

Let L be a language we "hope" is regular.
 Let x and y be two strings in Σ^* .
 Suppose there is a string $z \in \Sigma^*$ such that

$xz \in L$ but $yz \notin L$ or vice-versa,
 i.e. $xz \notin L$ and $yz \in L$.

$xz \in L \text{ XOR } yz \in L$, i.e. $L(xz) \neq L(yz)$

Then any possible DFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, F)$ st. $L(M) = L$
 must process x and y to different states p, q .
from s

Write $x \equiv_L y$ if for all $z \in \Sigma^*$, $L(xz) = L(yz)$

Then $x \not\equiv_L y$ means $(\exists z \in \Sigma^*) L(xz) \neq L(yz)$
as above

Note: • $x \equiv_L x$
 For any L • $x \equiv_L y \leftrightarrow y \equiv_L x$ because the condition \uparrow .
 and • $w \equiv_L x \wedge x \equiv_L y \Rightarrow$ for all $z \in \Sigma^*$
any $x, y \in \Sigma^*$
 $L(wz) = L(xz) \wedge L(xz) = L(yz)$
 \Rightarrow for all $z \in \Sigma^*$, $L(wz) = L(yz)$, i.e. $\Rightarrow w \equiv_L y$.

Thus \equiv_L is an equivalence relation on Σ^* . ⁽²⁾

It partitions Σ^* into equivalence classes.

There might be finitely or ∞ -many equiv. classes.

$x \not\equiv_L y$ means x and y are in different classes

M. Hill-Nevada Theorem (1958, in depth) ^{independently!} John M. Hill
UB Math
Anil Nevada
(Cornell)

A language L is regular \iff

the relation \equiv_L has finitely many equiv. classes.

Proof: ^(contraposited) \Rightarrow states: if \equiv_L has ∞ -many equiv. classes then L is not regular.

By L having ∞ -many equiv. classes, we can make an infinite set S by choosing one string from each class.

S has the property: $(\forall x, y \in S \text{ st. } x \neq y) \quad x \not\equiv_L y$.

Suppose there were a DFA M st. $L(M) = L$. It would have some finite number K of states. But S has more than (any) K strings, and so M would be forced to process some pair $x, y \in S$ to the same state. Contradiction.

Proof, part 2 (\Leftarrow): \equiv_L has finitely many ⁽³⁾ equiv classes $\Rightarrow L$ is regular.

For every equivalence class $[x]_L$, we can think of a least string in the class as its "shortest name".

Define $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Delta, s, F)$ where

$Q = \{\text{equiv classes}\}$, $s = [\epsilon]$, $F = \{[x] : x \in L\}$
and for all $c \in \Sigma$ and $[x] \in Q$ where x is "the" name

$$\Delta(\underbrace{[x]}_{\text{"The" name}}, c) = \underbrace{[xc]}_{\text{might have a lesser name but it's the same class.}}$$

Then $L(M) = L$.

And if there are only finitely many equiv. classes, then M really is a DFA s.t. $L(M) = L$, so L is regular. \square

Self-study: Convince yourself that for all x, y, c

$$[xc] = [yc] \Leftarrow [x] = [y]$$

This says that " Δ is well defined".