CSE 486/586 Distributed Systems
Reliable Multicast --- 1

Steve Ko
Computer Sciences and Engineering

## Today's Question

- How do a group of processes communicate?
- Unicast (best effort or reliable)
- One-to-one: Message from process $p$ to process $q$.
- Best effort: message may be delivered, but will be intact
- Reliable: message will be delivered
- Broadcast
- One-to-all: Message from process $p$ to all processes
- Impractical for large networks
- Multicast
- One-to-many: "Local" broadcast within a group $g$ of processes (e.g., $m$ processes out of $n$ total processes)
- What are the issues?
- Processes crash (we assume crash-stop)
- Messages get delayed ${ }_{\text {CSE 486/586 }}$


## Last Time

- Global states
- A union of all process states
- Consistent global state vs. inconsistent global state
- The "snapshot" algorithm
- Take a snapshot of the local state
- Broadcast a "marker" msg to tell other processes to record
- Start recording all msgs coming in for each channel until receiving a "marker"
- Outcome: a consistent global state

Why: Examples


## Why: Examples

- Akamai's Configuration Management System (called ACMS)
- A core group of 3-5 servers.
- Continuously multicast to each other the latest updates.
- After an update is reliably multicast within this group, it is then sent out to all the ( 1000 s of) servers Akamai has all over the world.
- Air Traffic Control System
- Commands by one ATC need to be ordered (and reliable) multicast out to other ATC's.
- Newsgroup servers
- Multicast to each other in a reliable and ordered manner.

The Interface
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## Basic Multicast (B-multicast)

- A straightforward way to implement B-multicast is to use a reliable one-to-one send (unicast) operation:
- B-multicast $(g, m)$ : for each process $p$ in $g$, send $(p, m)$.
- receive $(m)$ : B-deliver $(m)$ at $p$.
- Guarantees?
- All processes in $g$ eventually receive every multicast message...
- ... as long as the sender doesn't crash
- This guarantee is not so good.
-What guarantees do we want?


## What: Reliable Multicast Goals

- These are refined from liveness and safety categories for the context of reliable multicast.
- Integrity: A correct (i.e., non-faulty) process $p$ delivers a message $m$ at most once.
- "Non-faulty": doesn't deviate from the protocol \& alive - Safety or liveness?
- Agreement: If a correct process delivers message $m$, then all the other correct processes in group ( $m$ ) will eventually deliver $m$.
- Property of "all or nothing."
- Validity: If a correct process multicasts (sends) message $m$, then it will eventually deliver $m$ itself.
- Guarantees liveness to the sender.
- Validity and agreement together ensure overall liveness: if some correct process multicasts a message $m$, then, all correct processes deliver $m$ too.
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## What: Properties to Consider

- Often times, a distributed system cares about at least two categories of properties.
- Liveness: guarantee that something good will happen eventually
- For the initial state, there is a reachable state where the predicate becomes true.
- "Guarantee of termination" is a liveness property
- Safety: guarantee that something bad will never happen
- For any state reachable from the initial state, the predicate is false.
- Deadlock avoidance algorithms provide safety
- It is important to think about liveness and safety in your system \& context.
- Liveness and safety are used in many other CS contexts.


## Reliable Multicast Overview

- Keep a history of messages for at-most-once delivery
- Everyone repeats multicast upon a receipt of a message.
- Why? For agreement \& validity.
- Even if the sender crashes, as long as there is one process that receives, it's all good since that process is going to repeat.


## Reliable R-Multicast Algorithm

On initialization
Received $:=\{ \} ;$


For process $p$ to $R$-multicast message $m$ to group $g$ B-multicast ( $g, m$ );
( $p \in g$ is included as destination)
On B-deliver( $m$ ) at process $q$ with $g=\operatorname{group}(m)$
if ( $m \notin$ Received) :
Received := Received $\cup\{m\} ;$
if $(q \neq p)$ :
B-multicast $(g, m)$;
R-deliver( $m$ )

## Reliable R-Multicast Algorithm

## On initialization

Received := \{\};
For process $p$ to $R$-multicast message $m$ to group $g$ B-multicast $(g, m)$;
( $p \in g$ is included as destination)
On B-deliver( $m$ ) at process $q$ with $g=\operatorname{group}(m)$
if ( $m \notin$ Received): Integrity
Received := Received $\cup\{m\}$;
if $(q \neq p)$ :
B-multicast( $g, m$ ); Agreement
R-deliver( $m$ ) Validity

## CSE 486/586 Administrivia

## - PA1 grading is done.

- Grades will be posted today after my office hours.
- Will accept re-grading requests from next week, just during that week.
- Come to see a TA during the following hours and only the following hours:
» Tuesdays $1 \mathrm{pm}-4 \mathrm{pm}$
» Wednesdays $2 \mathrm{pm}-5 \mathrm{pm}$
» Thursdays 9 am-12 pm
» Fridays 9am-12pm
- Bring your laptop for re-grading. If you don't have a laptop, write a private Piazza post and ask what to do.
- PA2A due this Friday


## Example: Bulletin Board

| Bulletin board: Os.interesting |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Item | From | Subject |
| 23 | A.Hanlon | Mach |
| 24 | G.Joseph | Microkernels |
| 25 | A.Hanlon | Re: Microkernels |
| 26 | T.L.Heureux | RPC performance |
| 27 | M.Walker | Re: Mach |

- Authors are message senders.
- The delivery order determines the display order.

- What is the ideal ordering that you want?
- What are the important orderings that you must have?
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## Ordered Multicast Problem



- Assume a delivery mechanism: deliver as soon as you receive
- What is the order of delivery at each process?
- Will this mess up anything?


## Ordered Multicast Problem



- We can have different delivery mechanisms.
- We don't have to deliver as soon as we receive a message.
- Three meaningful types of ordering
- FIFO, Causal, Total


## FIFO Ordering

- Preserving the process (sender) order
- The message delivery order at each receiving process should preserve the message sending order from each sender. But each process can deliver in a different order overall.
- For example,
- P1: m0, m1, m2
- P2: m3, m4, m5
- P3: m6, m7, m8
- Now, each process will receive \& deliver all, from m 0 to m 8 .


## - FIFO?

- P1: m0, m3, m6, m1, m4, m7, m2, m5, m8
- P2: m0, m4, m6, m1, m3, m7, m2, m5, m8
- P3: m6, m7, m8, m0, m1, m2, m3, m4, m5 CSE 486/586


## Causal Ordering

- Preserving the happened-before relations
- The message delivery order at each receiving process should preserve the happened-before relations across all processes. But each process can deliver in a different order overall.
- For example,
- P1: m0, m1, m2
- P2: m3, m4, m5
- P3: m6, m7, m8
- Cross-process happened-before: $\mathrm{m} 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~m} 4, \mathrm{~m} 5 \rightarrow \mathrm{~m} 8$
- Causal?
- P1: m0, m3, m6, m1, m4, m7, m2, m5, m8
- P2: m0, m4, m1, m7, m3, m6, m2, m5, m8
- P3: m0, m1, m2, m3, m4, m5, m6, m7, m8
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## Total Ordering

- Every process delivers all messages in the same order.
- For example,
- P1: m0, m1, m2
- P2: m3, m4, m5
- P3: m6, m7, m8
- Total?
- P1: m7, m1, m2, m4, m5, m3, m6, m0, m8
- P2: m7, m1, m2, m4, m5, m3, m6, m0, m8
- P3: m7, m1, m2, m4, m5, m3, m6, m0, m8
- Total?
- P1: m7, m1, m2, m4, m5, m3, m6, m0, m8
- P2: m7, m2, m1, m4, m5, m3, m6, m0, m8
- P3: m7, m1, m2, m4, m5, m3, m6, m8, m0


## Ordered Multicast

- FIFO ordering: If a correct process issues multicast $(g, m)$ and then multicast $\left(g, m^{\prime}\right)$, then every correct process that delivers $m$ will have already delivered m .
- Causal ordering: If multicast $(g, m) \rightarrow$ multicast $\left(g, m^{\prime}\right)$ then any correct process that delivers $m$ ' will have already delivered $m$.
- Typically, $\rightarrow$ defined in terms of multicast communication only
- Total ordering: If a correct process delivers message $m$ before $m^{\prime}$ (independent of the senders), then any other correct process that delivers $m$ ' will have already delivered $m$.


## Display From Bulletin Board Program

| Bulletin board: Os.interesting |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Item | From | Subject |
| 23 | A.Hanlon | Mach |
| 24 | G.Joseph | Microkernels |
| 25 | A.Hanlon | Re: Microkernels |
| 26 | T.L'Heureux | RPC performance |
| 27 | M.Walker | Re: Mach |
| end |  |  |

What is the most appropriate ordering for this application? (a) FIFO (b) causal (c) tota

## Total, FIFO and Causal Ordering



## Providing Ordering Guarantees (FIFO)

Hold-back Queue for Arrived Multicast Messages
Look at messages from each process in the order they were sent:

- Each process keeps a sequence number for each of the other processes.
» E.g., in a system with 3 processes, P 1 keeps ( $x, y, z$ ): $x$ for P1,
$y$ for P2, \& z for P3 (note: this is not a vector clock)
» Each of $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \& \mathrm{z}$ indicates the sequence \# of the last message from the corresponding process, delivered by P1.
- When a message is received, if message \# is:
" as expected (next sequence), accept
» higher than expected, buffer in a queue
» lower than expected, reject
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## Implementing FIFO Ordering

- $S_{g}$ : the number of messages $p$ has sent to $g$.
- $R^{q} g$ : the sequence number of the latest group- $g$ message $p$ has delivered from $q$.
- For $p$ to FO-multicast $m$ to $g$
- $p$ increments $S_{g}{ }_{g}$ by 1.
- $p$ "piggy-backs" the value $S^{p}{ }_{g}$ onto the message.
- $p$ B-multicasts $m$ to $g$.
- At process $p$, Upon receipt of $m$ from $q$ with sequence number $S$ :
- $p$ checks whether $S=R^{q}{ }_{g}+1$. If so, $p$ FO-delivers $m$ and increments $R^{q}{ }_{g}$
- If $S>R^{q}+1, p$ places the message in the hold-back queue until the intervening messages have been delivered and $S=$ $R^{q} g+1$.


## Summary

- Reliable Multicast
- Reliability
- Ordering
- R-multicast
- Ordered Multicast
- FIFO ordering
- Total ordering
- Causal ordering
- Next: continue on multicast
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## Example: FIFO Multicast



