

Robert C. Moore, “Reasoning about Knowledge and Action”, *IJCAI-77*: 223–227.

Logical Axioms (Definitions):

Def $[[T(w, \alpha)]]$ = The object-language wff $[[\alpha]]$ is true in possible world $[[w]]$.

L1 $\text{True}(\alpha) \equiv T(w_0, \alpha)$

L2 $T(w, (\alpha \text{ And } \beta)) \equiv (T(w, \alpha) \wedge T(w, \beta))$

L3 $T(w, (\alpha \text{ Or } \beta)) \equiv (T(w, \alpha) \vee T(w, \beta))$

L4 $T(w, (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)) \equiv (T(w, \alpha) \supset T(w, \beta))$

L5 $T(w, (\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta)) \equiv (T(w, \alpha) \equiv T(w, \beta))$

L6 $T(w, \text{Not}(\alpha)) \equiv \neg T(w, \alpha)$

Definitions & Axioms for Knowledge:

Def $[[K(a, w, w')]]$ = $[[w']]$ is a world that is possible according to what agent $[[a]]$ knows in world $[[w]]$.

K1 $T(w, \text{Know}(a, \alpha)) \equiv \forall w' [K(a, w, w') \supset T(w', \alpha)]$

K2 $K(a, w, w)$

K3 $K(a, w, w') \supset [K(a, w', w'') \supset K(a, w, w'')]$

K4 $K(a, w, w') \supset [K(a, w, w'') \supset K(a, w', w'')]$

- $\therefore K$ is an equivalence relation for fixed a ;
- \therefore this is an S5 modal (epistemic) logic.

Definitional Axioms for Quantifiers:

L7 $T(w, \text{Exist}(v, \alpha(v))) \equiv \exists x [T(w, \alpha(x/v))]$, for x not free in α .

L8 $T(w, \text{All}(v, \alpha(v))) \equiv \forall x [T(w, \alpha(x/v))]$, for x not free in α .

L9 $T(w, \text{Eq}(t_1, t_2)) \equiv (t_1 = t_2)$

Definitional Axioms for Results of Actions:

Def $[[\text{Res}(e, \alpha)]]$ = it is possible for event $[[e]]$ to occur, & wff $[[\alpha]]$ would be true in the Resulting situation.

Def $[[R(e, w, w')]]$ = $[[w']]$ is a possible world that could result from event $[[e]]$ occurring in world $[[w]]$.

Def $[[\text{Do}(a, c)]]$ = the event consisting of agent $[[a]]$ Doing command $[[c]]$.

R1 $T(w, \text{Res}(e, \alpha)) \equiv (\exists w' [R(e, w, w')] \wedge \forall w' [R(e, w, w') \supset T(w', \alpha)])$

R2 $T(w, \text{Res}(\text{Do}(a, \text{Loop}(\alpha, c)), \beta)) \equiv T(w, \text{Res}(\text{Do}(a, \text{If}(\alpha, (c; \text{Loop}(\alpha, c)), \text{Nil})), \beta))$

R3 $T(w, \text{Res}(\text{Do}(a, \text{If}(\alpha, c, c')), \beta)) \equiv ((T(w, \text{Know}(a, \alpha)) \wedge T(w, \text{Res}(\text{Do}(a, c), \beta))) \vee [T(w, \text{Know}(a, \text{Not}(\alpha))) \wedge T(w, \text{Res}(\text{Do}(a, c'), \beta))])$

R4 $T(w, \text{Res}(\text{Do}(a, (c; c')), \alpha)) \equiv T(w, \text{Res}(\text{Do}(a, c), \text{Res}(\text{Do}(a, c'), \alpha)))$

N1 $R(\text{Do}(a, \text{Nil}), w, w') \equiv (w = w')$

Definition of “Can”

$$\mathbf{C1} \quad T(w, \text{Can}(a, \alpha)) \equiv \exists c [T(w, \text{Know}(a, \text{Res}(\text{Do}(a, c), \alpha)))]$$

Note: The English words ‘can’ and ‘know’ are *etymologically* related in exactly this way! You “can” do something iff you “ken”—i.e., know—how to do it. From *American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language*, at dictionary.com: ‘can’ comes from “Middle English, first and third person sing. present tense of connen, *to know how*.”

Frame Axioms (Definitions) for Dialing Combinations of Safes

$$\mathbf{D1} \quad \exists w' [R(\text{Do}(a, \text{Dial}(x_1, x_2)), w, w') \equiv [T(w, \text{Comb}(x_1)) \wedge T(w, \text{Safe}(x_2)) \wedge T(w, \text{At}(a, x_2))]]$$

$$\mathbf{D2} \quad R(\text{Do}(a, \text{Dial}(x_1, x_2)), w, w') \supset \bullet [T(w, \text{Is-comb-of}(x_1, x_2)) \supset T(w', \text{Open}(x_2))] \\ \wedge [(\neg T(w, \text{Is-comb-of}(x_1, x_2)) \wedge \neg T(w, \text{Open}(x_2))) \supset \neg T(w', \text{Open}(x_2))] \\ \wedge [T(w, \text{Open}(x_2)) \supset T(w', \text{Open}(x_2))]$$

$$\mathbf{D3} \quad R(\text{Do}(a, \text{Dial}(x_1, x_2)), w, w') \supset \bullet [K(a, w', w'') \equiv \bullet \\ [(T(w', \text{Open}(x_2)) \equiv T(w'', \text{Open}(x_2)))] \\ \wedge \exists w''' [K(a, w, w''') \wedge R(\text{Do}(a, \text{Dial}(x_1, x_2)), w''', w'')]]]$$

Facts about Combinations

$$\mathbf{A1} \quad T(w, \text{Is-comb-of}(x_1, x_2)) \supset [T(w, \text{Comb}(x_1)) \wedge T(w, \text{Safe}(x_2))]$$

$$\mathbf{A2} \quad T(w, \text{At}(a, x)) \supset T(w, \text{Know}(a, \text{At}(a, x)))$$

The Problem

$$\mathbf{Given:} \quad \text{True}(\text{At}(\text{John}, \text{safe}_1)) \wedge \text{True}(\text{Exists}(X_1, \text{Know}(\text{John}, \text{Is-comb-of}(X_1, \text{safe}_1))))$$

$$\mathbf{Prove:} \quad \text{True}(\text{Can}(\text{John}, \text{Open}(\text{safe}_1)))$$