		Question 1
/12	(a)	1 point per type of bias named 2 points per correct definition
/4	(b)	1 point per correct pairing
/4	(c)	2 points for a reasonable solution 2 points for naming a reasonable stage
		Question 2
/6	(a)	3 points per valid benefit [productivity/iteration/data in memory/good for EDA/etc]
/2	(b)	2 points for mentioning a SQL, queries, tables, etc.
/4	(ci)	4 points for a mentioning in some way replication of data
/4	(cii)	4 points for mentioning storing lineage graphs, or recomputation, or something similar
/4	(d)	2 points for stating that transformations are lazy, not evaluated immediately, etc2 points for stating that actions are evaluated immediately, trigger computation, etc
/5	(e)	1 point for naming a valid transformation [map, filter, union, etc] 2 points for a DAG which shows at least 2 RDDs 2 points for having multiple partitions per RDD, with one child per parent
/5	(f)	 1 point for naming a valid transformation [reduceByKey, groupByKey, etc] 2 points for a DAG which shows at least 2 RDDs 2 points for having multiple partitions per RDD, with multiple children per parent
		Question 3
/10	(a)	 1 point per RDD in one iteration [start, unlabeled, unlabeled, middle] 1 point per correct transformation label in one iteration [flatMap, map, reduceByKey] 2 points for having the 2nd iteration represented 1 point for having the fullOuterJoin between middle and result
/10	(b)	4 points for correctly stating 3 stages (2 points for stating 2 stages) 3 points per boundary drawn through the reduceByKey transformations
/12	(c)	4 points for identifying .reduceByKey on line 6 4 points for identifying .flapMap (line 4) or .map (line 5) 4 points for identifying .collect on line 12
/4	(d)	4 points for stating that it runs 1 job
/4	(e)	4 points for stating that it implements Word Count

		Question 4
/6	(a)	point per classifier mentioned [k-NN, Naive Bayes, Logistic Regression] point per correct categorization [structural, statistical, statistical]
/4	(b)	 1 point for stating that words are the features in spam classification 1 point for stating that urls visited are the features in ad click probability 2 points for stating that k-NN works better for low dimensionality (or Curse of Dim)
/2	(c)	2 points for mentioning that it works well with large amounts of data
/3	(d)	3 points for mentioning we run on each class, and pick the most likely
		Question 5
/10	(a)	10 points for getting the correct answer (or close with just math errors) 5 points for having some correct steps/formulas
/10	(b)	10 points for getting the correct answer (or close with just math errors) 5 points for having some correct steps/formulas
/6	(c)	6 points for getting the correct answer (or close with just math errors) 3 points for having some correct steps/formulas
/4	(d)	points for mentioning Laplace Smoothing points for mentioning getting more data (or other reasonable answer)
/5	(e)	5 points for a reasonable explanation that points to a specific type of bias, or issue 2 points for just mentioning bias but not anything specific
		Question 6
/2	(a)	0.5 points (rounded down) per correct answer [volume, velocity, variety, veracity]
/2	(b)	1 point per correct answer [random process generating data, random sampling]
/2	(c)	2 points for stating that odds of machine going down approaches 1.0 as number of machines increases
/2	(d)	2 points for any feasible answer
/2	(e)	1 points per correct answer [sample, distribute computation, Spark, MR]