

Feb 10 THEOREM: For any input ( $M, W, 2n$  preference lists)  
the GS algorithm outputs a stable matching.

$\Rightarrow$  every input has a stable matching.

LEMMA 1: For every input, the GS algo. terminates in  $\leq n^2$  iterations

LEMMA 2: The output of GS algo ( $S$ ) is a perfect matching

LEMMA 3:  $S$  has no instability.

Lemmas 1+2+3  $\Rightarrow$  Theorem

Pf idea Lemma 1: In each iteration, a new proposal is made  
(from  $w$  to  $m$ )

$\Rightarrow$  #iterations = # proposals  $\leq$  # pairs  $(w, m) = |W \times M|$   
 $= |W| \cdot |M| = n \cdot n = n^2$

(Pf details are on pg 7 in book)

Obs 0:  $S$  is a matching.

Obs 1: Once a man gets engaged, he keeps getting engaged to better women

Obs 2: If  $w$  proposes to  $m$  after  $m'$   $\Rightarrow m' > m$  in  $L_w$

LEMMA 4: If at the end an iteration,  $w$  is free  $\Rightarrow w$  has NOT proposed to all men.

PF of Lemma 2: (Pf idea) Proof by contradiction (use Obs 0  
Lemmas 1+4  
algo. def)

(Pf details): Assume  $S$  is not a perfect matching.

$\xrightarrow{\text{Obs 0}}$   $\exists$  a free woman  $w \Rightarrow \exists$  a man  $m$  that  
 $\underset{\text{(Lemma 4)}}{\Rightarrow} w$  has not proposed to. ( $\star$ )

By Lemma 1, algo has terminated  $\xrightarrow{\text{(Algo defn)}}$  All free women have proposed to all men  $\Rightarrow$  contradicts ( $\star$ )