Decision Trees An Early Classifier

Jason Corso

SUNY at Buffalo



(日)

DQC

Introduction to Non-Metric Methods

- We cover such problems involving nominal data in this chapter—that is, data that are discrete and without any natural notion of similarity or even ordering.
 - For example (DHS), some teeth are small and fine (as in baleen whales) for straining tiny prey from the sea; others (as in sharks) come in multiple rows; other sea creatures have tusks (as in walruses), yet others lack teeth altogether (as in squid). There is no clear notion of similarity for this information about teeth.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 → りへで

Introduction to Non-Metric Methods

- SFK
- We cover such problems involving nominal data in this chapter—that is, data that are discrete and without any natural notion of similarity or even ordering.
 - For example (DHS), some teeth are small and fine (as in baleen whales) for straining tiny prey from the sea; others (as in sharks) come in multiple rows; other sea creatures have tusks (as in walruses), yet others lack teeth altogether (as in squid). There is no clear notion of similarity for this information about teeth.
- Most of the other methods we study will involve real-valued feature vectors with clear metrics.
- We may also consider problems involving data tuples and data strings. And for recognition of these, decision trees and string grammars, respectively.

DQA

<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回> < 三> 三 三

20 Questions

- I am thinking of a person. Ask me up to 20 yes/no questions to determine who this person is that I am thinking about.
 - Consider your questions wisely...

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 りへ ??

20 Questions

- I am thinking of a person. Ask me up to 20 yes/no questions to determine who this person is that I am thinking about.
 - Consider your questions wisely...
- How did you ask the questions?
- What underlying measure led you the questions, if any?

 $\checkmark \land \land \land \land$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ ─ 豆.

20 Questions

- I am thinking of a person. Ask me up to 20 yes/no questions to determine who this person is that I am thinking about.
 - Consider your questions wisely...
- How did you ask the questions?
- What underlying measure led you the questions, if any?
- Most importantly, iterative yes/no questions of this sort require no metric and are well suited for nominal data.

These sequence of questions are a decision tree...



DQC

• The **root node** of the tree, displayed at the top, is connected to successive **branches** to the other nodes.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三 のへぐ

- The **root node** of the tree, displayed at the top, is connected to successive **branches** to the other nodes.
- The connections continue until the leaf nodes are reached, implying a decision.

- The **root node** of the tree, displayed at the top, is connected to successive **branches** to the other nodes.
- The connections continue until the leaf nodes are reached, implying a decision.
- The classification of a particular pattern begins at the root node, which queries a particular property (selected during tree learning).

~ ~) Q (~

- The **root node** of the tree, displayed at the top, is connected to successive **branches** to the other nodes.
- The connections continue until the leaf nodes are reached, implying a decision.
- The classification of a particular pattern begins at the root node, which queries a particular property (selected during tree learning).
- The links off of the root node correspond to different possible values of the property.

 \checkmark \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc

◆□▶ ◆掃▶ ◆国▶ ◆国▶ - 国:

- The **root node** of the tree, displayed at the top, is connected to successive **branches** to the other nodes.
- The connections continue until the leaf nodes are reached, implying a decision.
- The classification of a particular pattern begins at the root node, which queries a particular property (selected during tree learning).
- The links off of the root node correspond to different possible values of the property.
- We follow the link corresponding to the appropriate value of the pattern and continue to a new node, at which we check the next property. And so on.

 \checkmark \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc

- The **root node** of the tree, displayed at the top, is connected to successive **branches** to the other nodes.
- The connections continue until the leaf nodes are reached, implying a decision.
- The classification of a particular pattern begins at the root node, which queries a particular property (selected during tree learning).
- The links off of the root node correspond to different possible values of the property.
- We follow the link corresponding to the appropriate value of the pattern and continue to a new node, at which we check the next property. And so on.
- Decision trees have a particularly high degree of interpretability.

 $\checkmark Q (\sim$

When to Consider Decision Trees

- Instances are whole, or partly described by attribute-value pairs.
- Target function s discrete valued.

Disjunctive hypothesis may be required.

- Possibly noisy training data.
- Examples
 - Equipment or medical diagnosis.
 - Credit risk analysis.
 - Modeling calendar scheduling preferences.



< 🗆 🕨

DQA

CART for Decision Tree Learning

• Assume we have a set of \mathcal{D} labeled training data and we have decided on a set of properties that can be used to discriminate patterns.

DQC

< = > < = >

< 🗆 🕨

- Assume we have a set of \mathcal{D} labeled training data and we have decided on a set of properties that can be used to discriminate patterns.
- Now, we want to learn how to organize these properties into a decision tree to maximize accuracy.

 $\checkmark \land \land \land \land$

- Assume we have a set of \mathcal{D} labeled training data and we have decided on a set of properties that can be used to discriminate patterns.
- Now, we want to learn how to organize these properties into a decision tree to maximize accuracy.
- Any decision tree will progressively split the data into subsets.

 $\checkmark \land \land \land$

- Assume we have a set of D labeled training data and we have decided on a set of properties that can be used to discriminate patterns.
- Now, we want to learn how to organize these properties into a decision tree to maximize accuracy.
- Any decision tree will progressively split the data into subsets.
- If at any point all of the elements of a particular subset are of the same category, then we say this node is pure and we can stop splitting.

 $\checkmark Q (\sim$

- Assume we have a set of D labeled training data and we have decided on a set of properties that can be used to discriminate patterns.
- Now, we want to learn how to organize these properties into a decision tree to maximize accuracy.
- Any decision tree will progressively split the data into subsets.
- If at any point all of the elements of a particular subset are of the same category, then we say this node is pure and we can stop splitting.
- Unfortunately, this rarely happens and we have to decide between whether to stop splitting and accept an imperfect decision or instead to select another property and grow the tree further.

 $\checkmark Q (~$

 The basic CART strategy to recursively defining the tree is the following: Given the data represented at a node, either declare that node to be a leaf or find another property to use to split the data into subsets.

 $\checkmark \land \land \land$

- The basic CART strategy to recursively defining the tree is the following: Given the data represented at a node, either declare that node to be a leaf or find another property to use to split the data into subsets.
- There are 6 general kinds of questions that arise:

- The basic CART strategy to recursively defining the tree is the following: Given the data represented at a node, either declare that node to be a leaf or find another property to use to split the data into subsets.
- There are 6 general kinds of questions that arise:
 - 1 How many branches will be selected from a node?

- The basic CART strategy to recursively defining the tree is the following: Given the data represented at a node, either declare that node to be a leaf or find another property to use to split the data into subsets.
- There are 6 general kinds of questions that arise:
 - 1 How many branches will be selected from a node?
 - 2 Which property should be tested at a node?

- The basic CART strategy to recursively defining the tree is the following: Given the data represented at a node, either declare that node to be a leaf or find another property to use to split the data into subsets.
- There are 6 general kinds of questions that arise:
 - 1 How many branches will be selected from a node?
 - 2 Which property should be tested at a node?
 - 3 When should a node be declared a leaf?

 The basic CART strategy to recursively defining the tree is the following: Given the data represented at a node, either declare that node to be a leaf or find another property to use to split the data into subsets.

There are 6 general kinds of questions that arise:

- 1 How many branches will be selected from a node?
- 2 Which property should be tested at a node?
- 3 When should a node be declared a leaf?
- 4 How can we prune a tree once it has become too large?

- The basic CART strategy to recursively defining the tree is the following: Given the data represented at a node, either declare that node to be a leaf or find another property to use to split the data into subsets.
- There are 6 general kinds of questions that arise:
 - 1 How many branches will be selected from a node?
 - 2 Which property should be tested at a node?
 - 3 When should a node be declared a leaf?
 - 4 How can we prune a tree once it has become too large?
 - 5 If a leaf node is impure, how should the category be assigned?



- The basic CART strategy to recursively defining the tree is the following: Given the data represented at a node, either declare that node to be a leaf or find another property to use to split the data into subsets.
- There are 6 general kinds of questions that arise:
 - 1 How many branches will be selected from a node?
 - 2 Which property should be tested at a node?
 - 3 When should a node be declared a leaf?
 - 4 How can we prune a tree once it has become too large?
 - 5 If a leaf node is impure, how should the category be assigned?
 - 6 How should missing data be handled?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三 少々⊙

• The number of splits at a node, or its **branching factor** *B*, is generally set by the designer (as a function of the way the test is selected) and can vary throughout the tree.

 $\checkmark \land \land \land$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- The number of splits at a node, or its branching factor B, is generally set by the designer (as a function of the way the test is selected) and can vary throughout the tree.
- Note that any split with a factor greater than 2 can easily be converted into a sequence of binary splits.



SQA

A = > < = >

< < >>

- The number of splits at a node, or its **branching factor** *B*, is generally set by the designer (as a function of the way the test is selected) and can vary throughout the tree.
- Note that any split with a factor greater than 2 can easily be converted into a sequence of binary splits.
- So, DHS focuses on only binary tree learning.

 $\checkmark \land \land \land \land$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ - 豆

- The number of splits at a node, or its **branching factor** *B*, is generally set by the designer (as a function of the way the test is selected) and can vary throughout the tree.
- Note that any split with a factor greater than 2 can easily be converted into a sequence of binary splits.
- So, DHS focuses on only binary tree learning.
- But, we note that in certain circumstances for learning and inference, the selection of a test at a node or its inference may be computationally expensive and a 3- or 4-way split may be more desirable for computational reasons.

 $\checkmark \land \land \land$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

 The fundamental principle underlying tree creation is that of simplicity: we prefer decisions that lead to a simple, compact tree with few nodes.

- The fundamental principle underlying tree creation is that of simplicity: we prefer decisions that lead to a simple, compact tree with few nodes.
- We seek a property query T at each node N that makes the data reaching the immediate descendant nodes as "pure" as possible.



SQA

< E > < E >

- The fundamental principle underlying tree creation is that of simplicity: we prefer decisions that lead to a simple, compact tree with few nodes.
- We seek a property query T at each node N that makes the data reaching the immediate descendant nodes as "pure" as possible.
- Let i(N) denote the impurity of a node N.

SQ (V

- The fundamental principle underlying tree creation is that of simplicity: we prefer decisions that lead to a simple, compact tree with few nodes.
- We seek a property query T at each node N that makes the data reaching the immediate descendant nodes as "pure" as possible.
- Let i(N) denote the impurity of a node N.
- In all cases, we want i(N) to be 0 if all of the patterns that reach the node bear the same category, and to be large if the categories are equally represented.

- The fundamental principle underlying tree creation is that of simplicity: we prefer decisions that lead to a simple, compact tree with few nodes.
- \bullet We seek a property query T at each node N that makes the data reaching the immediate descendant nodes as "pure" as possible.
- Let i(N) denote the impurity of a node N.
- In all cases, we want i(N) to be 0 if all of the patterns that reach the node bear the same category, and to be large if the categories are equally represented.

2 **Futropy impurity** is the most popular measure:

2

$$(N) = -\sum_{j} P(\omega_j) \log P(\omega_j)$$

It will be minimized for a node that has elements of only one class (pure).

DQA

(1)

64

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <
For the two-category case, a useful definition of impurity is that variance impurity:

$$i(N) = P(\omega_1)P(\omega_2) \tag{2}$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ■ のへで

 For the two-category case, a useful definition of impurity is that variance impurity:

$$i(N) = P(\omega_1)P(\omega_2) \tag{2}$$

• Its generalization to the multi-class is the **Gini impurity**:

$$i(N) = \sum_{i \neq j} P(\omega_i) P(\omega_j) = 1 - \sum_j P^2(\omega_j)$$
(3)

which is the expected error rate at node N if the category is selected randomly from the class distribution present at the node.

 $\checkmark Q (~$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■

 For the two-category case, a useful definition of impurity is that variance impurity:

$$i(N) = P(\omega_1)P(\omega_2) \tag{2}$$

• Its generalization to the multi-class is the **Gini impurity**:

$$i(N) = \sum_{i \neq j} P(\omega_i) P(\omega_j) = 1 - \sum_j P^2(\omega_j)$$
(3)

which is the expected error rate at node N if the category is selected randomly from the class distribution present at the node.

• The misclassification impurity measures the minimum probability that a training pattern would be misclassified at N:

$$i(N) = 1 - \max_{j} P(\omega_j) \tag{4}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ ● 豆!

 $\checkmark \land \land \land \land$





For the two-category case, the impurity functions peak at equal class frequencies. ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ ▲□▶ ④�? J. Corso (SUNY at Buffalo) Trees

12 / 33

• Key Question: Given a partial tree down to node N, what feature s should we choose for the property test T?

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ■ のへで

- Key Question: Given a partial tree down to node N, what feature s should we choose for the property test T?
- The obvious heuristic is to choose the feature that yields as big a decrease in the impurity as possible.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ ≧▶ ◆ ≧▶ ≧ のへぐ

- Key Question: Given a partial tree down to node N, what feature s should we choose for the property test T?
- The obvious heuristic is to choose the feature that yields as big a decrease in the impurity as possible
- The impurity gradient is

 $\Delta i(N) = i(N) - P_L(N_L) - (1 - P_L)i(N_R) , \qquad (5)$

where N_L and N_R are the left and right descendants, respectively, P_L is the fraction of data that will go to the left sub-tree when property T is used.

SQA

- Key Question: Given a partial tree down to node N, what feature s should we choose for the property test T?
- The obvious heuristic is to choose the feature that yields as big a decrease in the impurity as possible.
- The impurity gradient is

$$\Delta i(N) = i(N) - P_L i(N_L) - (1 - P_L)i(N_R) , \qquad (5)$$

where N_L and N_R are the left and right descendants, respectively, P_L is the fraction of data that will go to the left sub-tree when property T is used.

• The strategy is then to choose the feature that maximizes $\Delta i(N)$.

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 り < ○

- Key Question: Given a partial tree down to node N, what feature s should we choose for the property test T?
- The obvious heuristic is to choose the feature that yields as big a decrease in the impurity as possible.

The impurity gradient is

$$\Delta i(N) = i(N) - P_L i(N_L) - (1 - P_L i(N_R)) , \qquad (5)$$

where N_L and N_R are the left and right descendants, respectively, P_L is the fraction of data that will go to the left subfire when property T is used.

- The strategy is then to choose the feature that maximizes $\Delta i(N)$.
- If the entropy impurity is used, this corresponds to choosing the feature that yields the highest information gain.

SQR

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

• For the binary-case, it yields one-dimensional optimization problem (which may have non-unique optima).

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 • つへ ○

- For the binary-case, it yields one-dimensional optimization problem (which may have non-unique optima).
- In the higher branching factor case, it would yield a higher-dimensional optimization problem.
 - In multi-class binary tree creation, we would want to use the **twoing criterion**. The goal is to find the split that best separates groups of the c categories. A candidate "supercategory" C_1 consists of all patterns in some subset of the categories and C_2 has the remainder. When searching for the feature s, we also need to search over possible category groupings.

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ = のへ ○

- For the binary-case, it yields one-dimensional optimization problem (which may have non-unique optima).
- In the higher branching factor case, it would yield a higher-dimensional optimization problem.
 - In multi-class binary tree creation, we would want to use the **twoing criterion**. The goal is to find the split that best separates groups of the c categories. A candidate "supercategory" C_1 consists of all patterns in some subset of the categories and C_2 has the remainder. When searching for the feature s, we also need to search over possible category groupings.
- This is a local, greedy optimization strategy.

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ = のへ ○

- For the binary-case, it yields one-dimensional optimization problem (which may have non-unique optima).
- In the higher branching factor case, it would yield a higher-dimensional optimization problem.
 - In multi-class binary tree creation, we would want to use the twoing criterion. The goal is to find the split that best separates groups of the c categories. A candidate "supercategory" C₁ consists of all patterns in some subset of the categories and C₂ has the remainder. When searching for the feature s, we also need to search over possible category groupings.
- This is a local, greedy optimization strategy.
- Hence, there is no guarantee that we have either the global optimum (in classification accuracy) or the smallest tree.

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ = のへ ○