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Abstract

Human-Object Interaction (HOI) detection is essential for understanding and modeling real-world events. Existing works on HOI detection mainly focus on static images and a closed setting, where all HOI classes are provided in the training set. In comparison, detecting HOIs in videos in open set scenarios is more challenging. First, under open set circumstances, HOI detectors are expected to hold strong generalizability to recognize unseen HOIs not included in the training data. Second, accurately capturing temporal contextual information from videos is difficult, but it is crucial for detecting temporal-related actions such as open, close, pull, push. To this end, we propose \textit{ACoLP}, a model of \textit{A}ction-centric \textit{C}hain-of-\textit{L}ook \textit{P}rompting for open set video HOI detection. ACoLP regards \textit{actions} as the carrier of semantics in videos, which captures the essential semantic information across frames. To make the model generalizable on unseen classes, inspired by the chain-of-thought prompting in natural language processing, we introduce the \textit{chain-of-look} prompting scheme that decomposes prompt generation from large-scale vision-language model into a series of intermediate visual reasoning steps. Consequently, our model captures complex visual reasoning processes underlying the HOI events in videos, providing essential guidance for detecting unseen classes. Extensive experiments on two video HOI datasets, VidHOI and CAD120, demonstrate that ACoLP achieves competitive performance compared with the state-of-the-art methods in the conventional closed setting, and outperforms existing methods by a large margin in the open set setting. Our code is available at https://github.com/southnx/ACoLP.

1. Introduction

Human-object interaction (HOI) detection generates a set of meaningful \texttt{<person, interaction, object>} triplets for a given scene. It has attracted much attention in recent years, due to its importance for scene understanding, and applications in healthcare, autonomous driving, etc. \cite{wang2020h3net, xia2020nezha, xia2021cuda, zhou2020action, zhou2020in Action, zhou2021camera, xia2021cuda}. Most current works investigate HOI detection in static images in a closed setting\cite{zhuang2018learning, xia2020nezha, deng2020mocapnet, zhou2020action, zhou2020in Action}, where all HOI classes are pre-defined with examples provided in the training set. However, real-world scenarios are often open-set, where HOIs with novel actions are not present in the training set. Detecting open set HOIs in videos is challenging due to the following reasons. First, static images barely contain temporal information of human and objects, thus directly transferring HOI detection methods for static images to videos fails to model the temporal dynamics. Second, the possi-
ble HOIs occurred in videos can hardly be exhausted since the compositional space resulting from the \textless person, interaction, object\textgreater triplet is tremendous. Moreover, semantic ambiguity of HOIs widely exists in videos, especially for temporal-related interactions. For example, \textless person, hold, cup\textgreater and \textless person, lift, cup\textgreater contain similar and overlapping semantic information, but it is challenging to distinguish these interdependent HOIs. To this end, we need to build a robust HOI detector for videos with strong generalizability that is capable of handling unseen and ambiguous HOI classes in the open set setting.

Several existing works have investigated HOI detection in videos. A number of methods are based on spatio-temporal graph, including structured RNN [13], LIGHTEN [41], STIGPN [46] and weakly-supervised video HOI detection [21]. Another line of works model the inherent properties of video HOI to help HOI detection. For instance, ASSIGN [28] models asynchronous and sparse properties of HOIs in videos to detect the structure of interaction events in a video scene. 2G-GCN [31] extends ASSIGN by considering geometric features while modeling human and object dependencies. Recently, Transformer-based methods [43] have been proposed for video HOI detection by structurizing a video into a few tubelet tokens. Although these works have made significant progresses in detecting HOIs in videos, they largely focus on the nouns, i.e., human and objects, in the video, and infer interactions based on human and objects features. This strategy may result in the loss of valuable information on the verbs, i.e., actions, inherent in videos. In addition, previous efforts assume that all testing HOI classes are known in training, leading to unsatisfactory results and poor generalizability when applied to open set circumstances.

To address the challenges mentioned above, we propose ACoLP in this work, which is an open set HOI detection model for videos. The key idea of ACoLP model is to abstract each frame into action prompts and model the prompt generating processes as a series of intermediate visual reasoning steps. The motivation underlying this idea is that the verbs (actions) convey central information of the events happening in a video. In light of this, videos can be regarded as a sequence of actions. Modeling the temporal dynamics of those action sequences captures the core semantic information of events in videos. Meanwhile, to empower the model with strong generalization ability for video HOI detection, ACoLP adopts the chain-of-thought prompting [48] strategy in natural language processing (NLP) to “prompt” the model with input-output visual reasoning steps, which we call the chain-of-look. Those visual reasoning steps, equipped with the few/zero-shot learning ability from large-scale visual-language (VL) models, confer the capability of reasoning visual events on unseen classes. As shown in Figure 1, we introduce visual-semantic reasoning network (VSR) and spatio-temporal reasoning network (STR) for HOI prompting and action prompting, respectively. Each network contains two steps of chains of reasoning for prompt generation, which are akin to the chain-of-thought prompting in NLP. Specifically, VSR includes CaptionHOI Prompting (CHP) and VisualHOI Prompting (VHP). CHP is designed to incorporate global semantic information into individual HOI prompts, serving as the first reasoning chain. VHP follows CHP as the other reasoning chain with visual information for HOI prompting. Similarly, STR also contains two reasoning chains: Action Prompting (AP) and Dynamic GNN (D-GNN). AP is introduced to abstract visual information of each frame into a fixed number of action prompt representations. D-GNN is then employed to model the temporal dynamics across frames, which also benefits open set HOI detection by propagating semantic information to neighboring frames, thus enabling action prompt representations to be more semantic-aware and discriminative in open set settings. Finally, HOI classification and bounding box regression are conducted under the guidance of action prompt representations, which is less noisy than only utilizing HOI prompts. With this open set HOI detection model, we can better infer unseen HOIs in videos.

We validate the effectiveness of ACoLP model via comprehensive experiments on two video HOI detection benchmark datasets: VidHOI [4] and CAD-120 [18]. Comparing with current state-of-the-art (SOTA) methods for both video HOI detection and image HOI detection, our model outperforms these SOTA methods in the open set setting and achieves comparable results in the closed setting.

Our main contributions on the open set HOI detection in videos are summarized as follows:

- We present an action-centric video HOI detection model, which focuses on modeling the HOIs via the verbs (actions) instead of nouns (humans and objects). It helps more reliably capture the most central semantic information in understanding video HOIs.

- We introduce the chain-of-look prompting scheme to capture the underlying visual reasoning processes in videos, and generate visual-semantic aware and spatio-temporal aware prompts from VL models. Visual reasoning processes are further expanded to unseen classes for better generalization ability.

- Our model achieves substantial improvements in terms of open set video HOI detection and is on par with or better than SOTA methods in the closed setting.

2. Related Work

Human-Object Interactions in Videos. Early works on video HOI detection took spatio-temporal context of videos into consideration for HOI modeling [18, 9] by utilizing
Markov Random Field (MRF). Follow-up studies extended MRF to Conditional Random Field (CRF) by incorporating features from frame-level nodes [19, 40]. Further attempts incorporate spatio-temporal graphs into Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) to model high-level structures in videos [13]. Graph Parsing Neural Network (GPNN) [30] was designed to adaptively capture the spatial graph structure.

Recent progress on video HOI detection further advanced the spatial temporal graph at multiple granularities [46, 41] and with explicit temporal information [4]. ASSIGN [28] proposed to model the asynchronous and sparse properties of HOIs in videos, which was further extended into 2G-GCN [31] by combing geometric feature and visual feature. TUTOR [43] is introduced recently to abstract a video into several tubelet tokens and further progressively emerge and running.” in sentiment analysis, where the mask placeholder will be replaced with either “love” or “hate”. Different from hard prompt learning, soft prompt learning is designed to tune masked tokens into learnable vectors. We employ the idea of soft prompting, proposing chain-of-look prompting modules for actions and HOIs in video HOI detection.

3. Open Set HOI Detection in Videos

3.1. Problem Formulation

Given a video $V \in \mathcal{V}$ containing $T$ frames $\{I_1, \cdots, I_T\}$, HOI detection model aims to recognize the interactions among the $N_e$ entities ($N_h$ humans and $(N_e - N_h)$ objects, $N_h < N_e$) in the video. Namely, by taking inputs the frames $\{I_t\}_{t=1, \cdots, T}$ and labels $\{g_t\}_{t=1, \cdots, T}$ of entities in the frames, the model outputs the triplet $<person, interaction, object>$ in each frame. The output of an HOI is represented by four components: $\{\hat{h}, \hat{r}, \hat{e}, \hat{c}\}$, where $\hat{h}$, $\hat{r}$, $\hat{e}$ and $\hat{c}$ indicate person bounding box, object bounding box, object class and interaction class, respectively. For person $m \in \{1, \cdots, N_h\}$ and object $l \in \{1, \cdots, N_e - N_h\}$, the HOI detection model detects pairwise human-object interactions $\{r_{t,c}\}_{t=1}^{T}$, $c \in \{0, 1\}^{C}$, indicating the existence or not of the interaction class $c$, where $C$ is the number of all possible HOI classes $\{h_t\}_{t=1}^{T}$. In open set settings, only a portion of HOIs containing $M_o$ actions are utilized for training, while the remaining HOIs containing $M - M_o$ actions are not seen during training (where $M$ indicates the number of all possible actions and $M > M_o$). Note that the open set settings in our work requires all possible actions and HOIs are predefined. It is different from the open world scenarios where we do not know the maximum possible number of classes we may encounter during inference.

3.2. Visual-Semantic Reasoning (VSR) Network

In this section, we delve into VSR network, which is designed as a two-step reasoning process for HOI prompt representation generation from pretrained large-scale VL model (CLIP [33]) to video HOI detection task. Concretely, the first chain-of-look reasoning process CHP employs global semantic information of each frame for HOI prompt representation generation, while the follow-up reasoning process VHP employs visual information from frames. These two complementary reasoning steps enable the final HOI prompt representations to be visual-semantic aware of the events happening in videos.

For a given dataset, there are $N$ possible HOIs in the form of $<person, interaction, object>$. The template $t_r$="A person is [interaction]ing the [object]." is pre-defined, where “[interaction]” and “[object]” are replaced with their corresponding class names in the triplets. Then each template is applied with a pretrained

---

**Example:**

- **Input:** Video frames $\{I_1, \cdots, I_T\}$, labels $\{g_t\}_{t=1, \cdots, T}$
- **Output:** HOI triplets $<person, interaction, object>$

---

**Diagram:**

- **Network Architecture:**
  - **Input:** Video frames $\{I_1, \cdots, I_T\}$
  - **Process:**
    1. **CLIP-Frontend:** Pretrained CLIP model
    2. **Reasoning:**
       - **First Step (CHP):** Global semantic reasoning
       - **Second Step (VHP):** Visual reasoning
    3. **Output:** HOI triplets $<person, interaction, object>$
The above CHP module generates VideoHOI Prompting. CHP module takes original HOI prompt representations of the entire image into HOI features. The generated HOI prompt representation in VSR network is CHP. CHP module is applied with CLIP [33] text encoder to produce caption features and initial action prompt representations. Details on the model are in Section 3.2 and Section 3.3.

CaptionHOI Prompting. The first Chain-of-Look prompting in VSR network is CHP. CHP module takes original HOI prompt representations $c_i = \text{CLIP}(t_i) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, where $T_i$ is the $i$-th HOI triplet and $d$ denotes the feature dimension. For each frame in the video clip, in order to incorporate global semantic information, the caption of that frame is produced from image caption model BLIP [20]. The generated image caption is further applied with CLIP [33] text encoder to produce caption feature $f_c = \text{CLIP}(\text{BLIP}(I_i)) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, which will be utilized in the following sections.

As shown in Fig. 2, for a given frame $I_t$ at time $t$, pre-trained object detection model FasterRCNN [34] is utilized as the backbone to detect human and object instances in each frame. We select top $Q$ instances based on the prediction scores generated from FasterRCNN. Each human instance is generated with its normalized bounding box $\tilde{b}_h^{(i)}(o) \in [0, 1]^4, i \in \{1, \ldots, Q_h\}$, where $Q_h$ is the number of human instances. Each object instance is represented with its normalized bounding box $\tilde{b}_o^{(i)}(o) \in [0, 1]^4, i \in \{1, \ldots, Q_o\}$ and object category $c_i$, where $Q_o$ is the number of object instances. If the number of detected instances are less than $Q$, we take all the predicted instances. The instance feature map $f_h^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $f_o^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (h represents human, o represents object) are then generated with ROIAlign [11]. The maximum number of potential combinations of human-object and human-human is $N_c = Q_h Q_o + \binom{Q_h}{2}$. The feature of each combination $f_{\text{comb}}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} (n \in \{1, \ldots, N_c\})$ is generated by concatenating $f_h^{(i)}$ and $f_o^{(i)}$. The visual feature $f_v$ of frame $I_t$ is taken by averaging all the combination features.

VideoHOI Prompting. The above CHP module generates semantic-aware HOI prompt representations by employing global semantic information from image caption. VHP, which is the second Chain-of-Look prompting in VSR network, on the other hand, extends the chain-of-look reasoning process by incorporating visual information to further enhance HOI prompt representations for video HOI detection task. The structure of VHP is the same as CHP, with only the inputs changed to be the updated HOI features $c_i'$ and visual feature $f_v \in \mathbb{R}^d$. As shown in Fig. 2, the model of VHP is applied with CLIP [33] text encoder to generate caption features $f_c^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$.
and outputs semantic-aware action prompt representation $a'_k$. The AP module is divided into two stages: (I) In the first stage, to align the action prompt representations and visual combinations to the same embedding space, each combination $c_b_l$ is applied with an AP module against all the $K$ action prompt representations $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^K$. The AP module first takes the positional embedding of $c_b_l$ as input, where the position of $c_b_l$ is determined by the average center coordinates of human and object (or human and human). Then a Multi-Head Attention (MHA) is applied, where action prompt representation $a_k$ serves as query, while visual combination $c_b_l$ serves as key and value. MHA is followed by a Layer Norm (LN) module, Feed-Forward Network (FFN) and another LN. In this way, the generated learned visual prompt $c_b'_l = \text{AP}(a_k, c_b_l)$ is aligned to the same embedding space with respect to each action prompt representation $a_k$. (II) The second stage of AP module employs a lightweight attention module (Atten) to compute the relative importance of all the learned visual prompt representations $\{c_b_l\}_{l=1}^L$ to a specific action prompt representation $a_k$. This attention module takes the learned visual prompt representation $c_b'_l$ as query, while $a_k$ as key and value, outputting the weighted visual prompt representation $\hat{c}_l = \text{Atten}(c_b'_l, a_k)$. By averaging all the $L$ weighted visual prompt representations $\{\hat{c}_l\}_{l=1}^L$, we generate the semantic-aware action prompt representation $a'_k = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^L \hat{c}_l$, which is essentially the weighted sum of all information from visual combinations. Thus the combined formulation of $a'_k$ can be expressed as:

$$a'_k = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^L \text{Atten}(\text{AP}(a_k, c_b_l), a_k).$$

Our next goal is to enhance spatial-aware action prompt representations into spatio-temporal aware action prompt representations by virtue of the second Chain-of-Look prompting module. Now that we have semantic-aware action prompt representations $\{a'_k\}_{k=1}^K$ for each frame, we construct a fully-connected graph $G$ whose nodes are $\{a'_k\}_{k=1}^K$. Motivated by the ROLAND model [52] of dynamic GNN, we capture the temporal dynamics of semantic-aware action prompt representations by recurrently updating node features over time. To this end, we design the second Chain-of-Look prompting module with dynamic GNN as shown in Fig. 4. At time $t$, dynamic GNN takes into account $a'_k(t)$, followed by GNN Layer 1 to generate updated level 1 node state $H^{(1)}_t$:

$$H^{(1)}_t = \text{Update}^{(1)}(H^{(1)}_{t-1}, \tilde{H}^{(1)}_t),$$  

$$\tilde{H}^{(1)}_t = \text{GNN}^{(1)}(H^{(1)}_{t-1}),$$

where $l = \{1, 2\}$ indicates the number of GNN layer. Then node embedding update is employed by taking $\tilde{H}^{(1)}_t$ and historical node state $H^{(1)}_{t-1}$.

### 3.3. Spatio-temporal Reasoning (STR) Network

The essential semantic information in a video is determined by the actions happened in that video, while human and object serve as participants to accomplish actions. Therefore, modeling temporal dynamics of actions in videos provides fundamental semantic information. To this end, we structure the visual feature combinations generated from Sec. 3.2 into a fixed number of action features, where each action feature represents a specific action.

For all the $K$ action labels $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^K$ in the dataset, the template $t(u_k)$=“A person is [action]ing ...” is pre-defined for each action, where [action] represents each action name. Then we generate action prompt representations $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^K$ of each action with CLIP [33] text encoder: $a_k = \text{CLIP}(t(u_k))$. To endow the action prompt representations with spatial-aware reasoning capabilities, we design a novel Action Prompting (AP) module as the first Chain-of-Look prompting shown in Fig. 3. AP takes visual combinations $c_b_l$ and action prompt representation $a_k$ as inputs and outputs semantic-aware action prompt representation.

![Figure 3. Action Prompting. The structure of action prompting module.](image-url)
GRU (Gated Recurrent Unit) cell [5] for node embedding updating:

$$H^{(l)}_t = GRU(H^{(l)}_{t-1}, H^{(l)}_t).$$

With generated \(H^{(l)}_t\), the other stacked GNN Layer and Embedding Update layer is applied to generate final node embedding \(H^L_t\), where \(L = 2\) in our architecture. With the second Chain-of-Look prompting module of dynamic GNN, we produce spatio-temporal aware (STA) action prompt representations \(\{\tilde{a}_t\}_{t=1}^K\).

3.4. HOI Prediction & Bounding Box Regression

HOI prediction is performed by employing the above computed STR action prompt representations \(\{\tilde{a}_t\}_{t=1}^K\) and VSA HOI prompt representations \(\{\tilde{c}_n\}_{n=1}^N\). For each \(\tilde{a}_t \in \mathbb{R}^d\) of frame \(I_t\), we concatenate it with \(\tilde{c}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d\) that contains the same action class with it. Then we apply a multi-layer perceptron (MLP) followed by a sigmoid function to generate predicted logits \(p_n^{\text{hoi}} \in [0, 1]\) of the HOI relating to \(\tilde{c}_n\): \(p_n^{\text{hoi}} = \text{Sigmoid}(\text{MLP}(\tilde{a}_t, \tilde{c}_n)))\), where \([,]\) indicates concatenating operation. Thus the HOI prediction loss \(L_{\text{hoi}}\) for each frame can be generated by computing the binary cross-entropy (BCE) between total HOI prediction logits \(\{p_n^{\text{hoi}}\}_{n=1}^N\) and HOI ground truth \(\{y_n^{\text{hoi}}\}_{n=1}^N\), where \(y_n = \{0, 1\}\):

$$L_{\text{hoi}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \text{BCE}(p_n^{\text{hoi}}, y_n^{\text{hoi}}).$$

To compute the loss of bounding box regression for frame \(I_t\), the STR action prompt representations \(\{\tilde{a}_g\}_{g=1}^G\) whose action classes occur in the ground-truth of that frame are first selected, where \(G\) indicates the number of actions in the ground truth of that frame. For each \(\tilde{a}_g \in \mathbb{R}^d\), an MLP followed by a sigmoid function is applied on \(\tilde{a}_g\) and every single visual combination \(c_b \in \mathbb{R}^d\) to compute the possibility \(p_g^{\text{bbox}} \in [0, 1]\) of the action accompanied by that visual combination: \(p_g^{\text{bbox}} = \text{Sigmoid}(\text{MLP}(\{\tilde{a}_g, c_b\}))\). At the same time, we learn a threshold \(th_k \in [0, 1]\) for each action, selecting those visual combinations \(c_b\) whose \(p_g^{\text{bbox}}\) are no less than \(th_k\) as the predicted human-object or human-human pairs with respect to the action. In open set settings, for actions \(\{\tilde{a}_j\}_{j=1}^{K_{\text{test}}}\) only in testing set (\(K_{\text{test}}\) is the number of actions only in testing set), these actions will not be selected and their thresholds will not be optimized during training. To solve this problem, the thresholds of \(\{\tilde{a}_j\}_{j=1}^{K_{\text{test}}}\) are computed from weighted sum of the thresholds of all the actions in training set. The weights are the similarities between the STR of every two actions. For the \(G\) actions in ground truth, we compute BCE loss of predicted bounding boxes for each action:

$$L_{\text{bbox}, \text{cls}} = \frac{1}{G} \sum_{g=1}^G \text{BCE}(\hat{p}_g^{\text{bbox}}, y_g^{\text{bbox}}),$$

where \(\hat{p}_g^{\text{bbox}} \in [0, 1]^{N_{\text{bbox}}}\) and \(y_g^{\text{bbox}} \in [0, 1]^{N_{\text{bbox}}}\) are binary representations of predicted and ground-truth bounding box pairs, \(N_{\text{bbox}} = \max\{N_{\text{pred}}, N_{\text{gt}}\}\), \(N_{\text{pred}}\) indicates the number of predicted combinations of taht action, \(N_{\text{gt}}\) indicates the number of ground-truth combinations of that action. If \(N_{\text{pred}} \neq N_{\text{gt}}\), we choose the larger value as the binary vector dimension and pad the shorter binary vector with zeros.

In terms of bounding box locations, each visual combination \(c_b^{\text{gt}} (s \in [1, N_{\text{gt}}])\) in ground-truth needs to find a corresponding visual combination \(c_b^{\text{pred}} (v \in [1, N_{\text{bbox}}])\) in prediction to compute loss. Therefore, we calculate the intersection size of human bounding boxes between each \(c_b^{\text{gt}}\) against all \(c_b^{\text{pred}}\) \(N_{\text{bbox}}\) and assign the \(c_b^{\text{pred}}\) with largest intersection size to \(c_b^{\text{gt}}\) as a prediction to ground-truth match. Thus, bounding box localization loss between a ground-truth visual combination \(c_b^{\text{gt}} (i \in [1, N_{\text{gt}}])\) and its corresponding predicted visual combination \(c_b^{\text{pred}}\) is

$$L_{\text{bbox}, \text{loc}} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{GT}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{GT}}} \left\{ \mu_1 ||\hat{b}_i^{(h)} - \hat{b}_i^{(w)}|| + ||\hat{o}_i^{(h)} - \hat{o}_i^{(w)}|| \right\} - \mu_2 \text{GIoU}(\tilde{b}_i^{(h)}, \tilde{b}_i^{(w)}) + \text{GIoU}(\tilde{o}_i^{(h)}, \tilde{o}_i^{(w)}),$$

where \(N_{\text{GT}}\) is the total number of ground-truth visual combinations in that frame; GIoU is the generalized IoU [35]; \(\mu_1\) and \(\mu_2\) are the hyper-parameters for adjusting the weights.

The overall loss for a frame to be minimized in the training phase is

$$L = \alpha_1 L_{\text{hoi}} + \alpha_2 L_{\text{bbox}, \text{cls}} + \alpha_3 L_{\text{bbox}, \text{loc}}$$

where \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\) are weights for adjusting different loss components.

3.5. Inference

During inference, for each frame in a given video segment, we generate HOIs consisting of four components:
4. Experiments

4.1. Datasets and Evaluation Metrics

We evaluate our ACoLP model on two video Human-Object Interaction datasets: VidHOI [4] and CAD120 [18]. VidHOI is a large-scale dataset for detecting video HOIs. Following ST-HOI[4], we take 6,366 videos for training and 756 videos for validation. In VidHOI, there are 50 annotated relation categories and half of them are temporal-related actions. CAD-120 is a relatively smaller dataset, consisting of 120 RGB-D videos of 4 subjects ad 10 different activities. In this work, only the RGB images and bounding box annotations are utilized.

For VidHOI dataset, we follow ST-HOI [4] to use mean average precision (mAP) as the metric for VidHOI dataset, which is also the same as the widely used image HOI detection dataset HICO-DET [50]. An HOI prediction is considered to be true positive if it satisfies the following two criteria: (1) one of the object class and the interaction class is the same with ground truth; (2) both the bounding boxes of human and object overlap with the ground truth boxes with interest-over-union (IoU) more than 0.5. We follow standard scheme of sub-activity F1 score for CAD-120 dataset evaluation.

4.2. Implementation Details

Human and object bounding boxes in video frames are extracted from parameter frozen backbone model with a FasterRCNN [34] pretrained on MS-COCO [23]. We set \( Q \) in Sec. 3.2 as 20 to select top score entities. Instance features are then extracted by ROIAlign [11], followed by a linear layer to project instance features to 1024-dim. Node feature dimension \( d \) in Dynamic GNN module is 1024. GNN layers in Dynamic GNN is implemented as Graph Convolutional Networks (GCN) [17]. MLPs in the model consists of 3 layers, with ReLU activation function and LayerNorm at the end of each layer except the last one. We employ the pretrained CLIP [33] model as text encoder for extracting text features of 768-dim, which are further projected to 1024-dim. The parameters of CLIP are frozen during training.

The number of heads in MHA module in Sec. 3.3 is set to be 8. \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \) and \( \alpha_3 \) in Eq. 12 are set to be 2, 1.5 and 1, respectively. AdamW [26] optimizer is used for training 100 epochs on 4 GPUs with a batch size of 128. The initial learning rate is 0.0001 and decays by 0.9 every 20 epochs.

4.3. Ablation Studies

In this section, we conduct ablation studies to validate the function of each module.

We first remove CHP module and utilize HOI prompt representation \( c_i \) in Fig. 2 directly for HOI prediction. Results in Table 1 show that removing CHP module leads to more than 5% mAP drop on VidHOI dataset and more than 6 F1 points drop on CAD-120 dataset. If removing VHP module and only employ the global semantic-aware HOI prompt representation \( c_i \), the results drops even further on both VidHOI dataset and CAD-120 dataset. In Fig. 5, we visualize the HOI prompt representation \( c_i \) and visual-semantic aware HOI prompt representation \( c'_i \) with t-SNE [44]. We select HOIs that contain interaction classes of either grab or next to. There are 13 grab-related HOIs and 40 next to-related HOIs. Fig. 5 indicates that the visual-semantic aware HOI prompt representations (prompt
Figure 5. Visualization of original HOI prompt representations (text feature) and visual-semantic aware HOI prompt representations (prompt feature). Each dot represents an HOI that contains the action listed in the sub figure. Each dot is also assigned a different color for better visualization.

feature) exhibit cluster patterns, while original HOI prompt representations (text feature) tend to scatter without a cluster center. This indicates that HOI classes containing the same interaction class share more similar semantic information, especially for the temporal-related interaction class such as *grab*. Furthermore, we evaluate the function of AP module and D-GNN in spatio-temporal reasoning network shown in Fig. 2. Results in Table 1 indicate that removing AP and D-GNN will both harm HOI detection results by a large margin, demonstrating the effectiveness of AP and D-GNN.

### 4.4. Comparison with State-Of-The-Art (SOTA)

**Open Set Video HOI detection** Open set video HOI detection indicates we only have access to part of action classes in training set, while there are some actions in test set that we have never seen before. We select training data that contain 80% or 50% of the total action classes and the rest 20% or 50% action classes only exist in test set. Results in Table 3 indicate that our method leads a large margin on unseen HOI detection compared with current SOTA methods with both 20% unseen and 50% unseen. For the video-based methods of ST-HOI [4], ASSIGN [28] and 2G-GCN [31], the performance is worse than image-based method THID [47]. This is caused by the fact that THID [47] incorporates language semantic information into image HOI detection, thus increase the model generalizability on unseen classes. If we delete the VHP module in our model, the ability for open set HOI detection is greatly reduced more than 5% mAP as shown in Table 3. This result suggests that enhancing text information with visual content is essential for generating feasible prompt representations that generalize well on unseen classes.

**Closed Set Video HOI detection** In closed setting, all the HOI classes are predefined and exist in training set. By using full training set, our model achieves about 1.5% mAP lead on VidHOI dataset as shown in Table 1. For CAD-120 dataset, our method is on par with most of the SOTA methods for HOI detection.

We further compare the detection performance of temporal-related and spatial-related interactions on VidHOI dataset. If the predicates need to be inferred from neighboring frames, they’re temporal HOIs. Otherwise, they’re spatial HOIs. Results in Table 4 show that our model outperforms SOTA method more than 2% mAP on temporal-related interactions. On spatial-related interaction detection, our method leads by about 0.5% mAP. Our model performs better on temporal-related interactions probably because Dynamic GNN module explicitly captures temporal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>20% unseen</th>
<th>50% unseen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THID, CVPR 2022 [47]</td>
<td>82.41</td>
<td>73.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST-HOI, ICDAR 2021 [4]</td>
<td>80.20</td>
<td>73.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSIGN CVPR 2021 [28]</td>
<td>82.13</td>
<td>72.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G-GCN, ECCV 2022 [31]</td>
<td>81.47</td>
<td>73.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACoLP (Δ VHP)</td>
<td>82.35</td>
<td>74.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACoLP (Δ CHP)</td>
<td>82.95</td>
<td>74.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACoLP (Δ AP)</td>
<td>80.65</td>
<td>72.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACoLP (Δ D-GNN)</td>
<td>81.32</td>
<td>72.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACoLP, Ours</td>
<td>87.53</td>
<td>76.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Open set HOI detection performance comparison on different ratios of unseen HOIs on CAD-120 dataset. Notations are the same as those in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASSIGN CVPR 2021 [28]</td>
<td>18.37 29.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUTOR, NIPS 2022 [43]</td>
<td>21.28 32.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACoLP, Ours</td>
<td>24.77 32.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. HOI detection performance comparison on temporal-related (T) and static spatial-related (S) HOIs on VidHOI dataset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Full</th>
<th>None-rare</th>
<th>Rare</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST-HOI, ICDAR 2021 [4]</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSIGN CVPR 2021 [28]</td>
<td>20.43</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUTOR, NIPS 2022 [43]</td>
<td>26.92</td>
<td>37.12</td>
<td>23.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACoLP, Ours</td>
<td>28.27</td>
<td>39.66</td>
<td>26.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. HOI detection performance comparison on three different sets of HOI categories in VidHOI dataset: Full, None-rare and Rare.
dynamics in video frames, while ASSIGN [28], ST-HOI [4] and TUTOR [43] do not contain such scheme.

Following ST-HOI [4], we test our model performance on three HOI sets of VidHOI dataset: (1) Full: all the 557 HOI classes are evaluated; (2) Non-rare: 242 HOI categories with more than 25 instances; (3) Rare: 315 HOI instances with less than 25 instances. Table 5 shows that our model performs better than ASSIGN [28], ST-HOI [4] and TUTOR [43] in all three settings. Among all the three settings, our method obtain the largest advantages on the Rare setting. This is in accordance with the open set setting in the last section. The strong generalizability for unseen categories also helps in detecting rare categories.

5. Conclusion

In this work, we propose ACoLP model to tackle the challenging open set video HOI detection problem. We model video HOIs in a novel action-centric manner, which aims to capture the essential verbs in videos. Furthermore, we propose the chain-of-look prompting scheme to generate spatio-temporal-aware action prompt representations and visual-semantic-aware HOI prompt representations, in order to model the underlying visual reasoning process in videos. Extensive experimental analysis validates the effectiveness of our model, which outperforms state-of-the-art HOI detection methods in the open set setting, and is on par with or better than existing methods in the closed setting.
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