







CSE 486/586, Spring 2014













## **Failure Detector Properties**

- What do you mean a failure detector is "correct"?
- Completeness = every process failure is eventually detected (no misses)
- Accuracy = every detected failure corresponds to a crashed process (no mistakes)
- · What is a protocol that is 100% complete?
- What is a protocol that is 100% accurate?
- · Completeness and Accuracy
  - Can both be guaranteed 100% in a synchronous distributed
  - system (with reliable message delivery in bounded time)
  - Can never be guaranteed simultaneously in an asynchronous distributed system

🚿 – Why?

CSE 486/586, Spring 2014

#### Completeness and Accuracy in Asynchronous Systems • Impossible because of arbitrary message delays, message losses – If a heartbeat/ack is dropped (or several are dropped) from pj, then pj will be mistakenly detected as failed => inaccurate detection – How large would the T waiting period in ping-ack or 3\*T waiting period in heartbeating, need to be to obtain 100% accuracy? – In asynchronous systems, delay/losses on a network link are impossible to distinguish from a faulty process • Heartbeating – satisfies completeness but not accuracy (why?)

 Ping-Ack – satisfies completeness but not accuracy (why?)

CSE 486/586, Spring 2014

## Completeness or Accuracy? (in Asynchronous System)

- Most failure detector implementations are willing to tolerate some inaccuracy, but require 100% completeness.
- Plenty of distributed apps designed assuming 100% completeness, e.g., p2p systems

   "Err on the side of caution".
  - Processes not "stuck" waiting for other processes
- But it's ok to mistakenly detect once in a while since

   the victim process need only rejoin as a new process
- Both Hearbeating and Ping-Ack provide
- Probabilistic accuracy (for a process detected as failed, with some probability close to 1.0 (but not equal), it is true that it has actually crashed).

CSE 486/586, Spring 2014

#### Failure Detection in a Distributed System • That was for one process pj being detected and of

- That was for one process pj being detected and one process pi detecting failures
- Let's extend it to an entire distributed system
- Difference from original failure detection is
   We want failure detection of not merely one process (*pj*), but all processes in system

CSE 486/586, Spring 2014

CSE 486/586 Administrivia

- · PA2 will be out by this weekend.
- Please use Piazza; all announcements will go there. – If you want an invite, let me know.
- Please come to my office during the office hours!
   Give feedback about the class, ask questions, etc.

# Failure Detection in a Distributed System

- That was for one process pj being detected and one process pi detecting failures
- · Let's extend it to an entire distributed system
- Difference from original failure detection is

   We want failure detection of not merely one process (*pj*), but
   *all* processes in system
- · Any idea?

CSE 486/586, Spring 2014

CSE 486/586, Spring 2014

















## **Other Failure Types**

#### Arbitrary failures

- Arbitrary process failure: arbitrarily omits intended processing steps or takes unintended processing steps.
- Arbitrary channel failures: messages may be corrupted, duplicated, delivered out of order, incur extremely large delays; or non-existent messages may be delivered.
- · Above two are Byzantine failures, e.g., due to hackers, man-in-the-middle attacks, viruses, worms, etc.
- · A variety of Byzantine fault-tolerant protocols have been designed in literature!

CSE 486/586, Spring 2014

## **Omission and Arbitrary Failures**

Class of failure	Affects	Description
Fail-stop	Process	Process halts and remains halted. Other processes may detect this state.
Omission	Channel	A message inserted in an outgoing message buffer never arrives at the other end's incoming message buffer.
Send-omission	Process	A process completes asend, but the message is not put in its outgoing message buffer.
Receive-omissio	nProcess	A message is put in a process's incoming message buffer, but that process does not receive it.
Arbitrary (Byzantine)	Process or channel	Process/channel exhibits arbitrary behaviour: it may send/transmit arbitrary messages at arbitrary times, commit omissions; a process may stop or take an incorrect step.

## Summary

- Failure detectors are required in distributed systems to keep system running in spite of process crashes
- Properties completeness & accuracy, together unachievable in asynchronous systems but achievable in synchronous systems
  - Most apps require 100% completeness, but can tolerate inaccuracy
- · 2 failure detector algorithms heartbeating and ping
- · Distributed FD through heartbeating: centralized, ring, all-to-all
- · Metrics: bandwidth, detection time, scale, accuracy
- Other types of failures
- · Next: the notion of time in distributed systems

CSE 486/586, Spring 2014

## Acknowledgements

These slides contain material developed and copyrighted by Indranil Gupta at UIUC.

CSE 486/586, Spring 2014