Announcements and Feedback

● Project Phase 1 & 2 extended by 1 week
  ○ Phase 1 due 10/17@11:59PM
What is a Graph?

- A **graph** is a structure made up of a set of objects, where some pairs of the objects are "related"
- Mathematically, objects are represented with **vertices** (or nodes or points) and the relations between two vertices are represented with **edges** (or links or lines)
- Typically, a graph is depicted in diagrammatic form as a set of dots or circles for the vertices, joined by lines or curves for the edges
- Edges can be directed or undirected (a relationship can go both ways)
- Edges can be weighted to show the “strength”, distance, etc
Graph Representations

There are two standard ways to represent a graph $G(V, E)$ [$V$ is the set of vertices, $E$ is the set of edges]

1. adjacency list representation
2. adjacency matrix

An adjacency matrix is 2-Dimensional Array of size $V \times V$, where $V$ is the number of vertices in the graph.

An adjacency list is an array of linked lists, where the array size is same as number of vertices in the graph. Every vertex has a linked list. Each node in this linked list represents the reference to another vertex that shares an edge with the current vertex.
Single Source Shortest Path

**Sequential solution: Dijkstra’s algorithm**

\[\begin{align*}
    d[s] &= 0 \\
    \text{for all other vertices } & d[v] = \infty \\
    Q &= \{V\} \quad // \quad Q \text{ is priority queue based on distances} \\
    \text{while } Q \text{ not empty} & \\
    \quad & u = \min(Q) \quad // \quad \text{node with min } d \text{ value} \\
    \quad & \text{for all vertex } v \text{ in } u.\text{adjacencyList} \\
    \quad & \quad \text{if } d[v] > d[u] + w[u,v] \\
    \quad & \quad \quad d[v] = d[u] + w[u,v] \\
    \quad & \text{mark } u \text{ and remove from } Q
\end{align*}\]

At each iteration of while loop, the algorithm expands the node with the shortest distance and updates distances to all reachable nodes.
How do we apply this algorithm if we have a graph with large number of nodes and edges between them? MapReduce?

What is the main issue here?

The algorithm is sequential, needs a **global state**

Global states are not possible with map reduce...
Graph Processing in MapReduce

Let's see how we can handle a graph problem in parallel with MapReduce.

Remember, the MapReduce paradigm requires a mapper function and a reducer function.
Goal: Start from a given node and label all the nodes in the graph so that we can determine the shortest distance.

Assume all the distance between edges is one.
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Goal: Start from a given node and label all the nodes in the graph so that we can determine the shortest distance.

Assume all the distance between edges is one.

Problems to Tackle:

1. How do we represent our graph?
2. What are our <key,value> pairs?
3. How do we iterate through various stages of processing?
4. When/how do we terminate execution?
Our graphs will be represented as a collection of Node objects:

Node:
    nodeId,
    distanceLabel,
    adjacencyList[nodeId, distance],
    ...

Input the graph as text and parse it to build our <key, value> pairs.

So what are our <key, value> pairs?
<key, value> pairs

We actually need two types of <key, value> pair:

1. <nodeId n, Node N>  // nodeId to Node object
2. <nodeId n, distance>  // nodeId to distance so far
Iteration

- Each *iteration* in the algorithm is a MapReduce job
- Iterations and termination are coordinate by an external *driver* application (more on this in future lectures)
- The first iteration starts at the source node (with distance 0)
  - It updates and emits all distances for nodes in the adjacency list
- The next iteration takes the output from the previous and
  updates/emits all distances for nodes connected to this set of nodes
- Continue until termination
Termination condition also needs to be tracked in the Node class

Terminate when the graph has reached a steady state:

- All the nodes have been labeled with min distance
- Labels no longer change between iterations
- Potentially use other conditions using counters
class Mapper
    method map (nodeId n, Node N)
        d ← N.distance
        emit(n, N) // type 1
        for nodeId m in N.adjacencyList
            emit(m, d+1) // type 2

The method map takes in two parameters, nodeId n and Node N

The method produces two key value pairs <n, N> and the updated distance to all of the adjacent nodes <m, d+1>
class Reducer
    method Reduce(nodeId n, [d1, d2, d3..])
        dmin ← ∞; // or a large #
        Node N ← null

        for all d in [d1, d2, ...]:
            if IsNode(d) then N ← d
            else if d < dmin then dmin ← d

        N.distance ← dmin // update the shortest distance in N
        emit (n, N)
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1 0 2:3:
2 1 3:4:
3 1 2:4:5
4 10000 5:
5 10000 1:4

<d: 0>
<nodeld 2, distance 1>
<nodeld 3, distance 1>
Intermediate Result

Input Graph

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2:3:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3:4:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2:4:5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>5:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>1:4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Input to the next iteration

<nodeld 2, distance 1>
<nodeld 3, distance 1>
## Final Result

### Input Graph

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2:3:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3:4:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2:4:5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1:4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- `<nodeId 4, distance 2>`
- `<nodeId 5, distance 2>`
Last time we looked at PageRank
  - Algorithm for ranking the importance of pages on the internet
  - Internet represented as a graph
    - Pages are vertices
    - Links are edges

The internet is huge, graph requires parallel processing...

How can we do PageRank in MapReduce?
Page Rank: The Flow Model

A link from an *important page* (higher ranking page) is worth more.

A page is *important* if it is pointed to by other important pages.

Define a “rank” $r_j$ for page $j$ as:

$$ r_j = \sum_{i \rightarrow j} \frac{r_i}{d_i} $$

“Flow” equations:

- $r_y = \frac{r_y}{2} + \frac{r_a}{2}$
- $r_a = \frac{r_y}{2} + r_m$
- $r_m = \frac{r_a}{2}$
Solving the Flow Equation

3 equations, 3 unknowns, no constants

No unique solution: All solutions equivalent modulo the scale factor

Adding an additional constraint forces uniqueness:

\[ r_y + r_a + r_m = 1 \]

Gaussian Elimination can be used to find the solution.

This method will work for small graphs, but won’t scale for larger graphs

“Flow” equations:

\[ r_y = r_y / 2 + r_a / 2 \]
\[ r_a = r_y / 2 + r_m \]
\[ r_m = r_a / 2 \]
Page Rank: Matrix Formulation

Stochastic Adjacency matrix $M$

$M_{ji} = 1/(d_i)$ if there is a link from $i$ to $j$, else value is 0
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Page Rank: Matrix Formulation

Stochastic Adjacency matrix $M$

$M_{ji} = 1/(d_i)$ if there is a link from $i$ to $j$, else value is 0

If $r$ is vector with the initial importance of a page and

$$\sum_i r_i = 1$$

Then the flow equation can be written as

$$r = M \cdot r$$
Solving with Power Iteration

\[ r_y = r_y / 2 + r_a / 2 \]
\[ r_a = r_y / 2 + r_m \]
\[ r_m = r_a / 2 \]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  y & a & m \\
  \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
  \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 \\
  0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[ r = M \cdot r \]
Solving with Power Iteration

Given a web graph with $n$ nodes, where the vertices are pages and edges are hyperlinks

**Power iteration**: a simple iterative scheme

Suppose there are $N$ web pages

1. **Initialize**: $r(0) = [1/N, \ldots, 1/N]^T$
2. **Iterate**: $r(t+1) = M \cdot r(t)$
3. **Stop when**: $||r(t+1) - r(t)||_1 < \varepsilon$
Random Walk Interpretation

Imagine a random web surfer

\[ r_j = \sum_{i \rightarrow j} \frac{r_i}{d_{out}(i)} \]
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Imagine a random web surfer
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  - Ends up on some page \( j \) linked from \( i \)
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- At any time $t$, the surfer is on some page $i$
- At time $t + 1$, the surfer follows an out-link from $i$ uniformly at random
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Imagine a random web surfer

- At any time $t$, the surfer is on some page $i$
- At time $t + 1$, the surfer follows an out-link from $i$ uniformly at random
  - Ends up on some page $j$ linked from $i$
- Process repeats infinitely

$P(t)$ is the vector whose $i^{th}$ coordinate is the probability that the surfer is at page $i$ at time $t$

So $P(t)$ is a probability distribution over pages
Google Formulation
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or equivalently
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Google Formulation

\[ r_j^{(t+1)} = \sum_{i \rightarrow j} \frac{r_i^{(t)}}{d_i} \]

or equivalently

\[ r = Mr \]

Does this value converge?

Does it converge to the results that we want?

Are the results reasonable?
Does this converge?

\[ r_j^{(t+1)} = \sum_{i \rightarrow j} \frac{r_i^{(t)}}{d_i} \]
Does this converge?

Example:

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_a &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
    r_b &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

Iteration 0, 1, 2, …

\[
    r_j^{(t+1)} = \sum_{i \rightarrow j} \frac{r_i^{(t)}}{d_i}
\]
Does this converge to what we want?

\[ r_j^{(t+1)} = \sum_{i \rightarrow j} \frac{r_i^{(t)}}{d_i} \]
Does this converge to what we want?

Example:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{bmatrix}
r_a \\
r_b
\end{bmatrix} = \\
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\end{array}
\]

Iteration 0, 1, 2, …
Page Rank: Problems

Some pages are dead ends:
- Random walk has nowhere to go
- Such pages cause important information to leak
Page Rank: Problems

Some pages are dead ends:
- Random walk has nowhere to go
- Such pages cause important information to leak

Spider traps
- All out-links are within the group
- Random walk gets stuck in a trap
- And eventually spider traps absorbs all importance
Spider Traps

\[ r_y = r_y / 2 + r_a / 2 \]
\[ r_a = r_y / 2 \]
\[ r_m = r_a / 2 + r_m \]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  y & a & m \\
  \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
  \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]
Spider Traps

$m$ is a spider trap

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
 r_y \\
r_a \\
r_m
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
 1/3 & 2/6 & 3/12 & 5/24 & 0 \\
 1/3 & 1/6 & 2/12 & 3/24 & \ldots & 0 \\
 1/3 & 3/6 & 7/12 & 16/24 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Iteration 0, 1, 2, ...

All the PageRank score gets “trapped” in node $m$. 
Solution: Teleports

The Google solution for spider traps: Teleports

At each time step, the random surfer has two options:
1. With probability $\beta$, follow a link at random
2. With prob. $1-\beta$, jump to some random page

Common values for $\beta$ are in the range 0.8 to 0.9

This will help the surfer to teleport out of spider trap within a few steps
Dead Ends

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\ y & a & m \\
\ y & 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\
\ a & 1/2 & 0 & 0 \\
\ m & 0 & 1/2 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\ r_y &= r_y/2 + r_a/2 \\
\ r_a &= r_y/2 \\
\ r_m &= r_a/2 \\
\end{align*}
\]
Dead Ends

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{y} & \text{y} & \text{a} \\
\text{a} & \text{y} & \text{a} \\
\text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
 & \text{y} & \text{a} & \text{m} \\
\hline
\text{y} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
\text{a} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
\text{m} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\mathbf{r}_y \\
\mathbf{r}_a \\
\mathbf{r}_m
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
1/3 & 2/6 & 3/12 & 5/24 & 0 \\
1/3 & 1/6 & 2/12 & 3/24 & \ldots & 0 \\
1/3 & 1/6 & 1/12 & 2/24 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Iteration 0, 1, 2, …
Solution: Teleports

Teleport with probability 1.0 at dead ends
Google's Solution

Googles solution for PageRank:

\[ r_j = \sum_{i \rightarrow j} \beta \frac{r_i}{d_i} + (1 - \beta) \frac{1}{N} \]
Google's Solution

Googles solution for PageRank:

\[ r_j = \sum_{i \rightarrow j} \beta \frac{r_i}{d_i} + (1 - \beta) \frac{1}{N} \]

In matrix notation:

\[ A = \beta M + (1 - \beta) \left[ \frac{1}{N} \right]_{N \times N} \]
PageRank with MapReduce

Let us assume for now that $\beta$ is 1.0 (no teleporting)

class Mapper
    method map (nodeId n, Node N)
        $p \leftarrow N\.pagerank / N\.adjacencyList\.size$
        emit(n, N)  // Emit the graph structure
        for all m in N\.adjacencyList
            emit(m, p)// Emit the contributions from N
Class Reducer

method Reduce(nodeId n, [p1, p2, p3..])

node N ← null; s ← 0;
for all p in [p1,p2, ..]:
    if IsNode(p) then: N ← p
    else: s ← s + p
N.pagerank ← s
emit(n, N)
PageRank with MapReduce

How do we account for dead ends nodes?

- Simply redistribute its PageRank to all other nodes
- One iteration requires PageRank computation + redistribution of “unused” PageRank
  - Track total leaked PageRank during the computation, then redistribute it as a second MapReduce job in the same iteration
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PageRank with MapReduce

How do we account for dead ends nodes?
- Simply redistribute its PageRank to all other nodes
- One iteration requires PageRank computation + redistribution of “unused” PageRank
  - Track total leaked PageRank during the computation, then redistribute it as a second MapReduce job in the same iteration

What about breaking out of spider traps?
- This can also be taken care of when the leaked PageRank is redistributed from dead ends

Second Phase Redistribution Formula:
\[ p' = \alpha \left( \frac{1}{|G|} \right) + (1 - \alpha) \left( \frac{m}{|G|} + p \right) \]

- Random hop probability
- Total leaked PageRank "mass"
- PageRank from first phase
PageRank with MapReduce

How do we know when convergence is reached?

- When the ranks of pages do not change (or change by less than some small epsilon value)

For large graphs, the rank of any particular node is often so small that it underflows standard floating point representations

- A very common solution to this problem is to represent ranks using their logarithms