# Stochastic Gradient Descent Optimizes Over-parameterized Deep ReLU Networks

Aishwarya Mehta (<u>amehta9@buffalo.edu</u>) Ayush Utkarsh (<u>ayushutk@buffalo.edu</u>)

## Introduction

In this presentation, we try and explain the findings of the ICML 2019 paper Stochastic Gradient Descent Optimizes Over-Parameterized Deep RELU Networks. The intent of this presentation is to focus on the key takeaways of this paper so that everyone can utilize the learnings from it.

## Layout

- Overview
- Related Work
- Conclusive Findings
- Implementational takeaways from these findings
- Setup of experiment/concept
- Assumptions (loss function, inputs, etc)
- Exponential bounds
- References

## Overview

- Why do Gradient Descent (GD) and Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) work for over-parameterized training deep neural networks with RELU activation?
- What's overparameterization?
- How overparameterization helps?
- How does random weight initialization impact model convergence?

#### Relevant implementational findings: Related Work

- SGD can recover underlying parameters of a 2-layer residual network in Polynomial time. [Li and Yuan (2017)]
- Deep linear residual networks have no spurious local minima [Hardt and Ma (2016)]
- Depth can accelerate the optimization of deep linear networks [Arora et al. (2018b)]
- Identity initialization and proper regularizer helps GD converge to the least square solution for deep linear network. [Arora et al. (2018a)]

## Findings

- GD & SGD can find global minima of train loss for an over-parameterized deep RELU net under **mild** data assumption.
  - What Assumptions?
    - Data separation assumption
- Gaussian random initialization with (S)GD produces a sequence of iterations that stay in small perturbations around init weight.
- Empirical loss of deep RELU has nice local curvature properties ensuring global convergence of (S)GD.

## Implementational Take Away

- Gaussian random initialization can achieve zero training loss with (S)GD within O(poly(n, φ-1,L)) iterations if number of nodes per layer is atleast Ω(poly(n, φ-1,L))
  - This finding gives us the requirement of over-parameterization.

Assumptions:

• Only one: Data separation

## SETUP

- L-hidden layer neural network:  $f_{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{v}^{\top} \sigma(\mathbf{W}_{L}^{\top} \sigma(\mathbf{W}_{L-1}^{\top} \cdots \sigma(\mathbf{W}_{1}^{\top} \mathbf{x}) \cdots))$
- Empirical risk minimization problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{W}} L_S(\mathbf{W}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(y_i \hat{y}_i)$$

#### **Loss Function Assumptions**

• Loss function *l*(.) is continuous and satisfies :

$$\ell'(x) \leq 0, \lim_{x \to \infty} \ell(x) = 0$$

 $\lim_{x \to \infty} \ell'(x) = 0.$ 

• Loss function is **λ-smooth** 

 $\lambda$ -smooth?

Gaussian Initialization: each column of W is independently gaussian:
N(0, 2/m (Eye))

#### Input Assumptions

•  $\|\mathbf{x}_i\|_2 = 1$  and  $(\mathbf{x}_i)_d = \mu$  for all  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , where  $\mu \in (0, 1)$  is a constant

• For all  $i, i' \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , if  $y_i \neq y_{i'}$ , then  $\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_{i'}\|_2 \ge \phi$  for some  $\phi > 0$ .

#### Gaussian Initialization Assumptions

• The following assumptions were taken to hold true under gaussian initialization

(i) 
$$\left\| \| \mathbf{x}_{l,i} \|_2 - 1 \right\| \leq \overline{C}' L \sqrt{\log(nL/\delta)/m}, \| \mathbf{W}_l \|_2 \leq \overline{C}' \text{ for all } l = 1..., L \text{ and } i = 1, ..., n.$$

(ii) 
$$\|\|\mathbf{x}_{l,i}\|_2^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{l,i} - \|\mathbf{x}_{l,i'}\|_2^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{l,i'}\|_2 \ge \phi/2$$
 for all  $l = 1, \dots, L$  and  $i, i' \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $y_i \neq y_{i'}$ .

(iii) 
$$|\hat{y}_i| \leq \overline{C}' \sqrt{\log(n/\delta)}$$
 for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

- (iv)  $|\{j \in [m_l] : |\langle \mathbf{w}_{l,j}, \mathbf{x}_{l-1,i} \rangle| \leq \beta\}| \leq 2m_l^{3/2}\beta$  for all  $l = 1, \dots, L$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .
- (v)  $\|\mathbf{W}_{l_2}^{\top} (\prod_{r=l_1}^{l_2-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{r,i} \mathbf{W}_r^{\top})\|_2 \leq \overline{C}' L$  for all  $1 \leq l_1 < l_2 \leq L$  and  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ .
- (vi)  $\mathbf{v}^{\top} \left(\prod_{r=l}^{L} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{r,i} \mathbf{W}_{r}^{\top}\right) \mathbf{a} \leq \overline{C}' L \sqrt{s \log(M)}$  for all  $l = 1, \ldots, L$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$  and all  $\mathbf{a} \in S^{m_{l-1}-1}$  with  $\|\mathbf{a}\|_{0} \leq s$ .
- (vii)  $\mathbf{b}^{\top} \mathbf{W}_{l_2}^{\top} (\prod_{r=l_1}^{l_2-1} \Sigma_{r,i} \mathbf{W}_r^{\top}) \mathbf{a} \leq \overline{C}' L \sqrt{s \log(M)/m}$  for all  $l = 1, \ldots, L, i = 1, \ldots, n$  and all  $\mathbf{a} \in S^{m_{l_1-1}-1}, \mathbf{b} \in S^{m_{l_2}-1}$  with  $\|\mathbf{a}\|_0, \|\mathbf{b}\|_0 \leq s$ .

(viii) For any  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ , there exist at least  $\underline{C}' m_L \phi/n$  nodes satisfying

$$\left\|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}\sigma'(\langle \mathbf{w}_{L,j}, \mathbf{x}_{L-1,i}\rangle)\mathbf{x}_{L-1,i}\right\|_{2} \ge \underline{C}''\|\mathbf{a}\|_{\infty}/n$$

#### **Perturbation Assumptions**

- Given the gaussian initialization follows the above assumptions, the authors showed that the perturbations created would be bounded by the following rules:
  - (i)  $\|\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_l\|_2 \leq \overline{C}$  for all  $l \in [L]$ .

(ii) 
$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{l,i} - \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{l,i}\|_2 \leq \overline{C}L \cdot \sum_{r=1}^l \|\widehat{\mathbf{W}}_r - \widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_r\|_2$$
 for all  $l \in [L]$  and  $i \in [n]$ .

- (iii)  $\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{l,i} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{l,i}\|_0 \leq \overline{C}L^{4/3}\tau^{2/3}m_l \text{ for all } l \in [L] \text{ and } i \in [n].$
- (iv)  $|\{j \in [m_L] : \text{there exists } i \in [n] \text{ such that } (\widetilde{\Sigma}_{L,i} \Sigma_{L,i})_{jj} \neq 0\}| \leq \overline{C}nL^{4/3}\tau^{2/3}m_L.$
- (v)  $\|\prod_{r=l_1}^{l_2} \widetilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_{r,i} \widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_r^{\top}\|_2 \leq \overline{C}L$  for all  $1 \leq l_1 < l_2 \leq L$ .
- (vi)  $\mathbf{v}^{\top} (\prod_{r=l}^{L} \widetilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_{r,i} \widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_{r}^{\top}) \mathbf{a} \leq \overline{C}' L^{5/3} \tau^{1/3} \sqrt{M \log(M)}$  for all  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{l-1}}$  satisfying  $\|\mathbf{a}\|_{2} = 1$ ,  $\|\mathbf{a}\|_{0} \leq \overline{C} L^{4/3} \tau^{2/3} m_{l}$  and any  $1 \leq l \leq L$ .

## Findings: asymptotic bounds

•  $\| . \|_{2}$ - perturbations on Gaussian initialization within a radius t has good local curvature properties.

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbf{W}_L}[L_S(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}})]\|_F^2 \ge \underline{C}' \frac{m_L \phi}{n^5} \bigg(\sum_{i=1}^n \ell'(y_i \widetilde{y}_i)\bigg)^2.$$

- This gradient lower bound gives that within perturbation region, empirical loss of deep NN has good local curvature properties.
- Assumption that all perturbations are within t radius from init gives a condition on iterations k \* step-size η for convergence guarantee.

$$\left\|\nabla_{\mathbf{W}_{l}}[L_{S}(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}})]\right\|_{2} \leqslant -\frac{\overline{C}L^{2}M^{1/2}}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\ell'(y_{i}\widetilde{y}_{i}) \text{ and } \left\|\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}_{l}\right\|_{2} \leqslant -\frac{\overline{C}L^{2}M^{1/2}}{B}\sum_{i\in\mathcal{B}}\ell'(y_{i}\widetilde{y}_{i}),$$

• This gradient upper bound quantifies how much weights would change during (S)GD. This guarantees that weights won't escape from the perturbation region during training.

### Findings: asymptotic bounds

• While  $k * \eta < T$  (constant), gradient descent with k iterations remains in pert. region around Gauss. Initialization:

$$T = O(L^{-4}n^{-3}\tau^2\phi) = O(L^{-38}n^{-21}\phi^7)$$

• Lower bound on hidden nodes per layer:

$$m = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\Omega}(n^{26}L^{38}/\phi^8) & 0 \le p < \frac{1}{2} \\ \widetilde{\Omega}(n^{26}L^{38}/\phi^8) + \widetilde{\Omega}(n^{25}L^{38}/\phi^8) \cdot \Omega(\log(1/\epsilon)) & p = \frac{1}{2} \\ \widetilde{\Omega}(n^{26-2p}L^{38}/\phi^8) + \widetilde{\Omega}(n^{26}L^{38}/\phi^8) \cdot \Omega(\epsilon^{1-2p}) & \frac{1}{2} < p \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Where **p** is an exponential factor on loss such that  $-\ell'(x) \ge \min\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \ell^p(x)\}$ 

## Findings: asymptotic bounds

• Similarly, we get a upper bound on the maximum number of iterations to be:

$$K = \begin{cases} \widetilde{O}(n^{12-2p}B^{-2}L^{9}\phi^{-2}) & 0 \leq p < \frac{1}{2} \\ \widetilde{O}(n^{11}B^{-2}L^{9}\phi^{-2}) + \widetilde{O}(n^{10}B^{-2}L^{9}\phi^{-2}) \cdot O(\log(1/\epsilon)) & p = \frac{1}{2} \\ \widetilde{O}(n^{12-2p}B^{-2}L^{9}\phi^{-2}) + \widetilde{O}(n^{12-4p}B^{-2}L^{9}\phi^{-2}) \cdot O(\epsilon^{1-2p}) & \frac{1}{2} < p \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

#### Findings: Stochastic Gradient Descent

• In case of stochastic gradient descent, we have number of hidden nodes per layer as:

$$m = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\Omega} \left( \operatorname{poly}(n, \phi^{-1}, L) \right) & 0 \leq p < \frac{1}{2} \\ \widetilde{\Omega} \left( \operatorname{poly}(n, \phi^{-1}, L) \right) \cdot \Omega \left( \log^2(1/\epsilon) \right) & p = \frac{1}{2} \\ \widetilde{\Omega} \left( \operatorname{poly}(n, \phi^{-1}, L) \right) \cdot \Omega(\epsilon^{2-4p}) & \frac{1}{2} < p \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

### Findings: Stochastic Gradient Descent

• Number of iterations have an asymptotic upper limit of

$$K = \begin{cases} \widetilde{O}\left(\operatorname{poly}(n, \phi^{-1}, L)\right) & 0 \leq p < \frac{1}{2} \\ \widetilde{O}\left(\operatorname{poly}(n, \phi^{-1}, L)\right) \cdot O\left(\log(1/\epsilon)\right) & p = \frac{1}{2} \\ \widetilde{O}\left(\operatorname{poly}(n, \phi^{-1}, L)\right) \cdot O(\epsilon^{1-2p}) & \frac{1}{2} < p \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

## Conclusion

- This paper studied training deep neural networks by gradient descent and stochastic gradient descent.
- The authors proved that both gradient descent and stochastic gradient descent can achieve global minima of over-parameterized deep ReLU networks with random initialization.
- This holds for a general class of loss functions, with only mild assumption on training data

## Reference

- Stochastic Gradient Descent Optimizes Over-parameterized Deep ReLU Networks (ICML 2019) (<u>1811.08888.pdf (arxiv.org)</u>
- Gradient Descent Provably Optimizes Over-parameterized Neural Networks (<u>Gradient Descent</u> <u>Provably Optimizes Over-parameterized Neural Networks | OpenReview</u>)

## Q & A ?

#### Thank you