

Top Hat # 8738

Defn: Given any language L (not nec. regular), define

$$X \equiv_L Y \text{ if for all } z \in \Sigma^*, \underline{Xz \in L} \Leftrightarrow \underline{Yz \in L}.$$

This is an equivalence relation

$$L(Xz) = L(Yz) \quad L(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in L \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin L \end{cases}$$

characteristic function of L

Observe: If L is regular and $X \equiv_L Y$, then it is

OK for a DFA M to process X and Y from s to the same state p

for L , i.e. s.t. $L(M) = L$.

Conversely, if M does process X and Y to the same state p , then we better have $X \equiv_L Y$ (else we can't have $L(M) = L$)

Hence, if $X \not\equiv_L Y$ then if M processes X from s to p and processes Y from s to q , the states p, q must differ.

Defn: A subset $S \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is pairwise distinct distinctive (PD) for the language L if for all $x, y \in S$ with $x \neq y$, $x \not\equiv_L y$.

Observe: If L has a PD set S of size $|S|$ then any DFA M s.t. $L(M) = L$ needs to process all members of S to different states, and hence M needs (at least) $|S|$ states. (if any)

thm: If L has a PD set S of size ∞ then any FA M st. $L(M) = L$ needs ... ∞ many states. (2)

addition in terms: \therefore If L has an inf. PD set, it has no DFA. so L is not regular.

in UB ¹⁹⁸⁷ Anil, Cornell MSI ¹⁹⁵⁸

Myhill-Nerode Theorem (first part): Let L be any lang.

There Exists a subset $S \subseteq \Sigma^*$ such that S is infinite and

For All $x, y \in S$ ($x \neq y$)

There Exists a $z \in \Sigma^*$ st. $L(xz) \neq L(yz)$ $x \neq y$

L is not regular.

(Second part is the converse.)

Given any language L to prove nonregular, we can execute the following "pseudocode":

Take $S = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$. [Verify that S is infinite, usually quick]

Let any $x, y \in S$, $x \neq y$, be given. Then we can (without loss of generality) helpfully represent $x = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$, $y = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$. based on the form of the S we took

Take $z = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$. Then $L(xz) \neq L(yz)$ because $\underline{\hspace{2cm}}$.
 fill in reasoning: $xz = \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \in L$ but $yz = \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \notin L$ or vice versa.

Thus S is a PD set for L , and since S is infinite, L is non-regular by MNT. \square

examples $L_1 = \{0^n 1^n : n \geq 0\}$ $L_2 = \{xx : x \in \{0,1\}^*\}$ (next) $L_3 = \text{"spears \& Dragons holding any \# of spears."}$

L_1 : Take $S = \underline{0^*} = \{0^n : n \geq 0\}$. Clearly S is infinite

Let any $x, y \in S, x \neq y$ be given. By the form of S , we can write $x = 0^m, y = 0^n$ where $m \neq n$ (understood: $m \geq 0, n \geq 0$)

Take $z = \underline{1^m}$.

(Side note: wlog (without loss of generality) we can suppose x is the shorter of x and y so we can assume $m < n$.)

Then $xz = 0^m 1^m \in L_1$,
but $yz = 0^n 1^m \notin L_1$ because $n \neq m$.

Note: $L_1 = \{w : w \text{ can be written as } w = 0^{r_1} 1^{r_2} \text{ where } r_1 = r_2\}$

Since $x, y \in S$ are arbitrary

Hence S is PD for L_1 , and since S is infinite, L_1 is not regular!

How about $L_1' = \{x \in \{0,1\}^* : \#0(x) = \#1(x)\}$? Same proof.

L_2 : Take $S = 0^*$, clearly infinite. Let any $x, y \in S, x \neq y$, be given. Then $x = 0^m, y = 0^n$ where $n > m$ wlog. Take

$z = 0^m$? Then $xz = 0^m 0^m \in L_2$ but $yz = 0^m 0^n \notin L_2$ (since $n > m$)
No: could be $\tilde{x} = 000, y = 00000$ ($n=5$)
 $\tilde{y}z = 00000 \cdot 000 = 0000 \cdot 0000 \in L_2$ after all!

Fix: Take $z = \underline{10^m}$. Then

$xz = 0^m \cdot \underline{10^m} = \underline{0^m 1} \cdot 0^m \in L_2$ but
 $yz = 0^n 10^m \notin L_2$ because it can't be written as a double-word by nfr

Could have expressed the intuition better by taking $S = \underline{0^*1}$ to begin with.

L_3 : Take $S = \underline{\* clearly infinite. Let any $x, y \in S, x \neq y$ be given. Then $x = \$^m, y = \n where $n > m$ wlog. Take $z = \underline{D^n}$. Then $xz = \$^m D^n \notin L_3$ since $n > m$ kills, but $yz \in L_3$.