### CSE 250 Data Structures

Dr. Eric Mikida epmikida@buffalo.edu 208 Capen Hall

### **AVL Trees**

### Announcements

- PA3 Tests due tonight @11:59PM
- PA3 Implementation due Sunday @ 11:59PM
  - Recitation this week will have some PA3 related content again

# **BST Operations**

Operation	Runtime
find	<b>O</b> ( <i>d</i> )
insert	<b>O</b> ( <i>d</i> )
remove	<b>O</b> ( <i>d</i> )

What is the runtime in terms of **n**? **O**(**n**)

 $\log(n) \le d \le n$ 

# **Tree Depth vs Size**



### **Tree Depth vs Size**



# **Keeping Depth Small - Two Approaches**

#### Option 1

### Keep tree **balanced**: subtrees **+/-1** of each other in height

(add a field to track amount of "imbalance") Keep leaves at some minimum depth (**d/2**)

**Option 2** 

(Add a color to each node marking it as "red" or "black")

Balanced Trees are good: Faster find, insert, remove

Balanced Trees are good: Faster find, insert, remove

What do we mean by balanced?

Balanced Trees are good: Faster find, insert, remove
What do we mean by balanced? |height(left) - height(right)| ≤ 1

Balanced Trees are good: Faster find, insert, remove
What do we mean by balanced? |height(left) - height(right)| ≤ 1
How do we keep a tree balanced?







A became B's left childB's left child became A's right child



A became B's left child B's left child became A's right child

Is ordering maintained?



A became B's left child B's left child became A's right child

Is ordering maintained? Yes!



A became B's left child
B's left child became A's right child
Is ordering maintained? Yes!

Complexity?



A became B's left child
B's left child became A's right child
Is ordering maintained? Yes!

Complexity? **O(1)** 



A became B's left child

B's left child became A's right child

Is ordering maintained? Yes!

Complexity? O(1)

This is called a left rotation

(right rotation is the opposite)





**Before Rotation:** 



**Before Rotation:** h(A) = 1 + max(h(X), 1 + max(h(Y), h(Z)))



Before Rotation: h(A) = 1 + max(h(X), 1 + max(h(Y), h(Z))

**After Rotation:** 



Before Rotation: h(A) = 1 + max(h(X), 1 + max(h(Y), h(Z)))After Rotation: h(B) = 1 + max(1 + max(h(X),h(Y)), h(Z))



Before Rotation: h(A) = 1 + max(h(X), 1 + max(h(Y), h(Z)))

### After Rotation:

h(B) = 1 + max(1 + max(h(X),h(Y)), h(Z))

- If **X** was the tallest of **X**,**Y**,**Z** our total height increased by 1.
- If **Z** was the tallest our total height decreased by 1.
- If **X,Z** same height, or **Y** is the tallest then total is unchanged





An <u>AVL tree</u> (<u>A</u>delson-<u>V</u>elsky and <u>L</u>andis) is a BST where every subtree is depth-balanced Remember: Tree depth = height(root) Balanced: |height(root.left) - height(root.right)| ≤ 1

Define balance(v) = height(v.right) - height(v.left) Goal: Maintaining balance(v)  $\in$  {-1, 0, 1}

- **balance**(v) = 0  $\rightarrow$  "v is balanced"
- **balance**(v) = -1  $\rightarrow$  "v is left-heavy"
- **balance(** $\nu$ **)** = 1  $\rightarrow$  " $\nu$  is right-heavy"

Define balance(v) = height(v.right) - height(v.left) Goal: Maintaining balance(v)  $\in$  {-1, 0, 1}

- **balance**(v) = 0  $\rightarrow$  "v is balanced"
- **balance(**v**)** = -1  $\rightarrow$  "v is left-heavy"
- **balance(v) = 1**  $\rightarrow$  "v is right-heavy"

What does enforcing this gain us?

**Question:** Does the AVL property result in any guarantees about depth?

**Question:** Does the AVL property result in any guarantees about depth? **YES!** Depth balance forces a maximum possible depth of **log(***n***)** 

**Question:** Does the AVL property result in any guarantees about depth? **YES!** Depth balance forces a maximum possible depth of **log(***n***) Proof Idea:** An AVL tree with depth *d* has "enough" nodes

Let minNodes(d) be the min number of nodes an in AVL tree of depth d



For any tree of depth **d**:



For any tree of depth **d**:




For *d* < 1:

minNodes(d) = 1 + minNodes(d - 1) + minNodes(d - 2)

For *d* < 1:

minNodes(d) = 1 + minNodes(d - 1) + minNodes(d - 2)

This is the Fibonacci Sequence!

For *d* < 1:

minNodes(*d*) = 1 + minNodes(*d* - 1) + minNodes(*d* - 2)

This is the Fibonacci Sequence!

What is the *d*<sup>th</sup> term of the Fibonacci sequence?

Coarse approximation: minNodes(d) =  $\Omega(1.5^d)$ 

minNodes(d) =  $\Omega(1.5^d)$ 

minNodes(d) =  $\Omega(1.5^d)$ 

minNodes(d) =  $\Omega(1.5^d)$ 

$$\log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right) \ge \log_2(1.5^d)$$

minNodes(d) =  $\Omega(1.5^d)$ 

$$\log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right) \ge \log_2(1.5^d)$$

$$\log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right) \ge d\log_2(1.5)$$

minNodes(d) =  $\Omega(1.5^d)$ 



$$\log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right) \ge \log_2(1.5^d)$$

$$\log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right) \ge d\log_2(1.5)$$

minNodes(d) =  $\Omega(1.5^d)$ 

 $n \ge c1.5^d$ 

$$\frac{\log_2\left(\frac{-}{c}\right)}{\log_2(1.5)} \ge d$$

log(n)

$$\log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right) \ge \log_2(1.5^d)$$

$$\frac{\log_2(n))}{\log_2(1.5)} - \frac{\log_2(c)}{\log_2(1.5)} \ge d$$

$$\log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right) \ge d\log_2(1.5)$$

minNodes(d) =  $\Omega(1.5^d)$ 

$$\frac{\log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right)}{\log_2(1.5)} \ge d$$

$$\frac{\log_2(n))}{\log_2(1.5)} - \frac{\log_2(c)}{\log_2(1.5)} \ge d$$
All constants

$$\log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right) \ge \log_2(1.5^d)$$

$$\log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right) \ge d\log_2(1.5)$$

minNodes(d) =  $\Omega(1.5^d)$ 

 $n \ge c1.5^d$ 

$$\log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right) \ge \log_2(1.5^d)$$

$$\log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right) \ge d\log_2(1.5)$$

$$\frac{\log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right)}{\log_2(1.5)} \ge d$$

$$\frac{\log_2(n))}{\log_2(1.5)} - \frac{\log_2(c)}{\log_2(1.5)} \ge d$$

 $d \in O(\log_2(n))$ 

minNodes(d) =  $\Omega(1.5^d)$  $\frac{\log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right)}{2} > d$  $n \ge c1.5^d$ Therefore if we enforce the AVL constraint, then a tree with *n* nodes  $\log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right) \ge \log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right)$  will have logarithmic depth  $\frac{g_2(c)}{\log_2(1.5)} - \frac{g_2(c)}{\log_2(1.5)} \ge d$  $\log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right) \ge d\log_2(1.5)$  $d \in O(\log_2(n))$ 

minNodes(d) =  $\Omega(1.5^d)$  $\frac{\log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right)}{2} \ge d$  $n \ge c1.5^d$  Therefore if we enforce the AVL  $\log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right) \ge \log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right)$ constraint, then a tree with *n* nodes So how do we enforce the constraint?  $\frac{g_2(c)}{g_2(1.5)} \ge d$  $\log_2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right) \ge d\log_2(1.5)$  $d \in O(\log_2(n))$ 

- Computing balance() on the fly is expensive
  - balance() calls height() twice
  - Computing height() requires visiting every node

- Computing balance() on the fly is expensive
  - balance() calls height() twice
  - Computing height() requires visiting every node

Idea: Store height of each node at the node

- Computing balance() on the fly is expensive
  - balance() calls height() twice
  - Computing height() requires visiting every node

Idea: Store height of each node at the node

**Better Idea:** Just store the balance factor (only needs 2 bits)

```
class AVLNode[K,V](
  var key: K,
  var value: V,
  var parent: Option[AVLNode[K,V]],
  var left: Tree[K,V],
  var right: Tree[K,V],
  var isLeftHeavy : Boolean, // true if height(right) - height(left) == -1
  var isRightHeavy : Boolean, // true if height(right) - height(left) == 1
```

```
class AVLNode[K,V](
  var key: K,
  var value: V,
  var parent: Option[AVLNode[K,V]],
  var left: Tree[K,V],
  var right: Tree[K,V],
  var isLeftHeavy : Boolean, // true if height(right) - height(left) == -1
  var isRightHeavy : Boolean, // true if height(right) - height(left) == 1
)
```

Add fields to track balance, and update them during insertion/removal

Assume we have a valid AVL tree and we modify it. How might this break our AVL constraint?

Assume we have a valid AVL tree and we modify it. How might this break our AVL constraint?

• What is the effect on the height of insert?

Assume we have a valid AVL tree and we modify it. How might this break our AVL constraint?

• What is the effect on the height of insert? Increases by **at most** 1

Assume we have a valid AVL tree and we modify it. How might this break our AVL constraint?

- What is the effect on the height of insert? Increases by **at most** 1
- What is the effect on the height of remove?

Assume we have a valid AVL tree and we modify it. How might this break our AVL constraint?

- What is the effect on the height of insert? Increases by **at most** 1
- What is the effect on the height of remove? Decreases by **at most** 1

Assume we have a valid AVL tree and we modify it. How might this break our AVL constraint?

- What is the effect on the height of insert? Increases by **at most** 1
- What is the effect on the height of remove? Decreases by **at most** 1

**Therefore** after an operation that modifies an AVL tree, the difference in heights can be **at most** 2.

What are the exact ways this broken constraint might show up?



How can we fix this?



How can we fix this? rotate(A,B)



How can we fix this? rotate(A,B)



How can we fix this?



How can we fix this? rotate(A,B)



How can we fix this? rotate(A,B)



How can we fix this?



How can we fix this? Will just a single left rotation work?



How can we fix this? Will just a single left rotation work? **No** 



How can we fix this?





How can we fix this? Rotate right first: rotate(B,C)

Height of **C** we know must be **h** 

Therefore At least one of  $h_x$  or  $h_y$  must be h - 1

The other can also be h - 2, or h - 1
# **Enforcing the AVL Constraint: Case 3**



How can we fix this? Rotate right first: rotate(B,C) Then right left: rotate(A,C)

Height of **C** we know must be **h** 

Therefore At least one of  $h_r$  or  $h_r$  must be h - 1

The other can also be h - 2, or h - 1

# **Enforcing the AVL Constraint: Case 3**



How can we fix this? Rotate right first: rotate(B,C) Then right left: rotate(A,C)

Height of **C** we know must be **h** 

Therefore At least one of  $h_r$  or  $h_r$  must be h - 1

The other can also be h - 2, or h - 1

# **Enforcing the AVL Constraint**

- If too right heavy (balance == +2)
  - If right child is right heavy (balance == +1) or balanced (balance == 0)
    - rotate left around the root
  - If right child is left heavy (balance == -1)
    - rotate right around root of right child, then rotate left around root
- If too left heavy (balance == -2)
  - Same as above but flipped

# Therefore if we have a balance factor that is off, but all children are AVL trees, we can fix the balance factor in at most 2 rotations

To insert a record into an AVL Tree:

- 1. Find the insertion point (remember it is a BST)
- 2. Insert the new leaf and set balance factor to 0
- 3. Trace path back up to root and update balance factors
  - a. If a balance factor becomes +/-2 then rotate to fix

To insert a record into an AVL Tree:

- 1. Find the insertion point (remember it is a BST)
- 2. Insert the new leaf and set balance factor to 0
- 3. Trace path back up to root and update balance factors
  - a. If a balance factor becomes +/-2 then rotate to fix

O(d) = O(log n) O(1) O(d) = O(log n) O(1)

```
def insert[K, V](key: K, value: V, root: AVLNode[K, V]): Unit = {
var node = findInsertionPoint(key, root)
 node. key = key; node. value = value
 node. isLeftHeavy = node. isRightHeavy = false
while(node._parent.isDefined){
   if(node. parent. left == node){
     if(node. parent. isRightHeavy){
       node. parent. isRightHeavy = false; return
     } else if(node. parent. isLeftHeavy) {
       if(node. isLeftHeavy){ node. parent.rotateRight() }
       else { node. parent.rotateLeftRight() }
       return
     } else {
       node. parent.isLeftHeavy = true
   } else { /* symmetric to above */ }
   node = node. parent
```

```
def insert[K, V](key: K, value: V, root: AVLNode[K, V]): Unit = {
 Var node = \pmindinsertionPoint(Key, root)
node. key = key; node. value = value
node. isLeftHeavy = node. isRightHeavy = false
while(node. parent.isDefined){
   if(node. parent. left == node){
     if(node. parent. isRightHeavy){
       node. parent. isRightHeavy = false; return
     } else if(node. parent. isLeftHeavy) {
       if(node. isLeftHeavy){ node. parent.rotateRight() }
       else { node. parent.rotateLeftRight() }
       return
     } else {
       node. parent.isLeftHeavy = true
   } else { /* symmetric to above */ }
   node = node. parent
```

Find insertion point and create the new leaf **O**(**d**) = **O**(log **n**)





## **Removing Records**

• Removal follows essentially the same process as insertion