



Paxos Phase 1

- A proposer chooses its proposal number N and sends a *prepare request* to acceptors.
- "Hey, have you accepted any proposal yet?"
- An acceptor needs to reply:
 - If it accepted anything, the accepted proposal and its value with the highest proposal number less than N
 - A promise to not accept any proposal numbered less than N any more (to make sure that it doesn't alter the result of the reply).

CSE 486/586, Spring 2014

Pacos Phase 2
Is a proposer receives a reply from a majority, it suds an *accept request* with the proposal (N, V).
Is the value from the highest proposal number N from the pipes (i.e., the accepted proposals returned from acceptors upase 1).
Is the accepted proposal was returned in phase 1, a new calce to propose.
Is the accepted proposal was returned in phase 1, a new calce to propose.
Is the accepted proposal was returned in phase 1, a new calce to propose.
Is the accepted proposal was returned in phase 1, a new calce to propose.
Is the accepted proposal was returned in phase 1, a new calce to propose.
Is the accepted proposal was returned in phase 1, a new calce to propose.
Is the accepted proposal was returned in phase 1, a new calce to propose.
Is the accepted proposal was returned in phase 1, a new calce to propose.
Is the accepted proposal was returned in phase 1, a new calce to propose.
Is the accepted propose of the phase to phase to

Paxos Phase 3

- Learners need to know which value has been chosen.
- Many possibilities
- One way: have each acceptor respond to all learners
 Might be effective, but expensive
- · Another way: elect a "distinguished learner"
- Acceptors respond with their acceptances to this process
- This distinguished learner informs other learners.
- Failure-prone
- · Mixing the two: a set of distinguished learners

CSE 486/586, Spring 2014

What We'll Do Today

- · Derive the requirements we want to satisfy.
- · See how Paxos satisfies these requirements.
- This process shows you how to come up with a distributed protocol that has clearly stated correctness conditions.
 - No worries about corner cases!
 - We can learn what Paxos is covering and what it's not.

CSE 486/586, Spring 2014

Review: Assumptions & Goals

- The network is asynchronous with message delays.
- The network can *lose or duplicate* messages, but *cannot corrupt* them.
- Processes can crash and recover.
- Processes are non-Byzantine (only crash-stop).
- Processes have *permanent storage*.
- Processes can propose values.
- The goal: every process agrees on a value out of the proposed values.

CSE 486/586, Spring 2014

Review: Desired Properties

- · Safety
 - Only a value that has been proposed can be chosen
 - Only a single value is chosen
 - A process never learns that a value has been chosen unless it has been

CSE 486/586, Spring 2014

- · Liveness
- Some proposed value is eventually chosen
 - If a value is chosen, a process eventually learns it

Review: Roles of a Process

- Three roles
- Proposers: processes that propose values
- Acceptors: processes that accept values
- Majority acceptance \rightarrow choosing the value
- Learners: processes that learn the outcome (i.e., chosen value)
- In reality, a process can be any one, two, or all three.

CSE 486/586, Spring 2014















Strengthening P2

- · Let's see how a protocol can guarantee P2. P2. If a proposal with value V is chosen, then every higher-numbered proposal that is chosen has value V.
- · First, to be chosen, a proposal must be accepted by an acceptor.
- · So we can strengthen P2:
- P2a. If a proposal with value V is chosen, then every higher-numbered proposal accepted by any acceptor has value V.
- · By doing this, we have change the requirement to be something that acceptors need to guarantee.

CSE 486/586, Spring 2014

20

Strengthening P2

- · Guaranteeing P2a might be difficult because of P1: P1. An acceptor must accept the first proposal that it
 - receives. - P2a. If a proposal with value V is chosen, then every higher-
 - ed proposal accepted by any acceptor has value V.
- · We might violate P2a if we guarantee P1.
 - A proposer might propose a different value with a higher proposal number.
- Scenario
 - A value V is chosen.
 - An acceptor C never receives any proposal (due to asynchrony)
 - A proposer fails, recovers, and issues a different proposal with a higher number and a different value.

21

- C accepts it (violating P2a).

CSE 486/586, Spring 2014





CSE 486/586, Spring 2014



"Invariant" to Maintain

- proposal numbered less than N or,
- (B) V is the value of the highest-numbered proposal among all proposals numbered less than N accepted by the acceptors in S.

CSE 486/586. Spring 2014

Paxos Phase 1

- A proposer chooses its proposal number N and sends a prepare request to acceptors.
- Maintains P2c:
 - P2c. For any V and N, if a proposal with value V and number N is issued, then there is a set S consisting of a majority of acceptors such that either (a) no acceptor in S has accepted or will accept any proposal numbered less than N or (b) V is the value of the highest-numbered proposal among all proposals numbered less than N accepted by the acceptors in S.
- · Acceptors need to reply:
 - A promise to not accept any proposal numbered less than N any more (to make sure that the protocol doesn't deal with old proposals)
 - If there is, the accepted proposal with the highest number less than N

CSE 486/586, Spring 2014



Paxos Phase 3

- Learners need to know which value has been chosen.
- · Many possibilities
- One way: have each acceptor respond to all learners
 Might be effective, but expensive
- · Another way: elect a "distinguished learner"
- Acceptors respond with their acceptances to this process
 This distinguished learner informs other learners.
 Failure-prone
- · Mixing the two: a set of distinguished learners

CSE 486/586, Spring 2014







CSE 486/586, Spring 2014

Acknowledgements

These slides contain material developed and copyrighted by Indranil Gupta (UIUC).

CSE 486/586, Spring 2014

31