|  |  | Question 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /12 | (a) | 1 point per type of bias named 2 points per correct definition |
| 14 | (b) | 1 point per correct pairing |
| 14 | (c) | 2 points for a reasonable solution 2 points for naming a reasonable stage |
|  |  | Question 2 |
| /6 | (a) | 3 points per valid benefit [productivity/iteration/data in memory/good for EDA/etc] |
| /2 | (b) | 2 points for mentioning a SQL, queries, tables, etc. |
| 14 | (ci) | 4 points for a mentioning in some way replication of data |
| 14 | (cii) | 4 points for mentioning storing lineage graphs, or recomputation, or something similar |
| 14 | (d) | 2 points for stating that transformations are lazy, not evaluated immediately, etc 2 points for stating that actions are evaluated immediately, trigger computation, etc |
| /5 | (e) | 1 point for naming a valid transformation [map, filter, union, etc] <br> 2 points for a DAG which shows at least 2 RDDs <br> 2 points for having multiple partitions per RDD, with one child per parent |
| /5 | (f) | 1 point for naming a valid transformation [reduceByKey, groupByKey, etc] <br> 2 points for a DAG which shows at least 2 RDDs <br> 2 points for having multiple partitions per RDD, with multiple children per parent |
|  |  | Question 3 |
| /10 | (a) | 1 point per RDD in one iteration [start, unlabeled, unlabeled, middle] <br> 1 point per correct transformation label in one iteration [flatMap, map, reduceByKey] <br> 2 points for having the 2nd iteration represented <br> 1 point for having the fullOuterJoin between middle and result |
| /10 | (b) | 4 points for correctly stating 3 stages ( 2 points for stating 2 stages) 3 points per boundary drawn through the reduceByKey transformations |
| /12 | (c) | 4 points for identifying .reduceByKey on line 6 <br> 4 points for identifying .flapMap (line 4) or .map (line 5) <br> 4 points for identifying .collect on line 12 |
| 14 | (d) | 4 points for stating that it runs 1 job |
| 14 | (e) | 4 points for stating that it implements Word Count |


|  |  | Question 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /6 | (a) | 1 point per classifier mentioned [k-NN, Naive Bayes, Logistic Regression] 1 point per correct categorization [structural, statistical, statistical] |
| 14 | (b) | 1 point for stating that words are the features in spam classification 1 point for stating that urls visited are the features in ad click probability 2 points for stating that k-NN works better for low dimensionality (or Curse of Dim) |
| /2 | (c) | 2 points for mentioning that it works well with large amounts of data |
| /3 | (d) | 3 points for mentioning we run on each class, and pick the most likely |
|  |  | Question 5 |
| /10 | (a) | 10 points for getting the correct answer (or close with just math errors) 5 points for having some correct steps/formulas |
| /10 | (b) | 10 points for getting the correct answer (or close with just math errors) 5 points for having some correct steps/formulas |
| /6 | (c) | 6 points for getting the correct answer (or close with just math errors) 3 points for having some correct steps/formulas |
| 14 | (d) | 2 points for mentioning Laplace Smoothing 2 points for mentioning getting more data (or other reasonable answer) |
| 15 | (e) | 5 points for a reasonable explanation that points to a specific type of bias, or issue 2 points for just mentioning bias but not anything specific |
|  |  | Question 6 |
| /2 | (a) | 0.5 points (rounded down) per correct answer [volume, velocity, variety, veracity] |
| /2 | (b) | 1 point per correct answer [random process generating data, random sampling] |
| 12 | (c) | 2 points for stating that odds of machine going down approaches 1.0 as number of machines increases |
| /2 | (d) | 2 points for any feasible answer |
| /2 | (e) | 1 points per correct answer [sample, distribute computation, Spark, MR] |

