

# CSI 436/536 Introduction to Machine Learning

#### **Basic Neural Networks**

Professor Siwei Lyu Computer Science University at Albany, State University of New York

#### Neural networks



## Perceptron

• A single artificial neuron with step-function as activation function is known as *perceptron* y = 1

• 
$$f(x; w, b) = \operatorname{sign}(w^{\mathsf{T}}x + b)$$

- Same as linear classification function for LDA, logistic regression, and linear SVM
- online training algorithm
  - first developed by McClum & Pitts in the 1950s
  - An instance of online stochastic gradient descent algorithm
  - convergence is theoretically guaranteed





### Perceptron algorithm



 $\mathbf{end}$ 



## Perceptron algorithm

- A very important algorithm for many reasons
  - Online stochastic gradient algorithm
  - Consistent with "Hebbian learning", fixing error by compensating in the same direction
- Problems
  - (Minsky & Pappert 1962) linear classifier cannot separate XOR type of data (non-separable)







Marvin L. Minsky Seymour A. Paper

## Multi-layer perceptron



## Back propagation

- Training method for multi-layer perceptrons, or feedforward neural networks
- developed by Rumelhart, Hinto and Williams in 1980s



- treat NN as a parametric function input to output
- use training data (input-output pairs) to perform supervise training
- minimize training error (measured by a loss function) with regards to the NN
- dynamic programming computation of the gradient to the parameter

## feedforward neural network

- feed-forward network = a parameterized family of nonlinear functions
- adjusting weights changes the function: this is how NN is trained



- Computing graph (DAG)
  - input layer
    - input weights
    - hidden layers
      - activation
      - hidden weights
  - output layer

$$a_5 = g(W_{3,5} \cdot a_3 + W_{4,5} \cdot a_4) = g(W_{3,5} \cdot g(W_{1,3} \cdot a_1 + W_{2,3} \cdot a_2) + W_{4,5} \cdot g(W_{1,4} \cdot a_1 + W_{2,4} \cdot a_2)$$

### Compute gradient

 Network structure x: input data, y: target variable, for i=2,...m

h<sub>i</sub>: i-th layer output  $h_i(x) = g(w_i^T h_{i-1}(x))$ w<sub>i</sub>: network weight of i-th layer (matrix)

- loss function  $L(y, h_n(x))$ : L<sub>2</sub> loss, log likelihood, crossentropy, etc
- Learning objective: with training data  $\min_{w_1, \dots, w_n} L(w_1, \dots, w_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n L(y_k, h_n(x_k))$



## Optimization by gradient

- The learning objective is  $\min_{W} L(W) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} L(y_k, h_n(x_k))$
- We perform stochastic gradient optimization
  - Initializing W<sup>(0)</sup>
  - Iterate until convergence  $W^{(t)} = W^{(t-1)} - \eta_t \nabla L(W^{(t-1)})$
  - $\nabla L(W^{(t-1)})$  is the gradient of loss function w.r.t network parameter

• 
$$\eta_t = \frac{\eta_0}{t+1}$$
 is the step size

• the key to compute  $\nabla L(W^{(t-1)})$  is the *chain rule* in calculus



## Computation graph

- computation graph: a directed acyclic graph
  - node: variables (inputs and outputs of neurons)
  - edge: dependencies of variables
  - $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  are children of x







$$a_{5} = g(W_{3,5} \cdot a_{3} + W_{4,5} \cdot a_{4})$$
  
=  $g(W_{3,5} \cdot g(W_{1,3} \cdot a_{1} + W_{2,3} \cdot a_{2}) + W_{4,5} \cdot g(W_{1,4} \cdot a_{1} + W_{2,4} \cdot a_{2}))$ 

## Chain rule

- Chain rule:
  - Multiplication along one route
  - Addition for different branch
- This is a type of algebra rules that can be automated
- Modern ML/NN packages provide automatic gradient derivations
  - Theano, PyTorch, TensorFlow



## BP algorithm

- BP = gradient descent update, so we need to compute gradient of weights of each layer
- gradient of loss function w.r.t. w<sub>i</sub> using <u>chain rule</u>  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial h_n} \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial h_{n-1}} \cdots \frac{\partial h_{i+1}}{\partial h_i} \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial w_i} \xrightarrow{x \longrightarrow h_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow h_n \longrightarrow \cdots}$ recursion  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial h_{i-1}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial h_i} \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial h_{i-1}}$ **W**1 Wn and  $\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \stackrel{\stackrel{\stackrel{\stackrel{}}{\rightarrow} h_1}{\longleftarrow}}{\longleftarrow} \frac{\partial I}{\partial h_1} \stackrel{\stackrel{\stackrel{\stackrel{}}{\rightarrow} h_2}{\longleftarrow}}{\longleftarrow} \dots \stackrel{\stackrel{\stackrel{}}{\leftarrow} \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial h_{n-1}}}{\longleftarrow} \frac{\partial I}{\partial h_n}$  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial h_i} \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial w_i}$  $\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial w_1}$ ∂h<sub>n</sub> ∂wn
  - Dynamic programming to reduce computation

## BP algorithm

• BP algorithm compute  $\partial L/\partial h_n$  [this shows one step in the iteration over all data and until convergence] for i = n:-1:1 (back propagation) gradient computation:  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial h_i} \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial w_i}$ update current value of w<sub>i</sub> with  $-\eta_t \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_i}$ error propagation  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial h_{i-1}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial h_i} \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial h_{i-1}}$  $\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial w_1} \bigvee \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial w_n} \bigvee$ •••

#### Compute gradient

• Each layer  $h_i(x) = g(w_i^T h_{i-1}(x))$ 



#### drawbacks of BP-trained MLP

Vanishing gradient

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial h_n} \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial h_{n-1}} \cdots \frac{\partial h_{i+1}}{\partial h_i} \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial w_i}$$

- The gradient will vanish after several layers of BP
  - Squashing nonlinearity like sigmoid or tanh reduce the range of the values
  - Multiplying smaller values eventually reduce the update to zero (below numerical precision)
- No NN can be effectively trained up to 3 layers so not very deep model can be used
- This is one reason NN lost favor in ML in late 1990s, which paved the way to SVM

## gradient check

- NN code is difficult to debug
- gradient check is a simple trick to make sure no bug in the implementation
  - implement gradient
  - implement a finite difference computation by looping through the parameters of your network, adding and subtracting a small epsilon (~10<sup>-4</sup>) and estimate derivatives

$$g_i(\theta) \approx \frac{J(\theta^{(i+)}) - J(\theta^{(i-)})}{2 \times \text{EPSILON}}.$$
  $\theta^{(i+)} = \theta + \text{EPSILON} \times \vec{e_i}$ 

• compare the two and make sure they are almost the same

## Deriving gradient check

- Taylor expansion  $f(x+\epsilon) = f(x) + \epsilon \nabla f(x) + 0.5\epsilon^{T} \nabla^{2} f(x)\epsilon + O(\epsilon^{3})$   $f(x-\epsilon) = f(x) - \epsilon \nabla f(x) + 0.5\epsilon^{T} \nabla^{2} f(x)\epsilon + O(\epsilon^{3})$
- So if we use (f(x+ε) -f(x))/ε we have second order error, while if we use (f(x+ε) -f(x-ε))/2ε we only have third order error