can do **inter-procedural optimization**.

These are **quite limited in practice**, however.

This means that function calls **prevent optimization**.

Platform-independent Optimization

Some optimizations are **always a good idea**. ¶

They are **not machine-specific** and can be used widely.

These optimizations typically have to do with **code semantics**.

We will look at some examples:

- Constant folding
- Code motion
- Reduction in strength

Constant Folding

Constant folding is **computation of constants at compile time**.

Consider this code:

```
int i = 2 + 3;
```

Could `i` be **anything but 5** after this line?

Nope! **Add it at compile time!**

In the real world, this can be **harder to find**.³

³Consider: macros, named constants, *etc.*

Code Motion

The compiler can also **move code** to:

- Reduce redundancy
- Avoid **costly but unnecessary** operations

Common examples:

- Loop calculations independent of the loop index
- Early variable initializations

Motion Example

```
void set_row(double *dst, double *src,
            long row, long elements) {
    for (long col = 0; col < n; col++) {
        dst[elements*row + col] = src[col];
    }
}
```

```
void set_row(double *dst, double *src,
            long row, long elements) {
    long first = row*elements;
    for (long col = 0; col < n; col++) {
        dst[first + col] = src[col];
    }
}
```

Motion Example 2

```
/* Sum neighbors of i,j in 2D array */
above = val[(i-1)*n + j]; // (i*n) - n + j
below = val[(i+1)*n + j]; // (i*n) + n + j
left  = val[ i*n + j-1]; // (i*n) + j - 1
right = val[ i*n + j+1]; // (i*n) + j + 1
sum    = above + below + left + right;
```

Motion Example 2

```
long inj = i*n + j;
above = val[ inj - n ]; // (i*n) - n + j
below = val[ inj + n ]; // (i*n) + n + j
left  = val[ inj - 1 ]; // (i*n) + j - 1
right = val[ inj + 1 ]; // (i*n) + j + 1
sum    = above + below + left + right;
```

Reduction in Strength

Reduction in strength is replacement of expensive operations with cheaper operations.

Typically, expensive means slow and cheap means fast.

For example:

- multiply and divide are expensive
- shift operations are cheap
- Shifts are integer multiply/divide by powers of two

Sequential Computations

Sometimes the compiler can identify **sequential computations**:

```
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {  
    for (j = 0; j < n; j++) {  
        dst[n*i + j] = src[j];    // dst[i] = src[j]  
    }  
}
```

Note that $n*i$ changes by n each iteration.

Therefore you can **start with zero** and **add by n** .

Sequential Computations

Sometimes the compiler can identify **sequential computations**:

```
int ni = 0;
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    for (j = 0; j < n; j++) {
        dst[ni + j] = src[j];
    }
    ni += n;
}
```

Note that $n*i$ changes by n each iteration.

Therefore you can **start with zero** and **add by n** .

Optimization Blockers

As previously mentioned, some things **block optimizations**.

- **Data-dependent operations**
- **Procedure calls** (without inter-procedural optimization)
- **Pointer aliases** (more than one pointer to an object)
- **dots**

Optimizing Across Procedure Calls

Why are procedure calls problematic?

- They **might have side effects** (alter global state, do I/O).
- They might **not be deterministic**.
- They might **modify pointers**.

The compiler **must not change semantics!**

Optimizations around procedure calls are therefore **weakened**.

Forbidden Code Motion

```
for (size_t i = 0; i < strlen(s); i++) {  
    s[i] = tolower(s[i]);  
}
```

Our gcc will **compute `strlen()` `strlen()` times.**

This is $O(n^2)$!⁴

For a 1 MB character string, this takes **minutes**.
(For comparison, a 1920x1080 screen is about 8 MB.)

Why can't it compute the `strlen()` once?

⁴See CSE 250 again.

What is `strlen()`, Anyway?

The compiler **cannot assume** that `strlen` does not alter `s`.

The compiler cannot assume that `strlen(s)` is always the same.

The compiler **treats `strlen()` as a black box**.

We can fix this ourselves: perform our own **code motion**.

Summary

- Algorithmic improvements remain key.
- **Knowing how the compiler works** help produce better code.
- Optimizing compilers **must not change semantics**.
- Compilers use **static information**.
- We covered:
 - **Constant folding**
 - **Code motion**
 - **Reduction in strength**
- **Procedures** are problematic.

References I

Required Readings

- [1] Randal E. Bryant and David R. O'Hallaron. *Computer Science: A Programmer's Perspective*. Third Edition. Chapter 5: Intro, 5.1-5.6. Pearson, 2016.

License

Copyright 2020 Ethan Blanton, All Rights Reserved.

Copyright 2019 Karthik Dantu, All Rights Reserved.

These slides use material from the CMU 15-213: Intro to Computer Systems lecture notes provided to instructors using CS:APP3e.

Reproduction of this material without written consent of the author is prohibited.

To retrieve a copy of this material, or related materials, see <https://www.cse.buffalo.edu/~eblanton/>.