## Running Time of Prim's Algorithm Using Priority

 Queue$O(n) \times($ time for extract_min $)+O(m) \times($ time for decrease_key $)$

| concrete DS | extract_min | decrease_key | overall time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| heap | $O(\log n)$ | $O(\log n)$ | $O(m \log n)$ |
| Fibonacci heap | $O(\log n)$ | $O(1)$ | $O(n \log n+m)$ |
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- $(c, f)$ is in MST because of cut $(\{a, b, c, i\}, V \backslash\{a, b, c, i\})$
- $(i, g)$ is not in MST because no such cut exists
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## "Evidence" for $e \in$ MST or $e \notin$ MST

Assumption Assume all edge weights are different.

- $e \in \mathrm{MST} \leftrightarrow$ there is a cut in which $e$ is the lightest edge
- $e \notin \mathrm{MST} \leftrightarrow$ there is a cycle in which $e$ is the heaviest edge

Exactly one of the following is true:

- There is a cut in which $e$ is the lightest edge
- There is a cycle in which $e$ is the heaviest edge

Thus, the minimum spanning tree is unique with assumption.
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- Dijkstra's Algorithm
(3) Shortest Paths in Graphs with Negative Weights
(4) All-Pair Shortest Paths and Floyd-Warshall

| algorithm | graph | weights | SS? | running time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simple DP | DAG | $\mathbb{R}$ | SS | $O(n+m)$ |
| Dijkstra | $\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{D}$ | $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ | SS | $O(n \log n+m)$ |
| Bellman-Ford | $\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{D}$ | $\mathbb{R}$ | SS | $O(n m)$ |
| Floyd-Warshall | $\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{D}$ | $\mathbb{R}$ | AP | $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ |

- DAG $=$ directed acyclic graph $\quad \mathrm{U}=$ undirected $\quad \mathrm{D}=$ directed
- $\mathrm{SS}=$ single source $\quad \mathrm{AP}=$ all pairs
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## Reason for Considering Single Source Shortest Paths

## Problem

- We do not know how to solve $s$ - $t$ shortest path problem more efficiently than solving single source shortest path problem
- Shortest paths in directed graphs is more general than in undirected graphs: we can replace every undirected edge with two anti-parallel edges of the same weight


## Single Source Shortest Paths

Input: directed graph $G=(V, E), s \in V$

$$
w: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}
$$

Output: $\pi[v], v \in V \backslash s$ : the parent of $v$ in shortest path tree $d[v], v \in V \backslash s$ : the length of shortest path from $s$ to $v$
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Assumption Weights $w(u, v)$ are integers (w.l.o.g).

- An edge of weight $w(u, v)$ is equivalent to a pah of $w(u, v)$ unit-weight edges



## Shortest Path Algorithm by Running BFS

1: replace $(u, v)$ of length $w(u, v)$ with a path of $w(u, v)$ unit-weight edges, for every $(u, v) \in E$
2: run BFS virtually
3: $\pi[v] \leftarrow$ vertex from which $v$ is visited
4: $d[v] \leftarrow$ index of the level containing $v$

- Problem: $w(u, v)$ may be too large!


## Shortest Path Algorithm by Running BFS Virtually

1: $S \leftarrow\{s\}, d(s) \leftarrow 0$
2: while $|S| \leq n$ do
3: $\quad$ find a $v \notin S$ that minimizes
$\min _{u \in S:(u, v) \in E}\{d[u]+w(u, v)\}$
4: $\quad S \leftarrow S \cup\{v\}$
5: $\quad d[v] \leftarrow \min _{u \in S:(u, v) \in E}\{d[u]+w(u, v)\}$

## Virtual BFS: Example
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- Dijkstra's Algorithm
(3) Shortest Paths in Graphs with Negative Weights

4 All-Pair Shortest Paths and Floyd-Warshall

## Dijkstra's Algorithm

## Dijkstra( $G, w, s$ )

1: $S \leftarrow \emptyset, d(s) \leftarrow 0$ and $d[v] \leftarrow \infty$ for every $v \in V \backslash\{s\}$
2: while $S \neq V$ do
3: $\quad u \leftarrow$ vertex in $V \backslash S$ with the minimum $d[u]$
4: $\quad$ add $u$ to $S$
5: $\quad$ for each $v \in V \backslash S$ such that $(u, v) \in E$ do
6: $\quad$ if $d[u]+w(u, v)<d[v]$ then
7:
$d[v] \leftarrow d[u]+w(u, v)$
8:
$\pi[v] \leftarrow u$
9: return $(d, \pi)$

## Dijkstra's Algorithm

## Dijkstra $(G, w, s)$

1: $S \leftarrow \emptyset, d(s) \leftarrow 0$ and $d[v] \leftarrow \infty$ for every $v \in V \backslash\{s\}$
2: while $S \neq V$ do
3: $\quad u \leftarrow$ vertex in $V \backslash S$ with the minimum $d[u]$
4: $\quad$ add $u$ to $S$
5: $\quad$ for each $v \in V \backslash S$ such that $(u, v) \in E$ do
6: $\quad$ if $d[u]+w(u, v)<d[v]$ then
7:
$d[v] \leftarrow d[u]+w(u, v)$
8: $\quad \pi[v] \leftarrow u$
9: return $(d, \pi)$

- Running time $=O\left(n^{2}\right)$
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## Improved Running Time using Priority Queue

## Dijkstra $(G, w, s)$

1 :
2: $S \leftarrow \emptyset, d(s) \leftarrow 0$ and $d[v] \leftarrow \infty$ for every $v \in V \backslash\{s\}$
3: $Q \leftarrow$ empty queue, for each $v \in V: Q . \operatorname{insert}(v, d[v])$
4: while $S \neq V$ do
5: $\quad u \leftarrow Q$.extract_min ()
6: $\quad S \leftarrow S \cup\{u\}$
7: $\quad$ for each $v \in V \backslash S$ such that $(u, v) \in E$ do
8:
9 : if $d[u]+w(u, v)<d[v]$ then $d[v] \leftarrow d[u]+w(u, v), Q$. decrease_key $(v, d[v])$
10:

$$
\pi[v] \leftarrow u
$$

11: return $(\pi, d)$

## Recall: Prim's Algorithm for MST

## MST-Prim $(G, w)$

1: $s \leftarrow$ arbitrary vertex in $G$
2: $S \leftarrow \emptyset, d(s) \leftarrow 0$ and $d[v] \leftarrow \infty$ for every $v \in V \backslash\{s\}$
3: $Q \leftarrow$ empty queue, for each $v \in V: Q . \operatorname{insert}(v, d[v])$
4: while $S \neq V$ do
5: $\quad u \leftarrow Q$.extract_min()
6: $\quad S \leftarrow S \cup\{u\}$
7: $\quad$ for each $v \in V \backslash S$ such that $(u, v) \in E$ do
8: $\quad$ if $w(u, v)<d[v]$ then
9 :
$d[v] \leftarrow w(u, v), Q$. decrease_key $(v, d[v])$
$\pi[v] \leftarrow u$
11: $\operatorname{return}\{(u, \pi[u]) \mid u \in V \backslash\{s\}\}$

## Improved Running Time

Running time:
$O(n) \times($ time for extract_min $)+O(m) \times$ (time for decrease_key $)$

| Priority-Queue | extract_min | decrease_key | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heap | $O(\log n)$ | $O(\log n)$ | $O(m \log n)$ |
| Fibonacci Heap | $O(\log n)$ | $O(1)$ | $O(n \log n+m)$ |

