

Homework 2*Instructor: Shi Li***Deadline: 3/18/2019**

Your Name: _____ Your Student ID: _____

| | | | | | |
|------------|----|----|----|----|-------|
| Problems | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Total |
| Max. Score | 10 | 15 | 15 | 40 | 80 |
| Your Score | | | | | |

Problem 1 (10 points) Consider the minimum spanning tree problem, where the input is a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a weight vector $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. For simplicity, we assume all the weights are different. Decide if each of the following strategy is safe or not.

- (1a) Let C be a cycle in G and e^* be the heaviest edge on C . Then we do not include e^* in the spanning tree. (So, in the residual problem, we remove e^* from G .)
- (1b) Let C be a cycle in G and e^* be the lightest edge on C . Then we include e^* in the spanning tree. (So, in the residual problem, we contract e^* in G .)
- (1c) Let $U \subsetneq V, U \neq \emptyset$ be a strict non-empty subset of V and e^* be the lightest edge in E that is between U and $V \setminus U$. Then we include e^* in the spanning tree.
- (1d) Let $U \subsetneq V, U \neq \emptyset$ be a strict non-empty subset of V and e^* be the heaviest edge in E that is between U and $V \setminus U$. Then we do not include e^* in the spanning tree.

If your answer is “not safe” for a strategy, you need to give a counter example. (For safe strategies, saying they are safe is sufficient.)

Problem 2 (15 points) Given a set of n jobs $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$, each job j with a processing time $t_j > 0$ and a weight $w_j > 0$, we need to schedule the n jobs on a machine in some order. Let C_j be the completion time of j on in the schedule. Then the goal of the problem is to find a schedule to minimize the weighted sum of the completion times, i.e., $\sum_{j=1}^n w_j C_j$.

Example. Suppose there are two jobs: the first takes time $t_1 = 1$ and has weight $w_1 = 10$, while the second job takes time $t_2 = 3$ and has weight $w_2 = 2$. Then doing job 1 first would yield a weighted completion time of $10 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 4 = 18$, while doing the second job first would yield the larger weighted completion time of $10 \cdot 4 + 2 \cdot 3 = 46$.

Design an efficient greedy algorithm to solve the problem.

Problem 3(15 points) In the interval covering problem, we are given n intervals $[s_1, t_1), [s_2, t_2), \dots, [s_n, t_n)$ such that $\bigcup_{i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}} [s_i, t_i) = [0, T)$. The goal of the problem is to return a smallest-size set $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $\bigcup_{i \in S} [s_i, t_i) = [0, T)$. Design an efficient greedy algorithm for this problem. You do not need to optimize the running time. So you can simply use the two-step proof:

- (1) Give a simple greedy strategy, and prove it is safe.
- (2) Show that after you made a decision using the strategy, the residual task can be formulated again as an instance of the interval covering problem.

Problem 4(40 points) You need to implement the union-and-find data structure. In this problem, there are n elements numbered from 1 to n . Initially, each element is in a separate partition. You will receive a sequence of operations, each being one of the following:

- **query-size(i).** This operation asks for the size of the partition containing i , i.e, the number of elements in the partition containing i . It does not change the partitioning.
- **merge(i, j).** This operation will merge the two partitions containing i and j . If i and j were already in the same partition, the operation does nothing.

You need to implement the union-and-find data structure that supports the two operations using C++, Java or Python.

Implementation of the algorithm You need to read from the standard input (i.e, the terminal) and output to the standard output (i.e, the screen).

- **Input format:** In the first line of the input, there are two positive integers n and m . n is the number of elements and m is the number of operations given to you. The elements are numbered from 1 to n . You can assume that $1 \leq n \leq 10000$ and $1 \leq m \leq 100000$. In the next m lines, each line is either of the form $Q\ i$, where i is a number between 1 and n , or of the form $M\ i\ j$, where i and j are two different numbers between 1 and n . $Q\ i$ corresponds to the operation query-size(i), and $M\ i\ j$ corresponds to the operation merge(i, j).
- **Output format:** For every query(i) operation, output a single number in a line that answers the query: i.e, output the number of elements in the partition that contains i .

| Input: | Output: | Explanation: |
|--------|---------|-----------------------------------|
| 5 7 | 2 | Initial : {1}, {2}, {3}, {4}, {5} |
| M 1 5 | 3 | M 1 5 : {1, 5}, {2}, {3}, {4} |
| Q 1 | 5 | Q 1 : returns 2 |
| M 5 4 | | M 5 4 : {1, 4, 5}, {2}, {3} |
| M 2 3 | | M 2 3 : {1, 4, 5}, {2, 3} |
| Q 4 | | Q 4 : returns 3 |
| M 1 2 | | M 1 2 : {1, 2, 3, 4, 5} |
| Q 5 | | Q 5 : returns 5 |