#### CSE 632: Analysis of Algorithms II

Lecture 20 (11/03/2017): Ellipsoid Method, Semidefinite Programming Lecturer: Shi Li Scribe: Yunus Esencayi

# 20.1 Semidefinite Programming

A symmetric matrix  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is positive semi-definite(psd) if  $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $y^T x y \ge 0$ . Use  $X \succeq 0$  to denote X is psd.

The following statements are equivalent if X is symmetric.

- 1.  $X \succeq 0$
- 2. X has non-negative eigenvalues.
- 3.  $X = V^T V$  for some  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, m \leq n$ .
- 4.  $X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i w_i w_i^T$  for some  $\lambda_i \ge 0, \forall i, \{w_i\}_i$  is orthonormal basis.

#### Semi-definite Programming (SDP)

There are two forms.

Form 1:

$$\max/\min \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} \cdot x_{i,j}$$
  
such that 
$$\sum_{i,j} a_{k,i,j} \cdot x_{i,j} \ge b_k \; (\forall k)$$
$$x_{i,j} = x_{j,i} \; (\forall i, j)$$
$$X = (x_{i,j})_{i,j} \text{ is psd.}$$

To use ellipsoid method to solve SDP form 1, we need:

- a seperation oracle O

- if X is not psd, find y such that  $y^T x y < 0$ , return the constraint  $(yy^T) x \ge 0$ .

**Lemma 20.1**  $X = (X_{i,j})_{i,j}$  is psd  $\iff \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n, (yy^T). X \ge 0.$ 

**Proof:** Define 
$$A.B = \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j}B_{i,j}$$
.  
 $y^T xy = \sum_{i,j} y_i . x_{i,j} . y_j = \sum_{i,j} y_i . y_j . x_{i,j} = (yy^T) . X$ 

Form 2: (Vector Programming)

$$\max \min \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} < v_i, v_j >$$
  
such that 
$$\sum_{i,j} a_{k,i,j} < v_i, v_j > \ge b_k \; (\forall k)$$
$$v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n \; (\forall i = 1, 2, ..., n)$$

### How to convert from SDP form 2 to form 1:

Given  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ , define  $x_{i,j} = \langle v_i, v_j \rangle$ ,

then 
$$X = \begin{pmatrix} \dots v_1 \dots \\ \dots v_2 \dots \\ \vdots \\ \dots v_n \dots \end{pmatrix}$$
.  $\begin{pmatrix} \ddots & \ddots & \dots & \ddots \\ \ddots & \ddots & \dots & \ddots \\ v_1 & v_2 & \dots & v_n \\ \ddots & \ddots & \dots & \ddots \\ \ddots & \ddots & \dots & \ddots \\ \ddots & \ddots & \dots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$  is psd.

## How to convert from SDP form 1 to form 2:

Given a psd  $X, X = V^T V,$ 

let 
$$V = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \end{pmatrix}$$
 then,  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  gives a solution form 2.

## Maximum Weight Cut Problem

Given G = (V, E),  $\{w_e\}_{e \in E}$ , find  $S \subseteq V$  so as to maximize  $\sum_{(u,v) inE:|\{u,v\} \cap S|=1} w_{(u,v)}$ .

### Quadratic Programming for Maximum Weighted Cut

 $\forall v \in V$ , define

$$y_v = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v \in S \\ -1 & \text{if } v \notin S \end{cases}$$

So, the quadratic programming will be as follows:

$$\max \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j)\in E} (1 - y_i y_j)$$
  
such that  $y_i^2 = 1 \ (\forall i \in V)$ 

SDP relaxation can be formulated as follows:

$$\max \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j)\in E} (1 - \langle v_i, v_j \rangle)$$
  
such that  $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 1 \ (\forall i \in V)$   
 $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n \ (\forall i \in V)$ 

Let  $Z_{up}$  be the value of SDP relaxation and OPT be the value of the optimum solution. Since SDP was defined on a larger set, we can say that  $Z_{up} \ge OPT$ .

Our goal is to find a solution S of value  $\geq \alpha Z_{up}$  for some  $\alpha$ .

Choose  $r_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  for each  $i \in V$ .  $r = (r_1, r_2, ..., r_n)$ Let  $i \in S$ , if  $\langle r, v_i \rangle \geq 0$  and  $i \notin S$ , if  $\langle r, v_i \rangle < 0$ .

$$\Rightarrow prob[(i, j) \text{ is cut}] = \frac{arccos < v_i, v_j > \pi}{\pi}$$

Let P be the plane containing  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ , then the direction of r projected to P is uniform distributed.

$$\frac{\arccos < v_i, v_j >}{(1 - \langle v_i, v_j \rangle)/2} \ge \alpha_{GW} := \inf_{x \in [-1,1]} \frac{\arccos/\pi}{(1 - x)/2} \approx -0.878$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{value of } S] = \sum_{(i,j)\in E} w_{(i,j)} \cdot \frac{\arccos \langle v_i, v_j \rangle}{\pi} \ge \alpha_{GW} \cdot \sum_{(i,j)\in E} w_{(i,j)} \cdot \frac{1 - \langle v_i, v_j \rangle}{2} = \alpha_{GW} \cdot Z_{up} \ge \alpha_{GW} \cdot OPT$$