## CSE 4/587 Data Intensive Computing

Dr. Eric Mikida epmikida@buffalo.edu 208 Capen Hall

Dr. Shamshad Parvin shamsadp@buffalo.edu 313 Davis Hall

# **Logistic Regression**

## Recap

**Prediction:** Linear regression

Clustering: k-Means

### Classification: k-NN, Naive Bayes

- k-NN: Structural (distance based), not great for high dimensionality
- Naive Bayes: Probabilistic, works well for a large number of features

- 1. What classifier should you use?
- 2. Which optimization method should you use for that classifier?
- 3. Which loss function should you minimize?
- 4. Which features of your data should you use?
- 5. Which evaluation metric should you use?

## 1. What classifier should you use?

- 2. Which optimization method should you use for that classifier?
- 3. Which loss function should you minimize?
- 4. Which features of your data should you use?
- 5. Which evaluation metric should you use?

### Why not just try them all and see what performs best?

The real-world has constraints...

- Time constraints (these models take time to run)
- Your understanding (we can't be experts on every model)
- Interpretability (how do you understand/explain the models decisions)
- Scalability (how long to train? how long to score? how much memory?)

- First and foremost, you must understand your problem domain
  - ie if you are working with election data, understand how election results are computed, etc
  - Which algorithm works best will be problem dependent **AND** question dependent (what is the question you are asking about your domain)
- **Rule of thumb:** simpler models are often easier to interpret but not as powerful (ie decision tree vs random forest)

# **Feature Engineering**

**Concern:** bad feature selection will lead to bad classification, regardless of classifier choice

**Observation:** Much of data science is about understanding the data sets and the domain well enough that you can extract meaningful features

#### From Wikipedia:

"...a **feature** is an individual measurable property or characteristic of a phenomenon being observed. Choosing informative, discriminating and independent features is a crucial step for effective algorithms in pattern recognition, **classification** and regression."

# What Features to Select?

- Based on domain expertise
- Use correlation or mutual information scores
  - MI scores: if I know a lot about one feature, does that help reduce my uncertainty about another?
- Synthesize new features
  - Clustering (k-means)
  - Principal Component Analysis (PCA)



Knowing the exterior quality of a house reduces uncertainty about its sale price.

#### [1] <u>https://www.kaggle.com/learn/feature-engineering</u>

# What Features to Select?

- Based on domain expertise
- Use correlation or mutual information scores
  - MI scores: if I know a lot about one feature, does that help reduce my uncertainty about another?
- Synthesize new features
  - Clustering (k-means)
  - Principal Component Analysis (PCA)



#### [1] <u>https://www.kaggle.com/learn/feature-engineering</u>

# **Binary vs Multi-Class**

- Binary classification is about 0,1 (no,yes) outputs
  - ie: is an email spam, is this patient sick, will this person click this ad, etc
- Multi-class problems have more than two labels. The standard solution is to train one binary classifier for each label, which classifies an input as having that label or not (binary).
  - Select the label with the highest probability

# Multi-Class Example

Article classification example to the right  $\rightarrow$ 

Classes are WORLD, BIZ, USA, SPAM, SPORT

```
*** Classifying instance: biz-01.html
P(WORLD|biz-01.html) = 0.085106382978723
P(BIZ|biz-01.html) = 0.765957446808511
P(USA|biz-01.html) = 0.063829787234043
P(SPAM|biz-01.html) = 0.042553191489362
P(SPORT|biz-01.html) = 0.042553191489362
Classified biz-01.html as BIZ
```

```
*** Classifying instance: usa-01.html
P(WORLD|usa-01.html) = 0.235294117647059
P(BIZ|usa-01.html) = 0.352941176470588
P(USA|usa-01.html) = 0.176470588235294
P(SPAM|usa-01.html) = 0.117647058823529
P(SPORT|usa-01.html) = 0.117647058823529
Classified usa-01.html as BIZ
```

```
*** Classifying instance: world-01.html
P(WORLD|world-01.html) = 0.805970149253731
P(BIZ|world-01.html) = 0.089552238805970
P(USA|world-01.html) = 0.044776119402985
P(SPAM|world-01.html) = 0.029850746268657
P(SPORT|world-01.html) = 0.029850746268657
Classified world-01.html as WORLD
```

# Multi-Class Example

Article classification example to the right  $\rightarrow$ 

Classes are WORLD, BIZ, USA, SPAM, SPORT

```
*** Classifying instance: biz-01.html
P(WORLD|biz-01.html) = 0.085106382978723
P(BIZ|biz-01.html) = 0.765957446808511
P(USA|biz-01.html) = 0.063829787234043
P(SPAM|biz-01.html) = 0.042553191489362
P(SPORT|biz-01.html) = 0.042553191489362
Classified biz-01.html as BIZ
```

```
*** Classifying instance: usa-01.html
P(WORLD|usa-01.html) = 0.235294117647059
P(BIZ|usa-01.html) = 0.352941176470588
P(USA|usa-01.html) = 0.176470588235294
P(SPAM|usa-01.html) = 0.117647058823529
P(SPORT|usa-01.html) = 0.117647058823529
Classified usa-01.html as BIZ
```

```
*** Classifying instance: world-01.html
P(WORLD|world-01.html) = 0.805970149253731
P(BIZ|world-01.html) = 0.089552238805970
P(USA|world-01.html) = 0.044776119402985
P(SPAM|world-01.html) = 0.029850746268657
P(SPORT|world-01.html) = 0.029850746268657
Classified world-01.html as WORLD
```

Run a binary classifier for each class, ie Naive Bayes.

# Multi-Class Example

Article classification example to the right  $\rightarrow$ 

Classes are WORLD, BIZ, USA, SPAM, SPORT

```
*** Classifying instance: biz-01.html
P(WORLD|biz-01.html) = 0.085106382978723
                                            Run a binary classifier
P(BIZ|biz-01.html) = 0.765957446808511
                                                    for each class,
P(USA|biz-01.html) = 0.063829787234043 🛰
P(SPAM|biz-01.html) = 0.042553191489362
                                                   ie Naive Bayes.
P(SPORT|biz-01.html) = 0.042553191489362
Classified biz-01.html as BIZ 👞
*** Classifying instance: sport-01.html
                                                  BIZ was the class
P(WORLD|sport-01.html) = 0.121212121212121
                                                        that has the
P(BIZ|sport-01.html) = 0.181818181818182
                                                 highest probability
P(USA|sport-01.html) = 0.090909090909091
P(SPAM|sport-01.html) = 0.060606060606061
P(SPORT sport-01.html) = 0.545454545454546
Classified sport-01.html as SPORT
*** Classifying instance: usa-01.html
P(WORLD|usa-01.html) = 0.235294117647059
P(BIZ|usa-01.html) = 0.352941176470588
P(USA | usa-01.html) = 0.176470588235294
```

P(SPAM | usa-01.html) = 0.117647058823529

\*\*\* Classifying instance: world-01.html
P(WORLD|world-01.html) = 0.805970149253731
P(BIZ|world-01.html) = 0.089552238805970
P(USA|world-01.html) = 0.044776119402985
P(SPAM|world-01.html) = 0.029850746268657
P(SPORT|world-01.html) = 0.029850746268657

Classified usa-01.html as BIZ

Classified world-01.html as WORLD

P(SPORT|usa-01.html) = 0.117647058823529

# **Logistic Regression**

#### What is it?

- Statistical model
- An approach for calculating the odds of an event happening vs other possibilities
- Discriminative classification vs Naive Bayes generative classification

#### Why are we studying it?

- To use it for classification!
- Evidence suggests it performs better than Naive Bayes for large datasets [1]
- Can model non-independent features

[1] <u>http://robotics.stanford.edu/~ang/papers/nips01-discriminativegenerative.pdf</u>

- Given a list of websites a particular user visits, can you determine whether or not they will click a particular ad (ie a shoe ad)
  - We do not care about the content of the website, can just convert URL to some hash value or index
  - For each user, can create a vector, where each entry corresponds to a website, and has value 1 if the user visited the website, or 0 otherwise
  - Our training data is now a matrix where each row corresponds to a user, and there is an extra column with a 1 if they clicked the ad, or 0 otherwise



click	url1	url2	url3	url4	url5
1	0	0	0	1	0
1	0	1	1	0	1
0	1	0	0	1	Ο
1	0	0	0	0	Ο
1	1	0	1	0	1
×					

Goal: Predict the probability of a click, based on URLs visited

This looks just like our formulation for Naive Bayes...

Naive Bayes could work for this problem as well

click	url1	url2	url3	url4	url5
1	0	0	0	1	Ο
1	0	1	1	0	1
0	1	0	0	1	0
1	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	1

Goal: Predict the probability of a click, based on URLs visited

# **Logistic Regression - The Math**

**Odds Ratio:**  $\frac{p}{1-p}$ The *logit* function is the basic building block of Logistic Regression

$$logit(p) = log(\frac{p}{1-p}) = log(p) - log(1-p)$$

It takes an **x** value in the range [0,1] (ie a probability) and transforms it to **y** values ranging across all real numbers

# Inverse of logit function

The inverse of the logit function therefore takes a real number, and maps it to a result in the range [0,1]

$$logit^{-1}(t) = \frac{e^t}{1+e^t}$$



# Inverse of logit function

The inverse of the logit function therefore takes a real number, and maps it to a result in the range [0,1]

$$logit^{-1}(t) = \frac{e^t}{1+e^t}$$

We can use this to get a probability



$$P(c_i|x_i) = [logit^{-1}(\alpha + \beta^{\tau} x_i)]^{c_i} \cdot [1 - logit^{-1}(\alpha + \beta^{\tau} x_i)]^{1-c_i}$$

$$P(c_i|x_i) = [logit^{-1}(\alpha + \beta^{\tau} x_i)]^{c_i} \cdot [1 - logit^{-1}(\alpha + \beta^{\tau} x_i)]^{1-c_i}$$

**c**<sub>*i*</sub> is the class (or label) for user *i* 1 for clicked, 0 for did not click *x<sub>i</sub>* is the vector of features for user *i* 1s for URLs visited, 0s for the rest

$$P(c_i|x_i) = [logit^{-1}(\alpha + \beta^{\tau} x_i)]_{\tau}^{c_i} \cdot [1 - logit^{-1}(\alpha + \beta^{\tau} x_i)]_{\tau}^{1-c_i}$$
  
If  $\mathbf{c}_i = \mathbf{0}$ , the first term is canceled, if 1 the second term is canceled

$$P(c_i = 1|x_i) = logit^{-1}(\alpha + \beta^{\tau} x_i)$$

To convert this to a linear function, we can take the log of the odds ratio

$$log\left(\frac{P(c_i=1|x_i)}{1-P(c_i=1|x_i)}\right) = \alpha + \beta^{\tau} x_i$$

$$log\left(\frac{P(c_i = 1|x_i)}{1 - P(c_i = 1|x_i)}\right) = \alpha + \beta^{\tau} x_i$$

This can be rewritten as...

$$logit(P(c_i = 1|x_i)) = \alpha + \beta^{\tau} x_i$$

 $logit(P(c_i = 1|x_i)) = \alpha + \beta^{\tau} x_i$ 

Now we have a model we can fit to find  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ 

# **Fitting our Model**

- As with linear regression, the math behind fitting a model is outside the scope of the class
- SciKit Learn has methods for fitting Python data using logistic regression

https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.linear\_model.LogisticRegression.html

# **Another Example**

Given one possible logistic regression equation for lung cancer odds:

logit(p) = -4.48 + 0.11 x AGE + 1.16 x SMOKING

With this model, 40 year olds that smoke have a logit(p) value of 1.08

We can perform a back transformation to get the actual probability

Or look it up in a <u>table</u> to find out for logit(p) = 1.08, p = 0.75

Reference: <a href="https://www.medcalc.org/manual/logistic-regression.php">https://www.medcalc.org/manual/logistic-regression.php</a>