

**Prelim II** will be on **Tuesday, April 20**, remotely given in class period. The rules and logistics will be the same as for Prelim I. It will focus on Chapter 2 of the text (Chapter 3 also included, but section 2.4 not included) and the domain of assignments 5–8. But the exam will be “cumulative” insofar as aspects of regular and non-regular languages are involved with CFLs and even Turing machines.

### Reading:

Tuesday’s lecture will cover section 4.1 in depth, not just for the idea of describing Turing machines by prose/pseudocode. The following algorithm in C++/Java-like pseudocode is also sometimes used while converting a CFG  $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$  into Chomsky normal form.

```
bool changed = true;
set<V> NULLABLE; //constructed to be the empty set
while (changed) {
    changed = false;
    for (each rule A->X in R) {
        if (X is in NULLABLE*) {
            NULLABLE = NULLABLE U {A};
            changed = true;
        }
    }
}
output NULLABLE;
```

The while loop always terminates because the set `NULLABLE` can grow by at most the number of variables. This algorithm thus *decides* the *decision problem* “given a CFG  $G$ , is  $\epsilon \in L(G)$ ?”—the answer is yes if and only if the final output `NULLABLE` includes the start symbol  $S$ . So the problem is **decidable**, but Thursday’s lecture will cover the rest of chapter 4 toward showing that some problems are **undecidable**. *Skim* the material on uncountability—I cover the **diagonal language**

$$D_{TM} = \{\langle M \rangle : \langle M \rangle \notin L(M)\}$$

directly as not even being accepted by any Turing machine, let alone decided by one. Then I show how this implies the undecidability of the Halting Problem and others.

**Homework**—part online (TopHat), part written, and all *individual work*:

- (1) Using *TopHat*, the “Worksheet” titled *S21 HW7 Online Part* (10 Qs, 20 pts.)

The other two problems are to be submitted as PDFs using the *CSE Autograder* system.

- (2) Draw on paper a diagram of a Turing machine that recognizes the language  $A = \{a^i b a^j : i < j\}$ . You may draw either a 1-tape TM  $M_1$  such that  $L(M_1) = A$  or a 2-tape TM  $M_2$

such that  $L(M_2) = A$ , but if you take the latter option, you must make  $M_2$  be a deterministic pushdown automaton (coded as a 2-tape TM). (18 pts.)

(3) Define  $L = \{x \in \{a, b\}^* : \#a(x) = \#b(x) \text{ and the two middle chars are "bb"}\}$ .

- (a) Prove using the CFL Pumping Lemma that  $L$  is not a context-free language. (Hint: Consider strings in  $L$  that also belong to  $b^*a^*bba^*b^*$ . 18 pts.)
- (b) Sketch *in prose* (**not** an arc-node diagram) a 2-tape deterministic Turing machine  $M$  such that  $L(M) = L$  and  $M$  runs on  $O(n)$  time. Describe the operation of  $M$  as a finite sequence of “passes” and say what happens in each pass. Note that by (a), your  $M$  cannot be a pushdown automaton. Indicate exactly where in one (or more) of your passes the machine does something a PDA is not allowed to do. (18 + 3 = 21 pts., for 39 on the problem and 77 on the set)