CSE 250 Data Structures

Dr. Eric Mikida epmikida@buffalo.edu 208 Capen Hall

Spatial Data Structures

Some Problems are REALLY Big



ESA/Hubble and NASA: <u>http://www.spacetelescope.org/images/potw1006a/</u>

Some Problems are REALLY Small



Molecular Dynamics Simulation of Liquid Water

https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:A_Molecular_Dynamics_Simulation_of_Liquid_Water_at_298_K.webm

Some Problems are REALLY Detailed

This is **NOT** a photo. It is a computer generated image.



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ray_tracing_%28graphics%29#/media/File:Glasses_800_edit.png

The have MANY elements (celestial bodies, molecules, mesh cells, etc) which are organized spatially

The have MANY elements (celestial bodies, molecules, mesh cells, etc) which are organized spatially

What "bodies" (other planets, molecules, etc) are close to each other?

Which object(s) will a ray of light bounce/projectile hit?

What objects are closest to a given point?

Which objects fall within a given range?

The have MANY elements (celestial bodies, molecules, mesh cells, etc) which are organized spatially

What "bodies" (other planets, molecules, etc) are close to each other?

Which object(s) will a ray of light bounce/projectile hit?

What objects are closest to a given point?

Which objects fall within a given range?

How can we organize these elements in a way that allows us to efficiently answer these questions?

Related Problems

Mapping

- What's within ¹/₂ mile of me?
- What's within 2 minutes of my route?

Games

• What objects are close enough that they might need to be rendered?

Science

- "Big Brain Project": Neuron A fired, so what other neurons are close enough to be stimulated?
- "Astronomy"/"MD": What forces are affecting a particular body, and what forces can we ignore/estimate?

Organizing/Storing Our Data

What data structure have we seen already that lets us efficiently organize/store "sorted" data?

Organizing/Storing Our Data

What data structure have we seen already that lets us efficiently organize/store "sorted" data?

Idea: What if we organize our data in a BST

Binary Search Trees (for one dimension)

class Node[T <: Comparable](value: T)</pre>

```
/** Guarantee:
    left.value < this.value **/
val left: Node[T] = Empty</pre>
```

{

}

```
/** Guarantee:
    right.value >= this.value **/
val right: Node[T] = Empty
```



Binary Search Trees (for one dimension)

Insert

- Find the right spot: **O(depth)**
- Create and insert the node: **O(1)**

Find

- Find the right node: **O(depth)**
- Return the value if it is present: **0(1)**



If the tree is balanced, O(depth) = O(log(n))

This worked for 1-dimensional data...How could we change it to work with 2-dimensional data, ie (Birthday, Zip Code)?

Goal: Create a data structure that can answer:

- 1. Find me everyone with a specific birthday
- 2. Find me everyone in a specific zip code
- 3. Find me everyone that has a specific birthday AND zip code

Goal: Create a data structure that can answer:

- 1. Find me everyone with a specific birthday
- 2. Find me everyone in a specific zip code
- 3. Find me everyone that has a specific birthday AND zip code

Idea 1: BST over birthday

- Operation 2 is **O(n)**
- Operation 3 is O(log(n) + |people sharing a bday|)

Goal: Create a data structure that can answer:

- 1. Find me everyone with a specific birthday
- 2. Find me everyone in a specific zip code
- 3. Find me everyone that has a specific birthday AND zip code

Idea 1: BST over birthday

- Operation 2 is **O(n)**
- Operation 3 is O(log(n) + |people sharing a bday|)

Idea 2: BST over zip code

- Operation 1 is **O(n)**
- Operation 3 is O(log(n) + |people sharing a zip|)

Goal: Create a data structure that can answer:

- 1. Find me everyone with a specific birthday
- 2. Find me everyone in a specific zip code
- 3. Find me everyone that has a specific birthday AND zip code

Idea 1: BST over birthday

- Operation 2 is **O(n)**
- Operation 3 is O(log(n) + |people sharing a bday|)

Idea 2: BST over zip code

- Operation 1 is **O(n)**
- Operation 3 is O(log(n) + |people sharing a zip|)

Idea 3: BST over birthday, then zip (lexical order)

- Operation 2 is <u>still</u> **O(n)**

Why did it fail?

Ideas 1 & 2

BST works by grouping "nearby" values together in the same subtree....

... but "near" in one dimension says nothing about the other!

Idea 3

BST works by partitioning the data...

... but lexical order partitions fully on one dimension before partitioning on the other.

Attempt 1 - Partition on BOTH dimensions



Attempt 1 - Partition on BOTH dimensions



Each Node has 4 Children



Each Node has 4 Children

"Binary" Search Tree

- Bin Prefix meaning "2"
- Each node has (at most) 2 children

"Quadary" Search Tree

- Quad Prefix meaning 4
- Each node has (at most) 4 children
- Usually say: "Quad-Tree" instead

Quad Trees - Find Node

```
def findNode(x: Int, y: Int): Node[T] = {
 var current = root
  while(current.isDefined && (current.x != x || current.y != y) ){
    if(current.x < x){</pre>
      if(current.y < y){ current = current.llChild }</pre>
                       { current = current.lhChild }
      else
    } else {
      if(current.y < y){ current = current.hlChild }</pre>
     else { current = current.hhChild }
  return current
}
```

Quad Trees - Find Node

```
def findNode(x: Int, y: Int): Node[T] = {
 var current = root
  while(current.isDefined && (current.x != x || current.y != y) ){
    if(current.x < x){</pre>
      if(current.y < y){ current = current.llChild }</pre>
                       { current = current.lhChild }
      else
    } else {
      if(current.y < y){ current = current.hlChild }</pre>
     else { current = current.hhChild }
  return current
}
                                                           What's the complexity?
```

Quad Trees - Find Node

```
def findNode(x: Int, y: Int): Node[T] = {
 var current = root
  while(current.isDefined && (current.x != x || current.y != y) ){
    if(current.x < x){</pre>
      if(current.y < y){ current = current.llChild }</pre>
                       { current = current.lhChild }
      else
    } else {
      if(current.y < y){ current = current.hlChild }</pre>
     else { current = current.hhChild }
  return current
}
                                                      What's the complexity? O(d)
```

Quad Trees - Other Operations

insert(x, y, value)

- Find placeholder spot corresponding to (x, y): **O(d)**
- Create and inject new node: **0(1)**

apply(x, y)

- Find position corresponding to (x, y): **O(d)**
- Return the node if it exists: **0(1)**

range(xlow, xhigh, ylow, yhigh)

• ...?









Quad Trees - Find Node (With Range)

```
def findNode(x: Int, y: Int): Node[T] = {
  var current = root
  var range = Rectangle(-\infty, -\infty, \infty, \infty)
  while(current.isDefined && (current.x != x || current.y != y) ){
    if(current.x < x) {</pre>
      if(current.y < y){ current = current.llChild;</pre>
                            current.range = range.crop(Rectangle(-\infty, -\infty, x, y)) }
      else
                         { current = current.lhChild;
                            current.range = range.crop(Rectangle(-\infty, y, x, \infty)) }
    } else {
      if(current.y < y){ current = current.hlChild;</pre>
                            current.range = range.crop(Rectangle(x, -\infty, \infty, y)) }
      else
                         { current = current.hhChild;
                            current.range = range.crop(Rectangle(x, y, \infty, \infty)) }
  return current
```

```
def range( target: Rectangle ): Seq[Node[T]] = {
  val ret = Buffer[Node[T]]()
```

```
def visit(current: Node[T]) = {
    if( target.intersect(current.range).isEmpty ) { return }
    if( target.contains(current.x, current.y) ){ ret.append(current) }
    if( ll.isDefined ) { visit(llChild) }
    if( lh.isDefined ) { visit(lhChild) }
    if( hl.isDefined ) { visit(hlChild) }
    if( hh.isDefined ) { visit(hhChild) }
}
```

Quad Trees - Challenges

Creating a balanced Quad Tree is hard

 Impossible to always split collection elements evenly across all four subtrees (though depth = O(log(n)) still possible)

Keeping the quad tree balanced after updates is significantly harder

• No "simple" analog for rotate left/right.

Worst Case: No possible way to create n with >2 nonempty subtrees

Quad Trees - Challenges

Problem: Every node has 4 children!

Revisiting Lexical Order



Problem: Searches on lexical order partition all of one dimension first

Revisiting Lexical Order



Idea: Alternate dimensions
k-D Tree Example



k-D Tree Example - insert(5,4)



k-D Tree Example - insert(1,6)



k-D Tree Example - insert(19,10)



k-D Tree Example - insert(9,1)



k-D Tree Example - insert(16,15)



k-D Tree Example - insert(13,6)



k-D Trees - Bigger Example



k-D Trees - Find Node

```
def findNode(x: Int, y: Int): Node[T] = {
 var current = root
 var depth = 0
 while(current.isDefined && (current.x != x || current.y != y) ){
    if(depth % 2 == 1) { if(current.x < x) { current = current.left }</pre>
                         else { current = current.right }
   else
                       { if(current.y < y) { current = current.left }</pre>
                                           { current = current.right }
                         else
   depth += 1
  }
 return current
}
```

k-D Trees - Find Node

```
def findNode(x: Int, y: Int): Node[T] = {
 var current = root
 var depth = 0
 while(current.isDefined && (current.x != x || current.y != y) ){
    if(depth % 2 == 1) { if(current.x < x) { current = current.left }</pre>
                         else { current = current.right }
   else
                       { if(current.y < y) { current = current.left }</pre>
                                           { current = current.right }
                         else
   depth += 1
  }
 return current
}
                                                     What's the complexity?
```

k-D Trees - Find Node

```
def findNode(x: Int, y: Int): Node[T] = {
 var current = root
 var depth = 0
 while(current.isDefined && (current.x != x || current.y != y) ){
    if(depth % 2 == 1) { if(current.x < x) { current = current.left }</pre>
                         else { current = current.right }
   else
                       { if(current.y < y) { current = current.left }</pre>
                                           { current = current.right }
                         else
   depth += 1
  }
 return current
}
                                                 What's the complexity? O(d)
```

k-D Trees - Other Operations

insert(x, y, value)

- Find placeholder spot corresponding to (x, y): **O(d)**
- Create and inject new node: **O(1)**

apply(x, y)

- Find position corresponding to (x, y): **O(d)**
- Return node if it exists: **0(1)**

Nearest Neighbor

What if we want to find the closest point to our target?

Nearest Neighbor

What if we want to find the closest point to our target?

Problem: Can't just do normal find; the target may not be in the tree at all

Nearest Neighbor

What if we want to find the closest point to our target?

Problem: Can't just do normal find; the target may not be in the tree at all

Idea: Search like normal until we hit a leaf, then go back up the tree and see if there's a possibility we missed something.







































Generalization: k-Nearest Neighbors

Finding one point can be as fast as $O(\log(n))$, but as slow as O(n)...

What if we want to find the k-Nearest Neighbors instead?

Idea: Keep a list of the k-nearest points, and the furthest point defines our "search radius"

k-D Trees

- Can generalize to k>2 dimensions
 - Depth 0: Partition on Dimension 0
 - Depth 1: Partition on Dimension 1
 - 0 ...
 - Depth k-1: Partition on Dimension k-1
 - Depth k: Partition on Dimension 0
 - Depth k+1: Partition on Dimension 1
 - Depth i: Partition on Dimension (i mod k)
- In practice, range() and knn() become ~ **O(n)** for k > 3
 - If a subtree's range overlaps with the target in even one dimension, we need to search it. (<u>Curse of Dimensionality</u>)

The name k-D tree comes from this generalization (k-Dimensional Tree)
Other Problems: N-Body Problem

What if we want to compute interactions between one body and every other body?

Naively, this would be $O(n^2)$...but likely we don't care as much about interactions with bodies that are very very far away.

Other Problems: N-Body Problem

Idea: Divide our points into a quadtree (or octree in 3 dimensions)

Do full calculation for points closeby (in the same box)

Compute a summary (ie total force and center of mass) for each box that can be applied to far away boxes

Runtime is now **O**(**nlog**(**n**))



Which object does this ray of light hit? Do we have to check every single object?

Idea: Build a hierarchy of bounding boxes (BVH - Bounding volume hierarchy)

Idea: Build a hierarchy of bounding boxes (BVH - Bounding volume hierarchy)



Idea: Build a hierarchy of bounding boxes (BVH - Bounding volume hierarchy)











If we build our BVH effectively, the runtime becomes logarithmic.