



Handling Abort() with Interleaving

• What can go wrong? TransactionV: TransactionW: a.withdraw(100); aBranch.branchTotal() a.withdraw(100); \$100 b.deposit(100) \$100 b.deposit(100) \$300 total = a.getBalance() \$100 total = total+b.getBalance() \$400





С





Using Exclusive Locks

· Two phase locking

- To satisfy serial equivalence
- First phase (growing phase): new locks are acquired
- Second phase (shrinking phase): locks are only released
- A transaction is not allowed to acquire any new lock, once it has released any one lock
- Strict two phase locking
 - To further satisfy strict execution, i.e., to handle abort() & failures
 - Locks are only released at the end of the transaction, either at commit() or abort(), i.e., the second phase is only executed at commit() or abort().
- The first example shown before does both. But the second example does neither.

CSE 486/586

















Two-Version Locking

- This allows for more concurrency than read-write locks.
- · Writing transactions risk waiting when commit
- Read operations wait only if another transaction is committing the same object
- Read operations of one transaction can cause a delay in the committing of other transactions

CSE 486/586

Summary

Strict Execution

- Delaying both their read and write operations on an object until all transactions that previously wrote that object have either committed or aborted
- Strict execution with exclusive locks
 - Strict 2PL
- Increasing concurrency
 - Non-exclusive locks
 - Two-version locks

- Etc.

CSE 486/586

20

