

Notes on the Unification Algorithm

William J. Rapaport

(Based on material from Chang & Lee 1973.)

Last Update: 28 September 2003

Note: ***NEW*** or ***UPDATED*** material is highlighted

1 Background Definitions and Examples

Definition

Let t be a term (constant, variable, or functional term).

Let v be a variable.

Then v/t is a **binding** (or: **is a variable assignment**) $=_{df}$ t is substituted for v (or: v is assigned, or bound to, t).

- Other notations: t/v , $v := t$, $v \leftarrow t$

Definition

A **substitution** is $_{df}$ a finite set $\theta = \{v_1/t_1, \dots, v_n/t_n\}$ of bindings such that, for all i, j :

1. $t_i \neq v_i$, and
2. if $v_i/t_i \in \theta$ and $v_j/t_j \in \theta$, then $v_i \neq v_j$
(i.e., don't substitute 2 different terms for the same variable).

Definition

Let $\theta = \{v_1/t_1, \dots, v_n/t_n\}$ be a substitution.

Let E be an expression (wff or term).

Then:

1. $E\theta =_{df}$ the expression obtained from E by *simultaneously* (i.e., *in parallel*) replacing each v_i in E by t_i .
2. $E\theta$ is $_{df}$ an **instance** of E .
3. If $W = \{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$, then $W\theta =_{df} \{E_1\theta, \dots, E_n\theta\}$.

- Other notation: $E_{t_1, \dots, t_n}^{v_1, \dots, v_n}$

Example

Suppose $\theta = \{x/a, y/f(b), z/c\}$,

and $E = P(x, y, z)$.

Then $E\theta = E\{x/a, y/f(b), z/c\} = P(a, f(b), c)$.

Definition

Let $\theta = \{x_1/t_1, \dots, x_n/t_n\}$
and $\sigma = \{y_1/u_1, \dots, y_m/u_m\}$ be substitutions.
Then **the composition of θ with σ**

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta \circ \sigma \\ =_{df} & \{x_1/t_1\sigma, \dots, x_n/t_n\sigma, y_1/u_1, \dots, y_m/u_m\} \\ & \boxed{***UPDATE***} - \{x_j/t_j\sigma : t_j\sigma = x_j\} // \text{eliminate "redundant substitutions" } x_j/x_j \\ & - \{y_j/u_i : y_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}\} // \text{eliminate clashes, but let } \theta\text{-as-modified-by-}\sigma \text{ trump } \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

- **Note:**

- is *not* commutative! To see why, let $\theta = \{x/f(y), y/z\}$ and let $\sigma = \{x/a, y/b, z/y\}$.
Then compute $\theta \circ \sigma$ and $\sigma \circ \theta$.

Definition

Let θ be a substitution.
Let E_1, \dots, E_n be expressions.
Then θ is a **unifier** of $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ (and E_1, \dots, E_n are **unifiable**) $=_{df}$ $E_1\theta = \dots = E_n\theta$
(i.e., iff $\{E_1\theta, \dots, E_n\theta\}$ is a singleton).

- I.e., $E_i\theta$ is a “common instance” of E_1, \dots, E_n .

Example

$\theta = \{x/a, y/f(b)\}$ unifies $\{P(a, y), P(x, f(b))\}$.
The common instance is: $P(a, f(b))$.

Definition

Let θ be a unifier of $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$.
Then θ is a **[NOT ‘the’!] most general unifier (MGU) of $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$** $=_{df}$
 $(\forall \text{ (other) unifier } \tau \text{ of } \{E_1, \dots, E_n\})(\exists \text{ substitution } \sigma)[\tau = \theta \circ \sigma]$

- I.e., any (other) unifier τ is the composition of θ with some substitution σ .

2 The Unification Algorithm

Algorithm Unification; // Chang & Lee
input non-empty set of expressions W ;
output $\theta = \text{MGU}(W)$ or failure;
begin

1. $k := 0; W_0 := W; \theta_0 := \{ \}$;
2. **if** W_k is singleton **then return** θ_k
else $DS_k := \text{Disagreement_Set}(W_k)$;
3. **if** $(\exists \text{ var } v_k, \text{ term } t_k \in DS_k)[v_k \text{ does not occur in } t_k]$
 // “occurs-check”
 then begin
 $\theta_{k+1} := \theta_k \circ \{v_k/t_k\}$;
 $W_{k+1} := W_k\{v_k/t_k\}$;
 // i.e., apply substitution to each member of W_k
 // N.B.: $W_{k+1} = W\theta_{k+1}$
 $k := k + 1$;
 goto 2
 end
else return failure

end.

For an example, see <<http://www.cse.buffalo.edu/~rapaport/663/F03/unification.eg.pdf>>

3 Reference

1. Chang, Chin-Liang & Lee, Richard Char-Tung (1973), *Symbolic Logic and Mechanical Theorem Proving* (Academic Press).

©2003, William J. Rapaport
rapaport@cse.buffalo.edu
<http://www.cse.buffalo.edu/~rapaport/563/unification.2003.09.16.pdf>