


# Heap


The following **heap property** is satisfied:

- for any two nodes  $i, j$  such that  $i$  is the parent of  $j$ , we have  $key[A[i]] \leq key[A[j]]$ .




A heap. Numbers in the circles denote key values of elements.


$\text{insert}(v, \text{key\_value})$




$\text{insert}(v, \text{key\_value})$




$\text{insert}(v, \text{key\_value})$



`insert( $v$ ,  $key\_value$ )`



`insert(v, key_value)`




### insert( $v$ , $key\_value$ )

```
1:  $s \leftarrow s + 1$ 
2:  $A[s] \leftarrow v$ 
3:  $p[v] \leftarrow s$ 
4:  $key[v] \leftarrow key\_value$ 
5: heapify-up( $s$ )
```

### heapify-up( $i$ )


```
1: while  $i > 1$  do
2:    $j \leftarrow \lfloor i/2 \rfloor$ 
3:   if  $key[A[i]] < key[A[j]]$  then
4:     swap  $A[i]$  and  $A[j]$ 
5:      $p[A[i]] \leftarrow i, p[A[j]] \leftarrow j$ 
6:      $i \leftarrow j$ 
7:   else break
```

`extract_min()`







extract\_min()




extract\_min()




`extract_min()`



extract\_min()



`extract_min()`



## extract\_min()

```
1:  $ret \leftarrow A[1]$ 
2:  $A[1] \leftarrow A[s]$ 
3:  $p[A[1]] \leftarrow 1$ 
4:  $s \leftarrow s - 1$ 
5: if  $s \geq 1$  then
6:   heapify_down(1)
7: return  $ret$ 
```

## decrease\_key( $v, key\_val$ )

```
1:  $key[v] \leftarrow key\_value$ 
2: heapify-up( $p[v]$ )
```

## heapify-down( $i$ )

```
1: while  $2i \leq s$  do
2:   if  $2i = s$  or
    $key[A[2i]] \leq key[A[2i + 1]]$  then
3:      $j \leftarrow 2i$ 
4:   else
5:      $j \leftarrow 2i + 1$ 
6:   if  $key[A[j]] < key[A[i]]$  then
7:     swap  $A[i]$  and  $A[j]$ 
8:      $p[A[i]] \leftarrow i, p[A[j]] \leftarrow j$ 
9:      $i \leftarrow j$ 
10:  else break
```

- Running time of `heapify_up` and `heapify_down`:  $O(\lg n)$

- Running time of `heapify_up` and `heapify_down`:  $O(\lg n)$
- Running time of `insert`, `extract_min` and `decrease_key`:  $O(\lg n)$



- Running time of `heapify_up` and `heapify_down`:  $O(\lg n)$
- Running time of `insert`, `extract_min` and `decrease_key`:  $O(\lg n)$

<b>data structures</b>	<b>insert</b>	<b>extract_min</b>	<b>decrease_key</b>
array	$O(1)$	$O(n)$	$O(1)$
sorted array	$O(n)$	$O(1)$	$O(n)$
heap	$O(\lg n)$	$O(\lg n)$	$O(\lg n)$

## Two Definitions Needed to Prove that the Procedures Maintain **Heap Property**

**Def.** We say that  $H$  is almost a heap except that  $key[A[i]]$  is too small if we can increase  $key[A[i]]$  to make  $H$  a heap.

**Def.** We say that  $H$  is almost a heap except that  $key[A[i]]$  is too big if we can decrease  $key[A[i]]$  to make  $H$  a heap.

# Outline

- 1 Toy Example: Box Packing
- 2 Interval Scheduling
  - Interval Partitioning
- 3 Offline Caching
  - Heap: Concrete Data Structure for Priority Queue
- 4 Data Compression and Huffman Code**
- 5 Summary
- 6 Exercise Problems

# Encoding Letters Using Bits

- 8 letters  $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h$  in a language
- need to encode a message using bits
- idea: use 3 bits per letter

$a$	$b$	$c$	$d$	$e$	$f$	$g$	$h$
000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111

$deacfg \rightarrow 011100000010101110$

**Q:** Can we have a better encoding scheme?

- Seems unlikely: must use 3 bits per letter

**Q:** What if some letters appear more frequently than the others?

**Q:** If some letters appear more frequently than the others, can we have a better encoding scheme?

**A:** Using **variable-length encoding scheme** might be more efficient.

### Idea

- using fewer bits for letters that are more frequently used, and more bits for letters that are less frequently used.

**Q:** What is the issue with the following encoding scheme?

- $a: 0$      $b: 1$      $c: 00$

**Q:** What is the issue with the following encoding scheme?

- $a: 0$      $b: 1$      $c: 00$

**A:** Can not guarantee a unique decoding. For example,  $00$  can be decoded to  $aa$  or  $c$ .

**Q:** What is the issue with the following encoding scheme?

- $a: 0$      $b: 1$      $c: 00$

**A:** Can not guarantee a unique decoding. For example,  $00$  can be decoded to  $aa$  or  $c$ .

## Solution

Use **prefix codes** to guarantee a unique decoding.




# Prefix Codes

**Def.** A prefix code for a set  $S$  of letters is a function  $\gamma : S \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  such that for two distinct  $x, y \in S$ ,  $\gamma(x)$  is not a prefix of  $\gamma(y)$ .

# Prefix Codes

**Def.** A prefix code for a set  $S$  of letters is a function  $\gamma : S \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  such that for two distinct  $x, y \in S$ ,  $\gamma(x)$  is not a prefix of  $\gamma(y)$ .

$a$	$b$	$c$	$d$
001	0000	0001	100
$e$	$f$	$g$	$h$
11	1010	1011	01




# Prefix Codes Guarantee Unique Decoding

- Reason: there is only one way to cut the first code.

# Prefix Codes Guarantee Unique Decoding

- Reason: there is only one way to cut the first code.


<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>
001	0000	0001	100
<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>h</i>
11	1010	1011	01



# Prefix Codes Guarantee Unique Decoding

- Reason: there is only one way to cut the first code.

<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>
001	0000	0001	100
<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>h</i>
11	1010	1011	01




- 0001001100000001011110100001001

# Prefix Codes Guarantee Unique Decoding

- Reason: there is only one way to cut the first code.

<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>
001	0000	0001	100
<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>h</i>
11	1010	1011	01




- 0001/001100000001011110100001001
- c

# Prefix Codes Guarantee Unique Decoding

- Reason: there is only one way to cut the first code.

<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>
001	0000	0001	100
<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>h</i>
11	1010	1011	01




- 0001/001/100000001011110100001001
- ca

# Prefix Codes Guarantee Unique Decoding

- Reason: there is only one way to cut the first code.

<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>
001	0000	0001	100
<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>h</i>
11	1010	1011	01




- 0001/001/100/000001011110100001001
- cad



# Prefix Codes Guarantee Unique Decoding

- Reason: there is only one way to cut the first code.

<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>
001	0000	0001	100
<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>h</i>
11	1010	1011	01




- 0001/001/100/0000/01011110100001001
- cad**b**

# Prefix Codes Guarantee Unique Decoding

- Reason: there is only one way to cut the first code.

<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>
001	0000	0001	100
<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>h</i>
11	1010	1011	01




- 0001/001/100/0000/01/011110100001001
- cadbh

# Prefix Codes Guarantee Unique Decoding

- Reason: there is only one way to cut the first code.

<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>
001	0000	0001	100
<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>h</i>
11	1010	1011	01




- 0001/001/100/0000/01/01/1110100001001
- cadbh**h**

# Prefix Codes Guarantee Unique Decoding

- Reason: there is only one way to cut the first code.

<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>
001	0000	0001	100
<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>h</i>
11	1010	1011	01




- 0001/001/100/0000/01/01/11/10100001001
- cadbhhe

# Prefix Codes Guarantee Unique Decoding

- Reason: there is only one way to cut the first code.

<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>
001	0000	0001	100
<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>h</i>
11	1010	1011	01




- 0001/001/100/0000/01/01/11/1010/0001001
- cadbhhef

# Prefix Codes Guarantee Unique Decoding

- Reason: there is only one way to cut the first code.

<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>
001	0000	0001	100
<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>h</i>
11	1010	1011	01




- 0001/001/100/0000/01/01/11/1010/0001/001
- cadbhhefc

# Prefix Codes Guarantee Unique Decoding


- Reason: there is only one way to cut the first code.

<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>
001	0000	0001	100
<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>h</i>
11	1010	1011	01



- 0001/001/100/0000/01/01/11/1010/0001/001/
- cadbhhefca


## Properties of Encoding Tree






## Properties of Encoding Tree


- Rooted binary tree






## Properties of Encoding Tree

- Rooted binary tree
- Left edges labelled 0 and right edges labelled 1




## Properties of Encoding Tree

- Rooted binary tree
- Left edges labelled 0 and right edges labelled 1
- A leaf corresponds to a code for some letter



## Properties of Encoding Tree

- Rooted binary tree
- Left edges labelled 0 and right edges labelled 1
- A leaf corresponds to a code for some letter
- If coding scheme is not wasteful: a non-leaf has exactly two children



## Properties of Encoding Tree

- Rooted binary tree
- Left edges labelled 0 and right edges labelled 1
- A leaf corresponds to a code for some letter
- If coding scheme is not wasteful: a non-leaf has exactly two children


## Best Prefix Codes

**Input:** frequencies of letters in a message


**Output:** prefix coding scheme with the shortest encoding for the message

## example


letters	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e</i>
frequencies	18	3	4	6	10



scheme 1




scheme 2




scheme 3

## example


letters	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e</i>	
frequencies	18	3	4	6	10	
scheme 1 length	2	3	3	2	2	total = 89
scheme 2 length	1	3	3	3	3	total = 87
scheme 3 length	1	4	4	3	2	total = 84



scheme 1



scheme 2



scheme 3

- Example Input: ( $a$ : 18,  $b$ : 3,  $c$ : 4,  $d$ : 6,  $e$ : 10)



- Example Input: ( $a$ : 18,  $b$ : 3,  $c$ : 4,  $d$ : 6,  $e$ : 10)

**Q:** What types of decisions should we make?

- Example Input: ( $a$ : 18,  $b$ : 3,  $c$ : 4,  $d$ : 6,  $e$ : 10)

**Q:** What types of decisions should we make?

- Can we directly give a code for some letter?

- Example Input: ( $a$ : 18,  $b$ : 3,  $c$ : 4,  $d$ : 6,  $e$ : 10)

**Q:** What types of decisions should we make?

- Can we directly give a code for some letter?
- Hard to design a strategy; residual problem is complicated.

- Example Input: ( $a$ : 18,  $b$ : 3,  $c$ : 4,  $d$ : 6,  $e$ : 10)

**Q:** What types of decisions should we make?

- Can we directly give a code for some letter?
- Hard to design a strategy; residual problem is complicated.
- Can we partition the letters into left and right sub-trees?

- Example Input: ( $a: 18, b: 3, c: 4, d: 6, e: 10$ )

**Q:** What types of decisions should we make?

- Can we directly give a code for some letter?
- Hard to design a strategy; residual problem is complicated.
- Can we partition the letters into left and right sub-trees?
- Not clear how to design the greedy algorithm

- Example Input: ( $a$ : 18,  $b$ : 3,  $c$ : 4,  $d$ : 6,  $e$ : 10)

**Q:** What types of decisions should we make?

- Can we directly give a code for some letter?
- Hard to design a strategy; residual problem is complicated.
- Can we partition the letters into left and right sub-trees?
- Not clear how to design the greedy algorithm

**A:** We can choose two letters and make them brothers in the tree.

# Which Two Letters Can Be Safely Put Together As Brothers?

- Focus on the “structure” of the optimum encoding tree

