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## Pairwise ranking problem

－Based on paper＂A graph interpretation of the least squares ranking method＂by Laszalo Csato
－Problem of ranking
－Get n items and we would like to rank them based on some pairwise comparisons，not all pairs are compared

CSRankings：Computer Science Rankings

```
CSRankings is a metrics-based ranking of top computer science institutions around the world. Click on a triangle ( ) to expand areas or institutions. Click on a name to go t
faculty member's home page. Click on a pie (the O after a name or institution) to see their publication profile as a pie chart. Click on a Google Scholar icon ($) to see
publications, and click on the DBLP logo ( ) to go to a DBLP entry.
Applying to grad school? Read this first.
Rank institutions in the USA 全 by publications from 2010 甾 to 2020 人
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline All Areas［offlon］ & & 2 & －Massachusetts Institute of Technology 0 & 12.3 & 88 \\
\hline Al［off I on］ & & 3 & －Univ．of Illinois at Urbana－Champaign 0 & 11.2 & 96 \\
\hline －Artificial intelligence & \(\checkmark\) & 4 & －Stanford University 0 & 10.5 & 63 \\
\hline －Computer vision & \(\nabla\) & 5 & －University of California－Berkeley 0 & 9.5 & 84 \\
\hline －Natural language processing & \(\stackrel{\square}{*}\) & 6 & －University of Washington \(\bigcirc\) & 9.2 & 66 \\
\hline －The Web \＆information retrieval & \(\nabla\) & 7 & －Cornell University 0 & 9.0 & 74 \\
\hline Systems［off I on］ & & 8 & －University of Michigan 0 & 8.8 & 76 \\
\hline －Computer architecture & \(\nabla\) & 9 & －University of California－San Diego 0 & 8.3 & 67 \\
\hline －Computer networks & 0 & 10 & －University of Maryland－College Park O & 7.4 & 67 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
－Computer security \\
－Databases
\end{tabular} & \(\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}\) & 11 & －Georgia Institute of Technology 0 & 7.2 & 87 \\
\hline －Design automation & 0 & 12 & －University of Wisconsin－Madison \(\bigcirc\) & 6.5 & 52 \\
\hline －Embedded \＆real－time systems & \(\nabla\) & 13 & －Columbia University O & 6.3 & 49 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
－High－performance computing \\
－Mobile computing
\end{tabular} & 0 & 14 & －Northeastern University 0 & 6.1 & 66 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```


## Problem setting

- Objective function $\min _{r} \sum_{i j} m_{i j}\left(r_{i}-r_{j}-q_{i j}\right)^{2}$
- $q_{i j}=-q_{j i}$, for items $i$ and $j$, as their comparative scores, we denote the set of all such pairs as $S$.
- $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{ij}}=1$ if $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}) \in \mathrm{S}$, and 0 if $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}) \notin \mathrm{S}$.
- If there is no comparison, don't care the error
- $r_{i}$ is the rank of the ith item
- We denote $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{qij}$ if $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}) \in \mathrm{S}$, and 0 if $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}) \notin \mathrm{S}$.
- Matrix M is anti-symmetric, i.e, $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}}=-\mathrm{M}$


## Derivation

- Expand the objective function

$$
\sum_{i j} m_{i j}\left(r_{i}-r_{j}-q_{i j}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i j} m_{i j}\left(r_{i}-r_{j}\right)^{2}-2 \sum_{i j} M_{i j}\left(r_{i}-r_{j}\right)
$$

- First term

$$
\sum_{i, j} m_{i j}\left(r_{i}-r_{j}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i j} m_{i j} r_{i}^{2}-2 \sum_{i j} m_{i j} r_{i} r_{j}+\sum_{i j} m_{i j} r_{j}^{2}=2 \sum_{i} r_{i}^{2} \sum_{j} m_{i j}-2 \sum_{i j} m_{i j} r_{i} r_{j}
$$

- Introduce a diagonal matrix D with $D_{i i}=\sum_{j} m_{i j}$
- Matrix $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{ij}}$
- Then this becomes $2 r^{T}(D-A) r$
- The second term (using anti-symmetry of M)

$$
2 \sum_{i j} M_{i j}\left(r_{i}-r_{j}\right)=2 \sum_{i j} M_{i j} r_{i}-2 \sum_{i j} M_{i j} r_{j}=2\left(1^{T} M^{T} r-1^{T} M r\right)=4 \cdot 1^{T} M^{T} r
$$

- The objective function becomes $2 r^{T}(D-A) r-4 \cdot 1^{T} M^{T} r$


## LLSE ranking algorithm

- Minimizing $2 r^{T}(D-A) r-4 \cdot 1^{T} M^{T} r$, ignoring constants, we get solution given by $(D-A) r-M 1=0$
- The relative ranking vector r is given by solving $(D-A) r=M 1$
- We can derive a linear ranking function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{x}$, the corresponding problem becomes $\min _{w} \sum_{i j} m_{i j}\left(w^{T} x_{i}-w^{T} x_{j}-q_{i j}\right)^{2}$
- The vector version of the objective is then $\min 2 w^{T} X(D-A) X^{T} w-4 w^{T} X M 1$, and the solution is w
given by $X(D-A) X^{T} w=X M 1$
- This is known as LLSE ranking solution


## Graph interpretation

- Construct a graph G with each data point a node
- If there is a comparison between node ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) then we put a pair of directed edges between them
- The weights on the edges are given by $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{ij}}$
- Matrix $A$ is the adjacency matrix of this graph
- Every weighted undirected graph is determined uniquely by a matrix
- Matrix L = D-A is the graph Laplacian of G
- There is an intimate relation between graph theory and linear algebra

- We seem more of this for spectral clustering

